

# Sphere bundle over the set of inner products in a Hilbert space

E. Andruchow, M. E. Di Iorio y Lucero

September 11, 2023

## Abstract

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the space of bounded linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Any other equivalent inner product in  $\mathcal{H}$  is of the form  $\langle f, g \rangle_A = \langle Af, g \rangle$  ( $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ ) for some positive invertible operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . In this paper we study the bundle  $\mathcal{M}$  which consist of the unit sphere  $\{f \in \mathcal{H} : \langle f, f \rangle_A = 1\}$  over each (equivalent) inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ , which due to the observation above can be defined

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(A, f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} : A \text{ is positive and invertible and } \langle Af, f \rangle = 1\}.$$

We prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a complemented submanifold of the Banach space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$  and a homogeneous space of the Banach-Lie group  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of invertible operators. We introduce a reductive structure in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and study properties of the geodesics of the linear connection induced by this reductive structure. We consider certain submanifolds of  $\mathcal{M}$ , for instance, the one obtained when the positive elements  $A$  describing the inner products lie in a prescribed  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**2010 MSC:** 58B10, 47B65, 57N20, 53C30 .

**Keywords:** Positive invertible operators, Unit sphere, Homogeneous reductive spaces.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a Hilbert space, denote by  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  the group of invertible operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and by  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  the subset of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  of positive operators. The set  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  parametrizes the inner products in  $\mathcal{H}$ , which induce norms that are equivalent to the original norm of  $\mathcal{H}$ : any such inner product is of the form  $\langle f, g \rangle_A = \langle Af, g \rangle$  ( $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ ), for a unique operator  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ . In this paper we study the set

$$\mathcal{M} := \{([ \cdot, \cdot ], f) : [ \cdot, \cdot ] \text{ is an inner product in } \mathcal{H} \text{ equivalent to } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ and } [f, f] = 1\},$$

as a bundle over the set of inner products. That is, over each inner product we put its unit sphere. Due to the remark that  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  parametrizes this set of inner products, we can (and choose to) describe  $\mathcal{M}$  as operators and vectors:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(A, g) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} : A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}) \text{ and } \langle Ag, g \rangle = 1\}.$$

We call *canonical bundle* the map

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}), \quad \pi_{\mathcal{M}}(A, g) = A, \tag{1}$$

whose fiber over every  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  (i.e., every inner product) is the unit sphere of the given inner product. The group  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}$ : if  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $(A, g) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$G \cdot (A, f) = ((G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}, Gf).$$

Note that it is indeed a well defined left action:  $(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  and

$$\langle Gf, Gf \rangle_{(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}} = \langle (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}Gf, Gf \rangle = \langle Af, f \rangle = 1.$$

We prove in Section 3 that  $\mathcal{M}$  becomes a homogeneous space of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ . The purpose of this paper is the geometric study of  $\mathcal{M}$  and this action. We shall profit by the thorough study of the space  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  done by Corach, Porta and Recht in several papers, of which we mainly cite [2]: the space  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  behaves like a non positively curved metric space, when endowed with a natural Finsler metric. Let us state some of the properties of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$

**Remark 1.1.** (See [2])

1. There is a natural left action of the Banach-Lie group  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  on  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ :

$$G \cdot A = (G^*)^{-1}AG, \quad G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}), A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}).$$

The action is transitive. If one considers the element  $1 \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  which fixes 1 (usually called the isotropy group at 1) is the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The Banach-Lie algebras of the groups  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  are, respectively,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H})$  (the anti-Hermitian operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ ). The natural choice of a complement for  $\mathcal{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H})$  is  $\mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$  (the Hermitian operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ ). The decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}_{ah}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$$

can be pushed to every other element  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  with the action of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ . The distribution of decompositions of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  so obtained induces a linear connection in  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ . This type of construction is usually called a *reductive structure* in classical differential geometry.

2. The geodesics of this connection can be explicitly computed. Given  $A, B \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , there is a unique geodesic  $\gamma_{A,B}$  with  $\gamma_{A,B}(0) = A$  and  $\gamma_{A,B}(1) = B$ . It is given by

$$\gamma_{A,B}(t) = A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

In particular, note that if  $A = 1$  then  $\gamma_{(1,A)}(t) = B^t$ .

3. The action also induces a norm in the tangent spaces (which are all identified with  $\mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ ): at 1 one chooses the usual operator norm of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . At a point  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  one puts

$$|X|_A := \|A^{-1/2}XA^{-1/2}\|, \quad X \in \mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H}). \quad (3)$$

The action of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  is isometric.

4. The geodesics of the linear connection given in (2) are also geodesics in the metric sense (3):  $\gamma_{A,B}$  is the shortest possible curve joining any pair of points in its path. Therefore the geodesic distance  $d(A, B)$  between  $A$  and  $B$  is given by the length of  $\gamma_{A,B}$ , which can be explicitly computed.

5. The metric space  $(\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}), d)$  behaves like a non-positively curved space. For instance, if  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are geodesics in  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , then the function

$$f(t) = d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is convex.

We shall see that also  $\mathcal{M}$  admits a reductive structure, and a metric which is invariant under the group action.

We refer the reader to [1] for the basic facts concerning manifolds, submanifolds and homogeneous spaces in the infinite dimensional setting. In particular, we observe that in this setting one distinguishes the notions of *submanifold*  $M$  of a Banach space  $E$ , and *complemented submanifold* of  $E$ . In the former case, the tangent spaces of  $M$  are *closed* subspaces of  $E$ , in the latter the tangent spaces are *complemented* subspaces of  $E$ .

The contents of the paper are the following. In Section 2 we prove that the action is transitive, and that the maps  $m_{(A,g)}$  (for fixed  $(A, g) \in \mathcal{M}$ )

$$m_{(A,g)} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad m_{(A,g)}(G) = G \cdot (A, g) = ((G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}, Gg) \quad (4)$$

which are induced by the action, have continuous local cross sections. In Section 3 we use this fact to prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a complemented submanifold of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ , which is contractible, and that the maps  $m_{(A,g)}$  are submersions. In Section 4 we consider subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ , obtained when we restrict the sets of inner product, or the sets of vectors. In particular, we consider the case when the positive operators parametrizing the inner product lie in a prescribed  $C^*$ -algebra. In Section 5 we introduce the reductive structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and describe the geodesics of the linear connection. We study properties of these geodesics, with respect to the restricted parts of  $\mathcal{M}$  considered in Section 4. In the brief Section 6, we introduce an invariant Finsler metric for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

This research was funded by the grant PICT 2019 0460 from ANPCyT, Argentina.

## 2 Transitivity and local cross sections for the action

If  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , we shall denote by  $\mathbb{S}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{g \in \mathcal{H} : \langle Ag, g \rangle = 1\}$ , the unit sphere of the  $A$ -inner product, and by  $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , the usual sphere. Also put  $\|g\|_A = \langle g, g \rangle_A^{1/2} = \|A^{1/2}g\|$ . Clearly

$$g \in \mathbb{S}_A(\mathcal{H}) \text{ if and only if } A^{1/2}g \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}).$$

The following notation will be useful. If  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+$ , denote by  $f \otimes_A g$  the rank one operator given by

$$f \otimes_A g(h) = \langle h, g \rangle_A f = \langle Ah, g \rangle f.$$

If  $A = 1$ , we write  $f \otimes g = f \otimes_1 g$ . Note that

$$f \otimes_A g = (f \otimes g)A = f \otimes Ag.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  the unitary group of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) = \{U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : UU^* = U^*U = 1\}$ . For any given linear operator  $T$ ,  $R(T)$  and  $N(T)$  will denote the range and the nullspace, respectively.

