

MULTIPLICITY FREENESS OF UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS IN SECTIONS OF HOLOMORPHIC HILBERT BUNDLES

MARTÍN MIGLIOLI AND KARL-HERMANN NEEB

ABSTRACT. We prove several results asserting that the action of a Banach–Lie group on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections of a holomorphic Hilbert space bundle over a complex Banach manifold is multiplicity-free. These results require the existence of compatible anti-holomorphic bundle maps and certain multiplicity-freeness assumptions for stabilizer groups. For the group action on the base, the notion of an (S, σ) -weakly visible action (generalizing T. Kobayashi’s visible actions) provides an effective way to express the assumptions in an economical fashion. In particular, we derive a version for group actions on homogeneous bundles for larger groups. We illustrate these general results by several examples related to operator groups and von Neumann algebras.

Keywords. unitary representation, infinite dimensional Lie group, holomorphic Hilbert bundle, multiplicity-free representation, reproducing kernel, visible action

(Martín Miglioli) IAM-CONICET. SAAVEDRA 15, PISO 3, (1083) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
(Karl-Hermann Neeb) DEPARTMENT MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITY ERLANGEN-NUREMBERG, CAUER-STRASSE 11, 91058 ERLANGEN, GERMANY

E-mail addresses: martin.miglioli@gmail.com, neeb@math.fau.de.

Date: April 5, 2018.

The first author was supported by IAM-CONICET, grants PIP 2010-0757 (CONICET), 2010-2478 (ANPCyT) and a DAAD grant for short term visit.

The second author was supported in part by DFG-grant NE 413/9-1.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Complex geometry and multiplicity-free property	5
4. Associated bundles	9
5. A Propagation Theorem for associated bundles	14
6. Examples of weakly visible actions and propagation theorems	16
Acknowledgments	26
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

A unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of a group G on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called *multiplicity-free* if its commutant, the von Neumann algebra $\pi(G)'$ of continuous G -intertwining operators, is commutative. Multiplicity-free representations are special in the sense that one may expect to find natural decompositions into irreducible ones based on direct integrals over the spectrum of the commutant. We refer to [Kob05] and the reference therein for a survey of multiplicity free theorems and its applications in the context of finite dimensional Lie groups.

The main results of this paper consist in propagation theorems for the multiplicity-free property (MFP) from the representation of a stabilizer group in a fiber Hilbert space to Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections of holomorphic Hilbert bundles. Our results extend those of T. Kobayashi concerning finite-dimensional bundles [Kob13] to Hilbert bundles over Banach manifolds. More specifically, the fibers are complex Hilbert spaces and the groups act as fiberwise isometric holomorphic bundle automorphisms. We apply these propagation theorems to branching problems of representations of infinite dimensional groups constructed by holomorphic induction. Here an essential part is that the isotropy representations are not finite dimensional, hence in general not direct sums of irreducible representations. As we shall see, this difficulty can be overcome by working systematically with the commutant as a von Neumann algebra.

A variant of the propagation theorem is formalized as in [Kob13] in terms of so-called visible actions. The G -action on M is called (S, σ^M) -weakly visible if $S \subseteq M$ is a subset for which the closure of $G.S$ has interior points and σ^M is an anti-holomorphic diffeomorphism of M preserving all G -orbits through S and leaving S invariant. If σ^M lifts to an anti-holomorphic bundle endomorphism $\sigma^\mathbb{V}$ which is compatible with the G -action with respect to an automorphism σ^G of G satisfying $\sigma^\mathbb{V}(g.v) = \sigma^G(g).\sigma^\mathbb{V}$, then one can formulate a variant of the propagation theorem (Theorem 3.11) asserting the multiplicity-freeness of the G -representation on $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ if, for every $s \in S$, the anti-unitary operator $\sigma_s^\mathbb{V}$ commutes on \mathbb{V}_s with the hermitian part of the commutant of the G_s -action. A third form of the propagation theorem is obtained in the setting where the bundle $\mathbb{V} = G \times_{\rho, H} V$ is associated to a homogeneous H -principal bundle $G \rightarrow M = G/H$ by a norm continuous unitary representation (ρ, V) of H . We plan to use this formulation for concrete branching problems in the representation theory of Banach–Lie groups.

