

THE INTERCHANGE BETWEEN THE PRODUCT AND THE CONVOLUTION OF THE n -DIMENSIONAL DISTRIBUTIONAL HANKEL TRANSFORMS

SUSANA ELENA TRIONE*

Dept. of Math. - Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales - UBA
Instituto Argentino de Matemática - CONICET

ABSTRACT. In this Note, we prove several formulas about the interchange between the product and the convolution of the n -dimensional Hankel transforms. In fact we obtain the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(u(x))\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(u(x))\} = \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x))\}, & (\text{cfr. (II,13)}) \\ 2) \quad & \mathcal{H}\left\{\delta^{(k)}\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * \delta^{(\ell)}\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}\right\} = D \left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * \left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}\right\}, & (\text{cfr. (II,20)}) \end{aligned}$$

where D is the constant given by (II,18).

$$3) \quad \mathcal{H}\left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} \cdot \left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}\right\} = C \mathcal{H}\left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k}\right\} * \mathcal{H}\left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}\right\},$$

cfr. (II,15))

where C is the constant given by (II,16).

$$4) \quad \mathcal{H}\left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * \left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}\right\} = D \mathcal{H}\left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k}\right\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\left\{\left(u(|x|^2)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}\right\},$$

(cfr. (II,17))

where D is the constant given by (II,18).

$$5) \quad \delta^{(k)}\left(u(|x|^2)\right) * \delta^{(\ell)}\left(u(|x|^2)\right) = D \delta^{\left(k+\ell+\frac{n-2}{2}\right)}\left(u(|x|^2)\right),$$

(cfr. (II,21))

here D is the constant given by (II,18).

$$6) \quad \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P) * \delta^{(k)}(P)\} = \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P)\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\}.$$

(cfr. (III, 7)).

I. Introduction.

We begin with some definitions. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Consider a non-degenerate quadratic form in n variables of the form

$$P = P(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2, \quad (\text{I, 1})$$

where $n = p + q$.

We define the two following distributions, as follows

$$P_+^\lambda = \begin{cases} P^\lambda & \text{if } P > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } P \leq 0; \end{cases} \quad (\text{I, 2})$$

* Address: IAM-CONICET - Saavedra 15-3er.Piso-(1058), Buenos Aires. TE: 4954-6781/82 - FAX: 4954-6782 - e-mail: trione@iamba.edu.ar

and

$$P_-^\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P > 0, \\ (-P)^\lambda & \text{if } P \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{I}, 3)$$

\mathcal{H} denotes the distributional Hankel transform. Let $\phi(t)$ be defined in $\mathbb{R}^+ : \{t, t > 0\}$. By the Hankel transform of the function $\phi(t)$ we mean the function $g(s)$, $0 \leq s < \infty$, defined by the formula

$$g(s) = \mathcal{H}\{\phi(t)\} = \int_0^\infty \phi(t) J_\nu(xt) \sqrt{xt} dt, \quad (\text{I}, 4)$$

or, equivalently,

$$g(s) = (\mathcal{H}\{\phi(t)\}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \phi(t) t^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\sqrt{st}) dt, \quad (\text{I}, 5)$$

where

$$R_m(x) = \frac{J_m(x)}{x^m}, \quad (\text{I}, 6)$$

and $J_m(x)$ is the well-known Bessel function defined by the formula

$$J_m(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{m+2\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma(m + \nu + 1)}. \quad (\text{I}, 7)$$

It is well known (cfr.[5], p. 240) that if $\phi(t)$ satisfies adequate conditions, for example if $\phi(t)$ belongs to $S_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, the following formula is valid:

$$\phi(t) = (\mathcal{H}\{g(s)\}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty g(s) s^{\frac{n-2}{2}} R_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\sqrt{st}) ds. \quad (\text{I}, 8)$$

Let $S_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ designate the space of functions $f \in S$ defined in the positive half line $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{t, t > 0\}$. By $S'_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ we designate the dual of $S_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.