**Remark 2.1.** Given  $f, g \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\|f - g\| < \sqrt{2}$ , there exists a unitary operator  $\Theta_{f,g}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is a smooth map in both parameters  $f, g$ , such that  $\Theta_{f,g}g = f$ . Namely, put

$$\mathcal{V} := \{(f, g) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}) : \|f - g\| < \sqrt{2}\};$$

then there exists a  $C^\infty$  map

$$\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto \Theta_{f,g}$$

satisfying  $\Theta_{f,g}g = f$  for all  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{V}$ . One explicit way to construct  $\Theta_{f,g}$  is the following: if  $\|f - g\| < \sqrt{2}$  (or, less restrictive,  $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$ ), then

$$\|f \otimes f - g \otimes g\| < 1.$$

Indeed, this can be shown using the Krein-Krasnoselski-Milman [6] formula: if  $P, Q$  are orthogonal projections, then

$$\|P - Q\| = \max\{\|PQ - P\|, \|QP - Q\|\}.$$

In our case,  $f \otimes f, g \otimes g$  are orthogonal projections, and therefore (using the elementary fact that  $\|h \otimes h'\| = \|h\|\|h'\|$ ), after straightforward computations, we have that

$$\|f \otimes f - g \otimes g\| = \max\{\|(f \otimes f)(g \otimes g) - f \otimes f\|, \|(g \otimes g)(f \otimes f) - g \otimes g\|\} = \sqrt{1 - |\langle f, g \rangle|^2}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\|f - g\|^2 < 2$  implies that  $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$ , and thus  $\|f \otimes f - g \otimes g\| < 1$ . Therefore, there exists a unitary operator  $U$ , which depends smoothly on the projections  $f \otimes f, g \otimes g$  (and thus, also depends smoothly on  $f, g$ ) such that

$$U(f \otimes f)U^* = Uf \otimes Uf = g \otimes g.$$

This is a well known fact, see for instance [4], or [3]. In this latter work  $U$  is obtained as follows: if  $\|P - Q\| < 1$ , then  $P + Q - 1$  is invertible: indeed, this follows from the elementary equality (see [4])

$$(P - Q)^2 + (P + Q - 1)^2 = 1.$$

Then the unitary part  $U$  in the polar decomposition  $P + Q - 1 = U|P + Q - 1|$  is a unitary operator (in fact, a symmetry, i.e., a selfadjoint unitary operator) such that  $UPU^* = UPU = Q$ . Also, it is an explicit formula in terms of  $P$  and  $Q$ :

$$U = (P + Q - 1)|P + Q - 1|^{-1} = (P + Q - 1)\{(P + Q - 1)^2\}^{-1/2}.$$

Continuing our argument,  $Uf, g$  are unit vectors generating the same complex line:  $g = \alpha Uf$ , with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = \langle g, Uf \rangle$ . Then

$$g = \Theta f,$$

for the unitary operator  $\Theta = \langle g, Uf \rangle U$ .

This mode of finding the unitary  $\Theta$  linking  $f$  and  $g$ , uses the geometry of the complex Grassmann manifold of  $\mathcal{H}$ , the unitary  $U$  links the complex lines generated by  $f$  and  $g$ . It can be shown that the line generated by  $g$  lies in the range of the exponential map at  $f$  of the Grassmann manifold, if and only if  $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The action of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $(A_0, g_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the map (4)*

$$m_{(A_0, g_0)} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad m_{(A_0, g_0)}(G) = ((G^*)^{-1}A_0G^{-1}, Gg_0)$$

*has continuous local cross sections.*

*Proof.* Fix  $g_0 \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H})$ , so that  $(1, g_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , and let  $(A, g) \in \mathcal{M}$ . We show that there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $G \cdot (1, g_0) = (A, g)$ , proving that the action is transitive. The usual left action of the unitary group of  $\mathcal{H}$  on the unit sphere of  $\mathcal{H}$  is transitive. Then there exists a unitary operator  $U$  such that  $Ug_0 = A^{1/2}g$ . If we consider  $G = A^{-1/2}U \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ , it's easy to see that

$$Gg_0 = A^{-1/2}Ug_0 = A^{-1/2}A^{1/2}g = g$$

and

$$(G^*)^{-1}G^{-1} = (U^*A^{-1/2})^{-1}(A^{-1/2}U)^{-1} = A^{1/2}UU^*A^{1/2} = A,$$

i.e.  $G \cdot (1, g_0) = ((G^*)^{-1}G^{-1}, Gg_0) = (A, g)$ . Let us construct a local cross section for  $m_{(A_0, g_0)}$  on a neighbourhood of  $(A_0, g_0)$ . By translation with the left action of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  on itself, one obtains cross sections around other points of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider the following open subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{B}_{(A_0, g_0)} = \{(A, g) \in \mathcal{M} : \|A^{1/2}g - A_0^{1/2}g_0\| < \sqrt{2}\}.$$

If  $(A, g) \in \mathcal{B}_{(A_0, g_0)}$  then there exists (as mentioned in the above Remark) a continuous and smooth formula  $\Theta = \Theta_{A_0^{1/2}g_0, A^{1/2}g}$ , which is a unitary operator of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that

$$\Theta A_0^{1/2}g_0 = A^{1/2}g.$$

Put  $\gamma_{(A_0, g_0)} : \mathcal{B}_{(A_0, g_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\gamma_{(A_0, g_0)}(A, g) = A^{-1/2}\Theta A_0^{1/2} = \gamma.$$

Then

$$(\gamma^*)^{-1}A_0\gamma^{-1} = A^{1/2}(\Theta^*)^{-1}A_0^{-1/2}A_0A_0^{-1/2}\Theta^{-1}A^{1/2} = A$$

and

$$\gamma g_0 = A^{-1/2}\Theta A_0^{1/2}g_0 = A^{-1/2}A^{1/2}g = g,$$

i.e.  $\gamma_{(A_0, g_0)}$  is a cross section for  $m_{(A_0, g_0)}$ . □

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then the space  $\mathcal{M}$  has trivial homotopy type.*

*Proof.* Consider  $(1, g_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and the fibre bundle  $m_{(1, g_0)} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . By Kuiper's theorem [7], the group  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  is contractible. The fibre of  $m_{(1, g_0)}$  over  $(1, g_0)$  is the subgroup

$$m_{(1, g_0)}^{-1}(1, g_0) = \{U \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) : (U^{-1})^*U^{-1} = 1, Ug_0 = g_0\},$$

i.e.  $U \in m_{(1, g_0)}^{-1}(1, g_0)$  is a unitary operator with  $Ug_0 = g_0$ . Therefore its matrix in the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \langle g_0 \rangle \oplus \langle g_0 \rangle^\perp$  is

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U' \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $U'$  is a unitary operator in  $\langle g_0 \rangle^\perp$ , which is (separable) infinite dimensional. It follows, again by Kuiper's theorem, that  $m_{(1, g_0)}^{-1}(1, g_0)$  is contractible. It follows that all the homotopy groups of  $\mathcal{M}$  are trivial (see for instance [13]). □

### 3 Regular structure

Let us prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $C^\infty$  differentiable manifold, and that the map  $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$  (given in 1) is a  $C^\infty$  fibre bundle. In order to establish the first assertion, the following lemma will be useful. We state it without proof, a complete proof can be found in [11].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a Banach-Lie group acting smoothly on a Banach space  $X$ . For a fixed  $x_0 \in X$ , denote by  $m_{x_0} : G \rightarrow X$  the smooth map  $m_{x_0}(g) = g \cdot x_0$ . Suppose that*

1.  $m_{x_0}$  is an open mapping, regarded as a map from  $G$  onto the orbit  $\{g \cdot x_0 : g \in G\}$  of  $x_0$  (with the relative topology of  $X$ ).
2. The differential  $d(m_{x_0})_1 : (TG)_1 \rightarrow X$  splits: its nullspace and range are closed complemented subspaces.

Then the orbit  $\{g \cdot x_0 : g \in G\}$  is a smooth complemented submanifold of  $X$ , and the map

$$m_{x_0} : G \rightarrow \{g \cdot x_0 : g \in G\}$$

is a smooth submersion.

**Theorem 3.2.**  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $C^\infty$  complemented submanifold of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ . For any  $(A_0, g_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , the map

$$m_{(A_0, g_0)} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad m_{(A_0, g_0)}(G) = G \cdot (A_0, g_0) = ((G^*)^{-1} A_0 G^{-1}, G g_0)$$

is a  $C^\infty$ -submersion.

*Proof.* Since  $m_{(A_0, g_0)}$  has continuous local cross sections, it is an open mapping.

Put  $\delta_{(A_0, g_0)} = d(m_{(A_0, g_0)})_1 : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ , which after easy computations is shown to be

$$\delta_{(A_0, g_0)}(X) = (-X^* A_0 - A_0 X, X g_0).$$

The nullspace of  $\delta_{(A_0, g_0)}$  consists of operators  $Z$  which are  $A_0$ -anti-Hermitian (anti-Hermitian for the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{A_0}$ ):

$$Z^* A_0 = -A_0 Z,$$

such that  $Z g_0 = 0$ . Note that this last condition is equivalent to  $Z(g_0 \otimes_{A_0} g_0) = 0$ . Since  $g_0$  is a unit vector for the  $A_0$ -inner product, the operator  $g_0 \otimes_{A_0} g_0$  is a (rank one)  $A_0$ -orthogonal projection. Therefore the matrix of  $Z$ , in the  $A_0$ -orthogonal decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \langle g_0 \rangle \oplus_{A_0} \langle g_0 \rangle^\perp$  is

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $Z_{22}$  is  $A_0$ -anti-Hermitian. A natural supplement for the nullspace of  $\delta_{(A_0, g_0)}$  is therefore the set of matrices (again in terms of the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \langle g_0 \rangle \oplus_{A_0} \langle g_0 \rangle^\perp$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} z g_0 & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & Y \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $Y$  is  $A_0$ -Hermitian. The range of  $\delta_{(A_0, g_0)}$  consists of pairs  $(-X^* A_0 - A_0 X, X g_0)$ , with  $X$  varying over  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that the left hand part of this pair is selfadjoint (for the usual

inner product), and that any selfadjoint operator  $Y$  is of this form. Indeed, given  $Y = Y^*$ , put  $X = -\frac{1}{2}A_0^{-1}Y$ . Then

$$-X^*A_0 - A_0X = \frac{1}{2}Y + \frac{1}{2}Y = Y.$$

Any operator decomposes  $X = X_h + X_{ah}$  in its  $A_0$ - Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts, i.e.,  $X_h^*A_0 = A_0X_h$  and  $X_{ah}^*A_0 = -A_0X_{ah}$ . Then  $-X^*A_0 - A_0X = -2A_0X_h$ . There is a linear isomorphism  $\ell$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$  given by

$$\ell : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}, \ell(X, h) = (-\frac{1}{2}A_0^{-1}X, h),$$

which maps the range of  $\delta_{(A_0, g_0)}$  onto the subspace  $\{(X_h, Xg_0) : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}$ .

Note that  $\{Yg_0 \in \mathcal{H} : Y \text{ is } A_0 - \text{Hermitian}\} = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \langle f, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and that  $\{Zg_0 \in \mathcal{H} : Z \text{ is } A_0 - \text{anti-Hermitian}\} = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \langle h, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in i\mathbb{R}\}$ . We have the direct sum decomposition

$$\{(X_h, Xg_0) : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\} = \{(X_h, X_hg_0) : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\} \oplus \{(0, X_{ah}g_0) : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}. \quad (5)$$

The right hand subspace in (5) equals  $\{(0, h) : \langle h, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in i\mathbb{R}\}$ . The left hand subspace in (5) is contained in  $\{(Y, f) : Y \text{ is } A_0 - \text{Hermitian and } \langle f, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , and it is complemented there: a complement is

$$\mathbf{S}_{(A_0, g_0)} := \{(Y, f) : Y \text{ is } A_0 - \text{Hermitian}, \langle f, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \langle f, Yg_0 \rangle_{A_0} = 0\}.$$

Therefore, a complement for  $\ell(\delta_{(A_0, g_0)})$  in  $\{(Y, h) : Y \text{ is } A_0 - \text{Hermitian}, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is

$$\mathbf{S}_{(A_0, g_0)} \oplus \{(0, h) : \langle h, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in i\mathbb{R}\}.$$

Clearly  $\{(Y, h) : Y \text{ is } A_0 - \text{Hermitian}, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is complemented in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ : a complement is  $\{(Z, 0) : Z \text{ is } A_0 - \text{anti-Hermitian}\}$ .

Putting these facts together, we have that

$$\mathbf{S}_{(A_0, g_0)} \oplus \{(0, h) : \langle h, g_0 \rangle_{A_0} \in i\mathbb{R}\} \oplus \{(Z, 0) : Z \text{ is } A_0 - \text{anti-Hermitian}\}$$

is a complement for  $\ell(\delta_{(A_0, g_0)})$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ . □

Recall from Proposition 2.3, that  $\mathcal{M}$  has trivial homotopy groups. Then, since it is a differentiable manifold, it holds that (see [10]):

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is separable and infinite dimensional. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is contractible.*

**Proposition 3.4.** *The map (1)*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}), \pi_{\mathcal{M}}(A, g) = A$$

*is a locally trivial fibre bundle. In fact, the map  $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$  defines a trivial (product) bundle.*

*Proof.* Consider the map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}), \varphi(A, g) = (A, A^{1/2}g).$$

Note that  $\varphi$  is a diffeomorphism (with inverse  $\varphi^{-1}(A, h) = (A, A^{-1/2}h)$ ) which trivializes the map (1)  $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ :

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi^{-1} : \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}), \pi_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi^{-1}(A, h) = A.$$

□

Let us describe the tangent spaces of  $\mathcal{M}$

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $(A_0, g_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , then*

$$(T\mathcal{M})_{(A_0, g_0)} = R(\delta_{(A_0, g_0)}) = \{-X^*A_0 - A_0X, Xg_0\} : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

*which also consists of*

$$(T\mathcal{M})_{(A_0, g_0)} = \{(Z, h) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} : Z^* = Z \text{ and } \langle Zg_0, g_0 \rangle + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle Ah, g_0 \rangle = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows because  $m_{(A_0, g_0)} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a submersion, and thus  $\delta_{(A_0, g_0)} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow (T\mathcal{M})_{(A_0, g_0)}$  is surjective.