Let $U(t) \in S'_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. The Hankel transform of $U(t)$ will be, by definition, the distribution $V(s) \in S'_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, defined by the formula

$$\langle \mathcal{H}\{U(t), \phi(s)\} \rangle = \langle U(t), (\mathcal{H}\{\phi(s)\}) \rangle, \quad (\text{I}, 9)$$

for every $\phi \in S_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.

There are other definitions of the Hankel transform of distributions (cfr. [6]). We use the definition which appears in [7], p. 64, especially, Theorem 26, p. 72. In fact, we have that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}(\tilde{T})} = \{T\}^\wedge, \quad (\text{II}, 10)$$

here \tilde{T} is the image of T belongs to $S'^n_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ in S' , defined by the formula

$$\langle \tilde{T}, \phi(t) \rangle = \langle T, \phi(r^2) \rangle, \quad (\text{I}, 11)$$

for every $\phi \in S_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.

We designate $S^{\natural}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ the family of functions $f(x)$ belongs to $S_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and, further, invariable by rotations. Moreover, $S^{\natural}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ designates the dual of $S^{\natural}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

Following strictly the definitions of [1], we shall define the k -th derivative of Dirac delta in $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

Let ϕ_t denote a distribution of one variable t . Let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has no critical point. By $\phi_{u(x)}$ (cfr. [8], page 102) we designate the distribution defined on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\langle \phi_{u(x)}, \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle \phi_t, \psi(t) \rangle, \quad (\text{I, 12})$$

where

$$\psi(t) = \int_{u(x)=t} \varphi(x) w_u(x, dx), \quad (\text{I, 13})$$

and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and w_u is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional exterior differential form on u defined as follows:

$$du \wedge dw = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n, \quad (\text{I, 14})$$

and the orientation of the manifold $u(x) = t$ is such that $w_u(x, dx) > 0$.

On the other hand (cfr. [9], p. 230, form. (6)), we have

$$\left(\delta^{(k)}(G(x_1, \dots, x_n)), \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = (-1)^k \int_{G(x)=0} w_k(\varphi), \quad (\text{I, 15})$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots$; where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is such an infinitely differentiable function that

$$\text{grad } G = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n} \right) \neq 0, \quad (\text{I, 16})$$

$$w_k(\varphi) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u_1^k} \left\{ D \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} \varphi, (u_1, \dots, u_n) \right\} du_1 \dots du_n, \quad (\text{I, 17})$$

$$w_0 = \varphi \cdot w, \quad (\text{I, 18})$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= G(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ u_2 &= x_2, \\ &\vdots \\ u_n &= x_n, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I, 19})$$

and

$$D \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \left[D \begin{pmatrix} u \\ x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}}, \quad (\text{I, 20})$$

with

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1} > 0 . \quad (\text{I, 21})$$

Otherwise, from [9], form. (8),

$$\delta^{(k)} \langle (G(x)), \varphi \rangle = (-1)^k \int_G f_{u_1}^{(k)}(0, u_2, \dots, u_n) du_2, \dots, du_n , \quad (\text{I, 22})$$

where

$$f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \varphi_1(u_1, \dots, u_n) D \left(\frac{x}{u} \right) , \quad (\text{I, 23})$$

$$\varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) , \quad (\text{I, 24})$$

and $D \left(\frac{x}{u} \right)$ is defined by (I,20).

II. “ $\mathcal{H} \{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \} = \mathcal{H} \{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) \} \cdot \mathcal{H} \{ \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \}$ ”.

In this paragraph we shall prove the following formula

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \right\} = \mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) \right\} \cdot \mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \right\} , \quad (\text{II, 1})$$

which expresses the interchange between the product and the convolution of the Hankel transform of the p -derivative Dirac-delta of $u(x)$. Here, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ and $u(x) = u(|x|^2)$.