To prove the second assertion, consider  $(A(t), g(t))$  a smooth curve in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $A(0) = A_0$ ,  $\dot{A}(0) = Z$ ,  $g(0) = g_0$  and  $\dot{g}(0) = h$ . Since  $A^*(t) = A(t)$ ,  $Z^* = Z$ . Differentiating  $\langle A(t)g(t), g(t) \rangle = 1$  at  $t = 0$ , we get

$$\langle Zg_0, g_0 \rangle + \langle A_0h, g_0 \rangle + \langle A_0g_0, h \rangle = 0.$$

To prove the other inclusion, we make use of the following translation maps in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Fix  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\ell_G : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\ell_G(A, f) = G \cdot (A, f)$  is a diffeomorphism, explicitly,  $\ell_G(A, f) = ((G^{-1})^*AG^{-1}, Gf)$ . Note that  $\ell_G$  is the restriction to  $\mathcal{M}$  of a linear isomorphism defined in the whole space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore its tangent map coincides with  $\ell_G$ . Using this translation, we may suppose that  $A_0 = 1$ , and prove the reverse inclusion in this case. Pick a pair  $(Z, h) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$  with  $Z^* = Z$ , satisfying

$$\langle Zg_0, g_0 \rangle + \langle h, g_0 \rangle + \langle g_0, h \rangle = 0.$$

Consider the vector  $w = -\frac{i}{2}Zg_0 - ih$ . Note that  $\langle w, g_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\langle w, g_0 \rangle = -i \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle Zg_0, g_0 \rangle + \langle h, g_0 \rangle \right) = -\frac{i}{2} (\langle h, g_0 \rangle - \langle g_0, h \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore there exists a selfadjoint operator  $Y$  such that  $Yg_0 = w$  (short proof: since  $\langle w, g_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ , pick  $Y = w \otimes g_0 + g_0 \otimes w - \langle w, g_0 \rangle g_0 \otimes g_0$ ). Consider

$$X = -\frac{1}{2}Z + iY.$$

Then  $-X - X^* = Z$  and  $Xg_0 = h$ . □

## 4 Subsets of $\mathcal{M}$

Let us consider the following subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ :

**Definition 4.1.** *For  $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , consider*

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{F}} := \{(A, f) \in \mathcal{M} : f \in \mathbf{F}\}; \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} := \{(A, f) \in \mathcal{M} : A \in \mathcal{C}\}; \tag{7}$$

*and*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbf{F}} := \{(A, f) \in \mathcal{M} : f \in \mathbf{F} \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{C}\}. \tag{8}$$



**Proposition 4.2.**

1. If  $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$  is a submanifold, then  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{F}}$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ .
2. If  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  is a submanifold, then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ .
3. If  $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}^+$  are submanifolds, and  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies that  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}1 \subset \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbf{F}}$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  are complemented submanifolds, then the corresponding subsets  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{F}}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbf{F}}$  are complemented submanifolds of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* To prove the first assertion, note that the map

$$\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \rho_{\mathcal{M}}(A, f) = f$$

is a  $C^\infty$  retraction. A global cross section for this map is  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} \ni h \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}} (\frac{1}{\|h\|^2}1, h) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Clearly this map is  $C^\infty$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{F}} = \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(\mathbf{F})$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathbf{F}$  is a complemented submanifold of  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{F}}$  is a complemented submanifold (see for instance [1]).

Similarly, for the second assertion, recall that  $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}(A, f) = A$  is a submersion, and thus  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} = \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$  (again, a complemented submanifold if  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  is a complemented submanifold).

To prove the third assertion, note that the hypothesis that  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}1 \subset \mathcal{C}$ , implies that the map  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} \ni h \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}} (\frac{1}{\|h\|^2}1, h)$  takes values in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and therefore is a cross section for  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\rho|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}}$  is a submersion, and therefore  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbf{F}} = (\rho_{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}})^{-1}(\mathbf{F})$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , and therefore also of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If both  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  are complemented submanifolds, then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbf{F}}$  is a complemented submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** We shall be interested, for instance, in the cases  $\mathbf{F} = \{f\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \{A\}$ , or  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_{\bullet}^+$ , the set of positive invertible elements of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_{\{A\}}$  identifies with  $\mathbb{S}_A(\mathcal{H})$ , the unit sphere of the inner product given by  $A$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Another relevant example occurs when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal trace  $\tau$ . Consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ , the GNS Hilbert space of  $\tau$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  (represented faithfully and normally) in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $f_0 = 1 \in \mathcal{A}$  regarded as a vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\tau(a) = \langle af_0, f_0 \rangle$ , for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_{\bullet}^+}^{\{f_0\}} \simeq \{a \in \mathcal{A}_{\bullet}^+ : \tau(a) = 1\}.$$

This space is studied by L. Recht and A. Varela in [12].

The tangent spaces of  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}}$  are, clearly,

$$(T\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}})_{(A,f)} = \{(X, 0) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \{0\} : X^* = X \text{ and } \langle Xf, f \rangle = 0\}.$$

## 5 Reductive structure

In this section we propose a natural reductive structure for  $\mathcal{M}$ . A *reductive structure* for a homogeneous space  $M$  of a Lie group  $G$  (see for instance the classic text [5]) means the following: if  $p \in M$ ,  $m_p : G \rightarrow M$  denotes the map induced by the left action of  $G$ ,  $m_p(f) = f \cdot p$ , and  $\delta_p = (dm_p)_1 : (TG)_1 \rightarrow (TM)_p$  is its differential at 1, a reductive structure for  $M$  is a family  $\{\mathbf{H}_p\}_{p \in M}$  of supplements for the nullspaces  $N(\delta_p)$  in  $(TG)_1$  (i.e.,  $(TG)_1 = N(\delta_p) \oplus \mathbf{H}_p$ ), which satisfy that

1. the distribution  $M \ni p \mapsto \mathbf{H}_p$  is smooth;
2. the supplements are invariant under the action of the corresponding isotropy subgroup  $K_p = \{k \in G : k \cdot p = p\}$ :

$$Ad(k)(\mathbf{H}_p) = \mathbf{H}_p.$$

The assertion that the distribution is smooth, means that if  $\mathbf{P}_p$  denotes the projection from  $(TG)_1$  onto  $\mathbf{H}_p$  with nullspace  $N(\delta_p)$ , then the map  $M \ni p \mapsto \mathbf{P}_p$  is  $C^\infty$ , regarded as a map into the Banach space of bounded (real) linear operators acting in  $(TG)_1$ .

In our case the group is  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ , whose Banach-Lie group  $(T\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}))_1$  identifies with  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For each element  $(A, f_0)$  in the homogeneous space  $\mathcal{M}$ , the map  $m_{(A, f_0)}$  was already introduced, and its differential at the identity is  $\delta_{(A, f_0)}(X) = (-X^*A - AX, Xf_0)$ . The isotropy subgroup  $K_{(A, f_0)}$  at  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$K_{(A, f_0)} = \{G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) : (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1} = A \text{ and } Gf_0 = f_0\},$$

i.e., the invertible elements  $G$  which are unitaries for the  $A$ -inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$  and fix  $f_0$ . The nullspace  $N(\delta_{(A, f_0)})$  (which is the Banach-Lie algebra of this group) is

$$N(\delta_{(A, f_0)}) = \{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : Y^*A = -AY \text{ and } Yf_0 = 0\}$$

i.e., the operators which are anti-Hermitian for the  $A$ -inner product, and annihilate  $f_0$ . Let us write these elements in matrix form, in terms of the  $A$ -orthogonal projection  $f_0 \otimes_A f_0$ : they are of the form

$$N(\delta_{(A, f_0)}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y' \end{pmatrix} : Y' \text{ is } A\text{-anti-Hermitian} \right\}.$$

Note that the 1,1 entry is scalar. A natural supplement for  $N(\delta_{(A, f_0)})$  is the following:

**Definition 5.1.** For  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , put

$$\mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b}^* & Z' \end{pmatrix} : Z' \text{ is } A\text{-selfadjoint}, a_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}(R(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0), R(f_0 \otimes_A f_0)) \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Here  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : \langle f_0 \rangle^\perp \rightarrow \langle f_0 \rangle$ , are bounded operators, and  $\mathbf{b}^*$  is the adjoint of  $\mathbf{b}$  (for the  $A$ -inner product). The supplement  $\mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)}$  is usually called the *horizontal space* at  $(A, f_0)$ .

Let us check that the distribution of spaces  $\mathbf{H}_{(A, f)}$  ( $(A, f) \in \mathcal{M}$ ) indeed defines a reductive structure in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)} = \{Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : (1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0) \text{ is } A\text{-selfadjoint}\}. \quad (10)$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *The distribution  $\mathbf{H}_{(A,f)}$   $((A, f) \in \mathcal{M})$  defines a reductive structure in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $\mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}$  is clearly a supplement for the space  $N(\delta_{(A,f_0)})$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.2).

If  $G \in K_{(A,f_0)}$ , then  $G$  is  $A$ -unitary (i.e.,  $G^*AG = A$  or equivalently  $AG = (G^*)^{-1}A$ ), and  $Gf_0 = f_0$ . Then

$$G^{-1}(f_0 \otimes_A f_0) = G^{-1}f_0 \otimes_A f_0 = f_0 \otimes_A f_0,$$

and

$$(f_0 \otimes_A f_0)G = \langle AG \cdot, f_0 \rangle f_0 = \langle (G^*)^{-1}A \cdot, f_0 \rangle f_0 = \langle A \cdot, G^{-1}f_0 \rangle f_0 = \langle A \cdot, f_0 \rangle f_0 = f_0 \otimes_A f_0.$$

Then, if  $Z \in \mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}$

$$(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)(GZG^{-1})(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0) = (1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0).$$

In particular,  $G\mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}G^{-1} = \mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}$ .

If  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is written in matrix form in terms of the  $A$ -orthogonal projection  $f_0 \otimes_A f_0$ , its decomposition in the direct sum  $N(\delta_{(A,f_0)}) \oplus \mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}$  is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & X' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & Z' \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $X' = Y' + Z'$  is the decomposition of  $X'$  in its  $A$ -anti-Hermitian and  $A$ -selfadjoint parts. Thus

$$Y' = \frac{1}{2i}(X' - A^{-1}(X')^*A) = \frac{1}{2i}(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)(X - A^{-1}X^*A)(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P}_{(A,f_0)}(X) = X - \frac{1}{2i}(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)(X - A^{-1}X^*A)(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0).$$

Recall that  $f_0 \otimes_A f_0 = (f_0 \otimes f_0)A$ , and therefore the map

$$\mathcal{M} \ni (A, f_0) \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{(A,f_0)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$$

is  $C^\infty$  (here  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  denotes the Banach space of *real* bounded linear operators acting in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ).  $\square$

As in classical differential geometry, a reductive structure in a homogeneous space induces a linear connection in the space ([5]). The features of the linear connection can be computed in terms of the so called *1-form of the reductive structure*. Namely, the map

$$\delta_{(A,f_0)}|_{\mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}} : \mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)} \rightarrow (T\mathcal{M})_{(A,f_0)}$$

is a linear isomorphism. Its inverse

$$\kappa_{(A,f_0)} : (T\mathcal{M})_{(A,f_0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)} \quad (11)$$

is the 1-form of the reductive structure.

For  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , denote by

$$\Pi_{(A,f_0)} := \kappa_{(A,f_0)} \circ \delta_{(A,f_0)} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (12)$$

Note that  $\Pi_{(A,f_0)}$  is an idempotent map, whose range is the horizontal space  $\mathbf{H}_{(A,f_0)}$ , and whose nullspace is the vertical space  $N(\delta_{(A,f_0)})$ . Then (see [8]):

1. If  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = (-X^*A - AX, h) \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)}$ , then the unique geodesic  $\gamma$  of the linear connection of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\gamma(0) = (A, f_0)$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \mathcal{V}$  is the curve

$$\gamma(t) = e^{t\kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{V})} \cdot (A, f_0) = (e^{-t\kappa_{(A, f_0)}^*(\mathcal{V})} A e^{-t\kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{V})}, e^{t\kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{V})} f_0).$$

2. If  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)}$ , the torsion  $T$  of the linear connection is given by

$$\kappa_{(A, f_0)}(T(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})) = -\Pi_{(A, f_0)}([X, Y]), \quad (13)$$

where  $X = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $Y = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{Y})$ .

3. If  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)}$ , and again we use the notation

$$X = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{X}), Y = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{Y}) \text{ and } Z = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{Z}),$$

then the curvature tensor  $R$  is given by

$$\kappa_{(A, f_0)}(R(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})\mathcal{Z}) = \left[ Z, (1 - \Pi_{(A, f_0)})([X, Y]) \right]. \quad (14)$$

### 5.1 Computation of the 1-form of the connection

In view of its role in the computations of the invariants of the reductive connection, it is useful to obtain an explicit formula for the 1-form  $\kappa$ . First note the following:

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$Z \in \mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)} \iff G^{-1}ZG \in \mathbf{H}_{G \cdot (A, f_0)}.$$

*Proof.* We must check that  $(1 - Gf_0 \otimes_{(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}} Gf_0)GZG^{-1}(1 - Gf_0 \otimes_{(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}} Gf_0)$  is  $(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}$ -selfadjoint (recall that  $Y$  is  $B$ -selfadjoint if  $Y^*B = BY$ ). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - Gf_0 \otimes_{(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}} Gf_0)GZG^{-1}(1 - Gf_0 \otimes_{(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}} Gf_0) \\ &= (1 - \langle (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1} \cdot, Gf_0 \rangle Gf_0)GZG^{-1}(1 - \langle (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1} \cdot, Gf_0 \rangle Gf_0) \\ &= (1 - \langle AG^{-1} \cdot, f_0 \rangle Gf_0)GZG^{-1}(1 - \langle AG^{-1} \cdot, f_0 \rangle Gf_0) \\ &= G(1 - \langle A \cdot, f_0 \rangle f_0)G^{-1}GZG^{-1}G(1 - \langle A \cdot, f_0 \rangle f_0)G^{-1} = G(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)G^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that this operator is  $(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}$ -symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned} & (G(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)G^{-1})^* (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1} \\ &= (G^*)^{-1}((1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0))^* AG^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Since  $Z \in \mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)}$ , we have that

$$((1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0))^* A = A(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0).$$

Thus, (15) equals

$$(G^*)^{-1}A(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)G^{-1} = (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}G(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)Z(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)G^{-1},$$

as claimed.  $\square$

Therefore, for  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ , we have the map

$$Ad_G : \mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{G \cdot (A, f_0)}, \quad Ad_G(Z) = GZG^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

Clearly it is an isomorphism (it is the restriction to  $\mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)}$  of a linear multiplicative global automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ). Its inverse is  $Ad_{G^{-1}}$ .