From formula (32), p. 5 of [1], we have

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) \right\} = \frac{1}{2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right)} (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + \ell} . \quad (\text{II, 2})$$

From (II,2), we obtain

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) \right\} \cdot \mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \right\} = \frac{(u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + k + \frac{n-2}{2} + \ell}}{2^{2k + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + k \right) 2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right)} . \quad (\text{II, 3})$$

Taking into account (II,2), we know that

$$\delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) = \frac{\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + \ell} \right\}}{2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right)} , \quad (\text{II, 4})$$

and

$$\delta^{(k)}(u(x)) = \frac{\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + k} \right\}}{2^{2k + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + k \right)} , \quad (\text{II, 5})$$

So, from (II,4) and (II,5), we arrive at the following formula

$$\delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) = \frac{\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + \ell} \right\} * \mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + k} \right\}}{2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right) 2^{2k + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + k \right)} . \quad (\text{II, 6})$$

Otherwise, appealing to the theorems on the equivalence between the Hankel transform and the Fourier transform for radial functions (cfr. [2] and the classic Theorem of L. Schwartz (cfr. [3], p. 268, form. (VII, 8; 4)):

$$\mathcal{F}[T \cdot U] = \mathcal{F}[T] * \mathcal{F}[U] , \quad (\text{II, 7})$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform, $T \in O_M$ and $U \in S'$. We know that $O_M \subset S'$, so if $u(|x|^2) \in O_M$ this implies that $u(|x|^2) \in S'$ and then the formula (II,7) is valid. We remember that S designates, as always, the space of L. Schwartz (see pp. 233-237 of [3]) and O_M is the space of distributions of slow increase (cfr. [3], p. 243).

Therefore, the hypothesis for the validity of (II,7) is

$$u(|x|^2) \in O_M . \quad (\text{II, 8})$$

Finally, we can write, taking into account the formulae (II,6), (II,7) and (II,8) and the above considerations, that

$$\delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) = \frac{\mathcal{H} \left[\left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + \ell} \right\} \cdot \left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + k} \right\} \right]}{2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right) 2^{2k + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + k \right)} . \quad (\text{II, 9})$$

We know that

$$(u(x))^\lambda \cdot (u(x))^\mu = (u(x))^{\lambda + \mu} , \quad (\text{II, 10})$$

$\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}$.

We can establish (II,10) first for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ and $\text{Re } \mu > 0$, and then by analytical continuation for every $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}$; we can express (II,9) as the following formula

$$\delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) = \frac{\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + \ell + \frac{n-2}{2} + k} \right\}}{2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right) 2^{2k + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + k \right)} , \quad (\text{II, 11})$$

Appealing to the identity theorem for Hankel transforms, we have, from (II,11), that

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \right\} = \frac{(u(y))^{\frac{n-2}{2} + \ell + \frac{n-2}{2} + k}}{2^{2\ell + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right) 2^{2k + \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + k \right)} , \quad (\text{II, 12})$$

Finally, from (II,3) and (II,12), we arrive at our thesis

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) \right\} \cdot \mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \right\} = \mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(\ell)}(u(x)) * \delta^{(k)}(u(x)) \right\} , \quad (\text{II, 13})$$

where

$$u(x) = u(|x|^2) . \quad (\text{II}, 14)$$

Otherwise, if $u(|x|^2) \in O_M$, where O_M is the space of infinitely differentiable functions of slow increase, then $u(|x|^2) \in S'$.

So, taking into account the Theorem XV, form. (VII, 8; 4), p. 268 of [3], we obtain the following formula

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} \cdot (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} = C \mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} \right\} * \mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} , \quad (\text{II}, 15)$$

here C is the constant given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2^{2k+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+k\right) 2^{2\ell+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\ell\right)} , \quad (\text{II}, 16)$$

Analogously, if $u(|x|^2) \in O'_C$, then $u(|x|^2) \in S'$, and then by Theorem XV, form. (VII, 8; 5), p. 268 of [3], we obtain the following formula

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} = D \mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} \right\} \cdot \mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} , \quad (\text{II}, 17)$$

where D is the constant given by

$$D = 2^{2k+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+k\right) 2^{2\ell+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\ell\right) , \quad (\text{II}, 18)$$