Recall that  $\ell_G : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\ell_G(A, f) = G \cdot (A, f) = ((G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}, Gf)$$

is the restriction to  $\mathcal{M}$  of a global linear isomorphism in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ , which we will also denote  $\ell_G$ . With this slight abuse of notation, it follows that  $(d\ell_G)_{(A, f_0)} = \ell_G$  for any  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ . The following diagram of linear isomorphisms is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{(A, f_0)}} & (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)} \\ Ad_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \ell_G \\ \mathbf{H}_{G \cdot (A, f_0)} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{G \cdot (A, f_0)}} & (T\mathcal{M})_{G \cdot (A, f_0)} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Pick  $Z \in \mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)}$ . Then

$$\ell_G \circ \delta_{(A, f_0)}(Z) = \ell_G(-Z^*A - AZ, Zf_0) = ((G^*)^{-1}(-Z^*A - AZ)G^{-1}, GZf_0).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{G \cdot (A, f_0)} \circ Ad_G(Z) &= (-(GZG^{-1})^*(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1} - (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}(GZG^{-1}), GZG^{-1}Gf_0) \\ &= ((G^*)^{-1}(-Z^*A - AZ)G^{-1}, GZf_0). \end{aligned}$$

□

This lemma allows us to compute the 1-form at a specific element of  $\mathcal{M}$ , say  $(1, f_0)$  (for  $\|f_0\| = 1$ ), and translate the formula to other elements via the automorphisms  $Ad_G$ . Let us abbreviate  $(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = 1 - f_0 \otimes f_0$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $(B, h) \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(1, f_0)}$ . Then*

$$\kappa_{(1, f_0)}(B, h) = h \otimes f_0 + \left( f_0 \otimes (Bf_0 - h) \right) (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp - \frac{1}{2} (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp B (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp.$$

*Proof.* Given  $(B, h) \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(1, f_0)}$ , we look for the unique  $Z = \kappa_{(1, f_0)}(B, h) \in \mathbf{H}_{(1, f_0)}$  such that  $-Z^* - Z = B$ ,  $Zf_0 = h$ , and  $(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp$  selfadjoint. Let us compute the four matrix entries of  $Z$  in terms of the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \langle f_0 \rangle \oplus \langle f_0 \rangle^\perp$ :

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)Z(f_0 \otimes f_0), (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0), (f_0 \otimes f_0)Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp \text{ and } (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp.$$

The first two are determined by the condition  $Zf_0 = h$ :

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)Z(f_0 \otimes f_0) = (f_0 \otimes f_0)(Zf_0 \otimes f_0) = (f_0 \otimes f_0)(h \otimes f_0)$$

and

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0) = (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp (Zf_0 \otimes f_0) = (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp (h \otimes f_0).$$

Next, since  $-Z^* - Z = B$ , we have

$$Bf_0 = -Z^*f_0 - Zf_0 = -Z^*f_0 - h, \text{ i.e., } Z^*f_0 = Bf_0 - h.$$

Thus

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = (f_0 \otimes Z^*f_0)(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = (f_0 \otimes (Bf_0 - h))(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp.$$

Finally since  $(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp$  should be selfadjoint, condition  $-Z^* - Z = B$  implies that

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp B(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = -(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z^*(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp - (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = -2(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp,$$

So that

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = -\frac{1}{2}(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp B(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (f_0 \otimes f_0)(h \otimes f_0) + (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp(h \otimes f_0) + \left(f_0 \otimes (Bf_0 - h)\right)(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp - \frac{1}{2}(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp B(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp \\ &= (h \otimes f_0) + \left(f_0 \otimes (Bf_0 - h)\right)(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp - \frac{1}{2}(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp B(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

□

Putting these facts together, we get

**Proposition 5.6.** *For  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $(B, h) \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)}$ , we have*

$$\kappa_{(A, f_0)}(B, h) = h \otimes_A f_0 + (f_0 \otimes_A (A^{-1}Bf_0 - h))(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)A^{-1}B(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0).$$

*Proof.* Using the diagram in Lemma 5.4 for the element  $(1, A^{1/2}f_0)$  and  $G = A^{-1/2}$ , since  $A^{-1/2} \cdot (1, A^{1/2}f_0) = (A, f_0)$ , we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_{(1, A^{1/2}f_0)} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{(1, A^{1/2}f_0)}} & (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)} \\ \text{\scriptsize $Ad_{A^{-1/2}}$} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{\scriptsize $\ell_{A^{-1/2}}$} \\ \mathbf{H}_{(A, f_0)} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{(A, f_0)}} & (T\mathcal{M})_{(A, f_0)} \end{array}$$

Then

$$\kappa_{(A, f_0)} = Ad_{A^{-1/2}}\kappa_{(1, A^{1/2}f_0)}\ell_{A^{1/2}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(B, h) &= Ad_{A^{-1/2}}\kappa_{(1, A^{1/2}f_0)}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}, A^{1/2}h) \\ &= Ad_{A^{-1/2}}\left(A^{1/2}h \otimes A^{1/2}f_0 + \left(A^{1/2}f_0 \otimes (A^{-1/2}Bf_0 - A^{1/2}h)\right)(A^{1/2}f_0 \otimes A^{1/2}f_0)^\perp - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}(A^{1/2}f_0 \otimes A^{1/2}f_0)^\perp A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}(A^{1/2}f_0 \otimes A^{1/2}f_0)^\perp\right). \end{aligned}$$

After straightforward computations one gets

$$\kappa_{(A,f_0)}(B, h) = h \otimes Af_0 + (f_0 \otimes (Bf_0 - Ah)) (1 - f_0 \otimes Af_0) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - f_0 \otimes Af_0)A^{-1}B(1 - f_0 \otimes Af_0).$$

Note that  $Bf_0 - Ah = A(A^{-1}Bf_0 - h)$ , and using that  $g \otimes Ag' = g \otimes_A g'$ , we can write

$$\kappa_{(A,f_0)}(B, h) = h \otimes_A f_0 + (f_0 \otimes_A (A^{-1}Bf_0 - h)) (1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0)A^{-1}B(1 - f_0 \otimes_A f_0).$$

□

**Remark 5.7.** Recall the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , for a given fixed  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}} = \{(A, f) : A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}) \text{ such that } \langle Af, f \rangle = 1\}.$$

Therefore, it can be regarded as a submanifold of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , namely, the elements in  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\omega_f(A) = 1$ , where  $\omega_f$  is the pure state given by  $f$  ( $\omega_f(X) = \langle Xf, f \rangle$ ). Clearly, the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}}$  is a convex set.

A natural question is then the following: If  $(A, f), (B, f) \in \mathcal{M}^{\{f\}}$  and  $\gamma_{A,B}$  is the unique geodesic of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\gamma_{A,B}(0) = A$  and  $\gamma_{A,B}(1) = B$  (see (2) in Remark 1.1), then is it true that  $(\gamma_{A,B}(t)f, f)$  lies in  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}}$ ? The answer is no, in general. What fails is the condition  $\langle \gamma_{A,B}(t)f, f \rangle = 1$ . Consider for instance

$$A = 1, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\langle f, f \rangle = \langle Bf, f \rangle = 1$  but  $\langle \gamma_{1,B}(\frac{1}{2})f, f \rangle = \langle B^{1/2}f, f \rangle < 1$ .

We have though the following inequality: let  $(A, f), (B, f) \in \mathcal{M}^{\{f\}}$  and let  $\gamma_{A,B}$  be the geodesic of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\gamma_{A,B}(0) = A$  and  $\gamma_{A,B}(1) = B$ . Then for all  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$0 < \langle \gamma_{A,B}(t)f, f \rangle \leq 1.$$

Since  $\gamma_{A,B}(t)$  is positive and invertible, it is clear that  $\langle \gamma_{A,B}(t)f, f \rangle > 0$ . Consider the real function

$$g(t) = \langle \gamma_{A,B}(t)f, f \rangle = \langle B^{1/2} \left( B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2} \right)^t B^{1/2}f, f \rangle = \langle C^t h, h \rangle,$$

where  $C = B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2}$  and  $h = B^{1/2}f$ . Clearly  $g$  is smooth and convex:

$$\ddot{g}(t) = \langle \log(C)^2 C^t h, h \rangle = \langle C^t \log(C) h, \log(C) h \rangle \geq 0,$$

because  $C$  and  $\log(C)$  commute. Note that by hypothesis  $g(0) = g(1) = 1$ . Then  $g(t) \leq 1$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

In other words, the geodesic  $\gamma_{A,B}$  joining elements in the set  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f\}} = \{(A, f) \in \mathcal{M} : \omega_f(A) = 1\}$  remains inside  $\{(A, f) \in \mathcal{M} : \omega_f(A) \leq 1\}$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $(A, f_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{V} = (X, 0)$  tangent to the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f_0\}}$  at  $(A, f_0)$ . Then

1. The geodesic  $\gamma$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , with  $\gamma(0) = (A, f_0)$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \mathcal{V}$  is of the form

$$\gamma(t) = (\Gamma(t), f_0),$$

where  $\Gamma(t) = e^{-tZ^*} A e^{-tZ}$ , for  $Z = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{V})$ . In particular,  $\gamma$  remains inside  $\mathcal{M}^{\{f_0\}}$  for all  $t$ .

2. A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\Gamma$  to be a geodesic of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  is

$$X f_0 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{V}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{V} = (X, 0)$ , with  $X^* = X$  and  $\langle X f_0, f_0 \rangle = 0$ . Let  $Z = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(\mathcal{V})$ . Then, in particular,  $Z f_0 = 0$ . Thus  $e^{tZ} f_0 = f_0$ , and

$$\Gamma(t) = (e^{-tZ^*} A e^{-tZ}, e^{tZ} f_0) = (\Gamma(t), f_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\{f_0\}}, \text{ for all } t.$$

For the second assertion, let us consider first the case when the geodesic  $\gamma$  starts at  $A = 1$ . Suppose first that  $X f_0 = 0$ , and let  $Z = \kappa_{(1, f_0)}(\mathcal{V})$ , that is  $-Z^* - Z = X$ ,  $Z f_0 = 0$  and  $(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp$  is selfadjoint. Since  $Z^* = -Z - X$  and  $Z f_0 = 0$ , our assumption  $X f_0 = 0$  implies that  $Z^* f_0 = 0$ . Then

$$(f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp = (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp (Z - Z f_0 \otimes f_0) = (f_0 \otimes f_0)^\perp Z = Z - f_0 \otimes Z^* f_0 = Z,$$

i.e.,  $Z$  is selfadjoint. Then

$$\gamma(t) = e^{tZ} \cdot (1, f_0) = (e^{-tZ^*} e^{-tZ}, e^{tZ} f_0) = (e^{-2tZ}, f_0),$$

where  $\Gamma(t) = e^{-2tZ}$  is a geodesic of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $e^{-tZ^*} e^{-tZ}$  is a geodesic of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ . Since it starts at 1, with initial velocity  $-Z^* - Z$ , it must be  $e^{-tZ^*} e^{-tZ} = e^{tX}$ . Differentiating, one gets  $-Z^* e^{-tZ^*} e^{-tZ} - e^{-tZ^*} e^{-tZ} Z = X e^{tX}$  for all  $t$ , or

$$-Z^* e^{tX} - e^{tX} Z = X e^{tX} \text{ for all } t,$$

which implies that  $-e^{-tX} Z^* e^{tX} = Z + X$  for all  $t$ , i.e., constant. Thus, differentiating this last identity at  $t = 0$  we get  $X Z^* - Z^* X = 0$ , that is,  $X$  commutes with  $Z^*$ . Since  $X$  is selfadjoint,  $X$  commutes also with  $Z$ . Then, the identity  $X = -Z^* - Z$  implies that  $Z$  is normal. Since  $Z f_0 = 0$ , then  $Z Z^* f_0 = Z^* Z f_0 = 0$ , and then  $Z^* f_0 = 0$ . Therefore  $X f_0 = -Z^* f_0 - Z f_0 = 0$ .

For the general case, recall that  $\gamma$  is a geodesic of  $\mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $G \cdot \gamma$  is also a geodesic. Then,  $A^{1/2} \cdot \gamma = (A^{-1/2} \Gamma A^{-1/2}, A^{1/2} f_0)$  is a geodesic of  $\mathcal{M}$  starting at  $(1, A^{1/2} f_0)$  with initial velocity  $(A^{-1/2} X A^{-1/2}, 0)$ . Also, it is clear that  $A^{1/2} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\{f_0\}} = \mathcal{M}^{\{A^{1/2} f_0\}}$ . Thus, by the previous case,  $A^{1/2} \cdot \delta$  remains inside  $A^{1/2} \cdot \mathcal{M}^{\{f_0\}}$  if and only if

$$A^{-1/2} X A^{-1/2} A^{1/2} f_0 = A^{-1/2} X f_0 = 0,$$

i.e.,  $X f_0 = 0$ . □

**Remark 5.9.** Note that the argument in the above proof, yields the fact that the condition  $X f_0 = 0$  is equivalent to  $Z = \kappa_{(A, f_0)}(X, 0)$  being  $A$ -selfadjoint. Indeed, in the case  $A = 1$ ,  $Z^* = Z$  is obtained explicitly as a necessary condition, and the condition  $Z$  normal as a sufficient condition. In the general case, using Lemma 5.3, we have that  $A^{-1/2} Z A^{1/2} \in \mathbf{H}_{(1, A^{1/2})}$  is selfadjoint:

$$A^{-1/2} Z A^{1/2} = (A^{-1/2} Z A^{1/2})^* = A^{1/2} Z^* A^{-1/2} \iff A Z^* A^{-1} = Z,$$

i.e.,  $Z$  is  $A$ -selfadjoint.



## 5.2 Subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

Let us consider the case when  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. The space of  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+$  of positive and invertible elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  is an open subset of  $\{x \in \mathcal{A} : x^* = x\}$ , which is a closed (non necessarily complemented) real-linear subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_h(\mathcal{H})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , modelled in the Banach space  $\{x \in \mathcal{A} : x^* = x\}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 4.2,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+} = \{(a, g) \in \mathcal{M} : a \in \mathcal{A}_\bullet^+\}$$

is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Clearly, the invertible group  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on this submanifold: if  $g \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_\bullet^+$ ,  $(g^*)^{-1}ag^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_\bullet^+$ .

The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to *act irreducibly in  $\mathcal{H}$*  if there are no subspaces  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$  (other than  $\mathcal{S} = \{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{H}$ ) such that  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}$ . Recall Kadison's transitivity theorem (see for instance Theorem 5.2.2. in [9]): if  $\mathcal{A}$  acts irreducibly in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $f \neq 0$ , then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $af = g$ ; if  $\|f\| = \|g\|$ , then  $a$  can be chosen unitary ( $a^*a = aa^* = 1$ ).

**Proposition 5.10.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  acts irreducibly in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the action of  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* The argument is similar as the case of the whole algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Namely, pick  $g_0 \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H})$ , so that  $(1, g_0) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$ . Pick any element  $(a, f) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$ , so that also  $a^{1/2}f \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then using Kadison's transitivity theorem, there exists a unitary element  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $ug_0 = a^{1/2}f_0$ , and then  $g = a^{-1/2}u \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$  satisfies

$$g \cdot (1, g_0) = (a, f)$$

as in Proposition 2.2. □

The hypothesis that  $\mathcal{A}$  is irreducible is necessary. Consider for instance  $\mathcal{A} = C([0, 1])$  acting in  $L^2(0, 1)$ , and pick  $f$  a continuous function with  $\|f\|_2 = 1$ . Then the orbit  $\{g \cdot (1, f) : g \in G_{\mathcal{A}}\}$  consists of pairs on which the second coordinate  $gf$  is a continuous function, and thus the action is not transitive (note that  $(1, h) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$  for any  $h \in L^2(0, 1)$  with  $\|h\|_2 = 1$ ).