From (II,17), (II,4) and (II,5), we have

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} = D \delta^{(k)}(u(|x|^2)) \cdot \delta^{(\ell)}(u(|x|^2)) . \quad (\text{II}, 19)$$

Equivalently, from (II,19), we have the following formula

$$\mathcal{H} \left\{ \delta^{(k)}(u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * \delta^{(\ell)}(u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} = D \left\{ (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} * (u(|x|^2))^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell} \right\} . \quad (\text{II}, 20)$$

Otherwise, from (II,6), the formula (II,15) is equivalently to the following equality

$$\delta^{(k)}(u(|x|^2)) * \delta^{(\ell)}(u(|x|^2)) = D \delta^{(k+\ell+\frac{n-2}{2})}(u(|x|^2)) , \quad (\text{II}, 21)$$

where D is the constant given by (II,18).

III. “ $\mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P) * \delta^{(k)}(P)\} = \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P)\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\}$ ”.

In this paragraph, we shall prove the following formula

$$\mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P) * \delta^{(k)}(P)\} = \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P)\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\} , \quad (\text{III}, 1)$$

here P is a non degenerate quadratic form in n variables of the form

$$P = P(x) = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2 , \quad (\text{III}, 2)$$

where $p+q = n$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

From formula (36), p. 55 of [4], we have

$$\mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\} = \frac{1}{2^{2k+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + k\right)} Q^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} , \quad (\text{III}, 3)$$

where Q is given by

$$Q = Q(y) = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_p^2 - y_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - y_{p+q}^2 , \quad (\text{III}, 4)$$

$p+q = n$.

Then

$$\mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P)\} = \frac{Q^{\frac{n-2}{2}+k} \cdot Q^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\ell}}{2^{2k+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + k\right) 2^{2\ell+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell\right)} . \quad (\text{III}, 5)$$

Taking into account, the formula (I, 3; 17), p. 23 of [10], where λ, μ and $\lambda + \mu$ are positive integers and n is even, we obtain, from (III,5), the following formula

$$\mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\} \cdot \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P)\} = \frac{Q^{n-2+k+\ell}}{2^{2k+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + k\right) 2^{2\ell+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell\right)} . \quad (\text{III}, 6)$$

Otherwise, from formula (38), p. 55 of [4], we know that

$$\mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(k)}(P)\} * \mathcal{H}\{\delta^{(\ell)}(P)\} = \frac{Q^{n-2+k+\ell}}{2^{2k+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + k\right) 2^{2\ell+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell\right)} . \quad (\text{III}, 7)$$

From (III,6) and (III,7) we arrive at the formula (III,1).

References.

- [1] M. Aguirre Téllez. The Hankel Transform of the k -th derivative of Dirac delta in $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. To appear.

- [2] S. E. Trione. Transformadas de Laplace de funciones retardadas-invariantes Lorentz. Fasc. 33. Cursos y Seminarios de Matemática, FCEyN - UBA, 1985.
- [3] L. Schwartz. Théorie des distributions. Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [4] M. A. Aguirre Téllez, R. A. Cerutti and S. E. Trione. Tables of Fourier, Laplace and Hankel Transforms of n -Dimensional Generalized Functions, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 48, Nro.3, USA, 1997, 235-284.
- [5] E. C. Titchmarsh. Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1948.
- [6] A. H. Zemanian. Generalized Integral Transformations. Interscience, New York, 1968.
- [7] A. González Domínguez. Ph.D. thesis of S.E. Trione. Append. I, FCEyN - UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 1972.
- [8] J. Leray. Hyperbolic differential equations. The Institute for Advanced Study. Princeton, New Jersey, USA, 1957.
- [9] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov. Generalized Functions, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [10] S. E. Trione. Distributional Products. Cursos de Matemática 3, IAM - CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 1980.

Acknowledgment.

I am pleased to thank the typist, Mrs. Blanca Alvarez, for the solicitous and devotion to detail in the expert preparation of this manuscript.

August 2002.