Recall the expression of the tangent spaces of  $\mathcal{M}$  in Proposition 3.5:

$$(T\mathcal{M})_{(A_0, g_0)} = \{(Z, h) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} : Z^* = Z \text{ and } \langle Zg_0, g_0 \rangle + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle Ah, g_0 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Then clearly

$$(T\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+})_{(a_0, g_0)} = \{(z, h) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{H} : z^* = z \text{ and } \langle zg_0, g_0 \rangle + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle a_0h, g_0 \rangle = 0\}. \quad (17)$$

**Theorem 5.11.** *Suppose that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  contains the compact operators. Then:*

1. *For any  $(a, f) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$ , the map*

$$m_{(a, f)} : \mathcal{A}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(a, f)} = \{g \cdot (a, f) : g \in G_{\mathcal{A}}\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$$

*is a  $C^\infty$  submersion. The orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(a, f)}$  is a union of connected components of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$ .*

2. *If  $(a, f) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$  and  $(x, h) \in (T\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+)_{(a, f)}$ , then the unique geodesic  $\delta$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\delta(0) = (a, f)$  and  $\dot{\delta}(0) = (x, h)$ , satisfies that  $\delta(t) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\bullet^+}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* To prove 1., note that  $m_{(a,f)}$  has continuous local cross sections (with values in  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ ). More specifically, the construction of local cross sections done in Remark 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, takes values in  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ , if the data are taken in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^+}$ . Indeed, if  $f, g \in \mathbb{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , since  $\mathcal{A}$  contains the compact operators,  $f \otimes f, g \otimes g \in \mathcal{A}$ ; if in addition  $\|f \otimes f - g \otimes g\| < 1$ , the unitary operator  $U$  such that  $Uf = g$  constructed in remark 2.1, belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore it is also clear that the cross sections constructed in Proposition 2.2 also take values in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

To prove 2., similarly, if  $a, x \in \mathcal{A}$ , since  $\mathcal{A}$  contains the compact operators, the rank one operators  $h \otimes_a f, f \otimes_a (a^{-1}xf - h)$  and  $f \otimes_a f$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore  $\kappa_{(a,f)}(x, h) \in \mathcal{A}$ , and the proof follows.  $\square$

**Remark 5.12.** Note that if  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  acts irreducibly in  $\mathcal{H}$  (it is well known that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  acts irreducibly in  $\mathcal{H}$ ). Examples of unital subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  containing the compacts are:

- The unitization  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H}) = \{\lambda 1 + K : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})\}.$$

- For  $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(S)$  generated by the shift operator  $S : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ ,  $S(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ .

## 6 $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ -invariant metric for $\mathcal{M}$

Let us introduce the following natural metric in  $\mathcal{M}$ :

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $(A, f) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $(Z, h) \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(A,f)}$ . Put

$$|(Z, h)|_{(A,f)} := \{\|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}h\|^2\}^{1/2}.$$

**Remark 6.2.** The metric just defined is the natural metric of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  (see [2]), times the natural norm of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}_A(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** The metric defined in (6.1) is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ : if  $(A, f) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $(Z, h) \in (T\mathcal{M})_{(A,f)}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$|G \cdot (Z, h)|_{g \cdot (A,f)} = |(Z, h)|_{(A,f)}.$$

*Proof.* We must prove first that

$$\|[(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}]^{-1/2}(G^*)^{-1}ZG^{-1}[(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}]^{-1/2}\| = \|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}\|.$$

This fact was shown in [2], and it is one of the main features of the Geometry of  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ . We include the computation. Note that

$$\|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}\|^2 = \|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}\| = \|A^{-1/2}(ZA^{-1}Z)A^{-1/2}\|.$$

Since  $Z^* = Z$ ,  $ZA^{-1}Z$  is positive, and we can take its square root. Thus the above norm equals

$$= \|A^{-1/2}(ZA^{-1}Z)^{1/2}[A^{-1/2}(ZA^{-1}Z)^{1/2}]^*\| = \|[A^{-1/2}(ZA^{-1}Z)^{1/2}]^*A^{-1/2}(ZA^{-1}Z)^{1/2}\|$$

$$= \|(ZA^{-1}Z)^{1/2}A^{-1}(ZA^{-1}Z)^{1/2}\|.$$

Using this version of the norm, applied to the element  $G \cdot Z$  measured at  $G \cdot A$  we get, after trivial simplifications

$$\|\{(G^+)^{-1}ZA^{-1}ZG^{-1}\}^{1/2}GA^{-1}G^*\{(G^+)^{-1}ZA^{-1}ZG^{-1}\}^{1/2}\| = \|BB^*\|,$$

for  $B = \{(G^+)^{-1}ZA^{-1}XG^{-1}\}^{1/2}GA^{-1/2}$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|BB^*\| &= \|B^*B\| = \|A^{-1/2}G^*\{(G^+)^{-1}ZA^{-1}ZG^{-1}\}^{1/2}\{(G^+)^{-1}ZA^{-1}ZG^{-1}\}^{1/2}GA^{-1/2}\| = \\ &= \|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1}ZA^{-1/2}\| = \|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next note that the square of the norm of  $Gh$  given by  $(G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}$  is

$$\langle (G^*)^{-1}AG^{-1}Gh, Gh \rangle = \langle (G^*)^{-1}Ah, Gh \rangle = \langle Ah, h \rangle,$$

which finishes the proof. □

**Remark 6.4.** Note that with this metric just defined, the map (1)

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H}), \quad \pi_{\mathcal{M}}(A, g) = A$$

is contractive, if  $\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$  is considered with its natural metric (see (3) and Remark 1.1), given by

$$|Z|_A = \|A^{-1/2}ZA^{-1/2}\|,$$

for  $A \in \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $Z^* = Z$ ; that is

$$d_{\mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{H})}(A, B) \leq d_{\mathcal{M}}((A, g), (B, g)),$$

if  $(A, g), (B, h) \in \mathcal{M}$ .

## References

- [1] Beltita, D. Smooth homogeneous structures in operator theory. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 137. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [2] Corach, G.; Porta, H.; Recht, L. The geometry of the space of selfadjoint invertible elements in a  $C^*$ -algebra. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 16 (1993), 333–359.
- [3] Davis, C. Separation of two linear subspaces, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* 19 (1958) 172–187.
- [4] Kato, T., *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Reprint of the 1980 edition. *Classics in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [5] Kobayashi, S.; Nomizu, K. *Foundations of differential geometry*. Vol. II. Reprint of the 1969 original. *Wiley Classics Library*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996.

- [6] Krein, M.G.; Krasnoselski, M.A.; Milman, D.P., On defect numbers of operators on Banach spaces and related geometric problems, Trudy Inst. Mat. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, 1948.
- [7] Kuiper, N. H. The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert space. Topology 3 (1965), 19–30.
- [8] Mata-Lorenzo, L. E.; Recht, L. Infinite-dimensional homogeneous reductive spaces. Acta Cient. Venezolana 43 (1992), no. 2, 76–90.
- [9] Murphy, G. J.  $C^*$ -algebras and operator theory. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [10] Palais, R. S. Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds. Topology 5 (1966), 1–16.
- [11] Raeburn, I., The relationship between a commutative Banach algebra and its maximal ideal space, J. Funct. Anal. 25 (1977), 366–390.
- [12] Recht, L., Varela A. *work in progress*.
- [13] Whitehead, G. W. Elements of homotopy theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 61. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.

(ESTEBAN ANDRUCHOW) Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Gral. Sarmiento, J.M. Gutierrez 1150, (1613) Los Polvorines, Argentina and Instituto Argentino de Matemática, ‘Alberto P. Calderón’, CONICET, Saavedra 15 3er. piso, (1083) Buenos Aires, Argentina.

e-mail: eandruch@ungs.edu.ar

(MARÍA EUGENIA DI IORIO Y LUCERO) Instituto Argentino de Matemática, ‘Alberto P. Calderón’, CONICET, Saavedra 15 3er. piso, (1083) Buenos Aires, Argentina.