In the infinite dimensional context there are no general results on the existence of solutions of $\bar{\partial}$ -equations that can be used to verify integrability of complex structures on Banach manifolds and in particular on vector bundles. Here [Ne13] provides effective methods to

treat unitary representations of Banach–Lie groups in spaces of holomorphic sections of homogeneous Hilbert bundles. For a real homogeneous Banach vector bundle $\mathbb{V} = G \times_H V$ over G/H associated to a norm continuous representation of H , compatible complex structures are obtained by extensions $\beta : \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of the differential $d\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ to a representation of the complex subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ specifying the complex structure on M by $T_{1H}M \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{q}$.

Another particularity of the infinite dimensional context is that in general self-adjoint operators are not conjugate to operators in a given maximal abelian subalgebra. This is well known for the algebra of bounded operators acting on a Hilbert space, see [BPW16] for the case of Hilbert-Schmidt operators and the example after [AK06, Thm. 5.4] for operators in a finite von Neumann factor. In the fundamental examples the group action is derived from the two-sided action of the unitary group $U(\mathcal{M})$ on the algebra \mathcal{M} endowed with a scalar product derived from its trace, and the slice S is derived from the hermitian operators in a maximal abelian subalgebra. That the closure of $G.S$ has interior points comes from an approximate Cartan decomposition which follows from diagonalizability on a dense subset. Also, since the isotropy representations in the infinite dimensional context may not be discretely decomposable as in the finite dimensional case treated in [Kob13] we do not impose this condition in the propagation theorems.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary results on equivariant holomorphic Hilbert bundles and representations in Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections. In Section 3 we start with our First Propagation Theorem 3.2, which asserts the multiplicity-freeness of a unitary representation of a group G in a Hilbert space of holomorphic sections, provided there exists an anti-holomorphic bundle map satisfying certain compatibility conditions formulated in terms of stabilizer representations in points m belonging to a subset $D \subseteq M$.

For the sake of easier application of this result in the infinite dimensional context, we slightly extend T. Kobayashi’s notion of a visible action ([Kob05]). We call the action of the group G on a complex manifold M by holomorphic maps (S, σ^M) -weakly visible if $S \subseteq G$ is a subset for which the closure of $G.S$ has interior points and $\sigma^M : M \rightarrow M$ is an anti-holomorphic diffeomorphism fixing S pointwise and preserving the G -orbits through S . For a visible action one requires in addition that $G.S$ is an open subset of M , but this is not satisfied in many interesting infinite dimensional situations, where the weak visibility can be verified. This leads us to our Second Propagation Theorem 3.11, where the assumptions are formulated in terms of a weakly visible action.

We then turn to the special case where the bundle \mathbb{V} is a homogeneous bundle over a homogeneous space G/H of a Banach–Lie group G . In Section 4 we discuss G -invariant complex structures on such bundles and anti-holomorphic isomorphisms. This is used in Section 5 to obtain a propagation theorem for the multiplicity-freeness of the representation of a subgroup $K \subseteq G$ on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections of \mathbb{V} (Theorem 5.1).

In Section 6 we eventually discuss various concrete situations in the Banach context, where the results of this paper apply naturally. In particular we exhibit several kinds of weakly visible actions on infinite dimensional spaces and state some corresponding propagation theorems. A thorough investigation of these particular representations and concrete branching results are the topic of ongoing research.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Equivariant holomorphic Hilbert bundles. Let $q : \mathbb{V} = \coprod_{m \in M} \mathbb{V}_m \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a connected complex Banach manifold M whose fibers are complex Hilbert spaces. We write $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ for the space of holomorphic sections of $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$. We further assume that G is a group (at this point no topology on G is assumed) which acts on \mathbb{V} by isometric holomorphic bundle automorphisms $(\gamma_g)_{g \in G}$. We denote the action of G on the base space simply by $m \mapsto g.m$ for $g \in G$. In particular, we obtain for each $m \in M$ a unitary representation

$$\rho_m : G_m \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{V}_m)$$

of the isotropy subgroup $G_m := \{g \in G : g.m = m\}$ on the fiber \mathbb{V}_m . Finally, the action of G on the bundle $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ gives rise to a representation δ of G on $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ by

$$(2.1) \quad (\delta_g s)(m) := \gamma_g(s(g^{-1}.m)) \quad \text{for } g \in G \text{ and } s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}).$$

2.2. Reproducing kernels for Hilbert bundles. Let $q : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic Hilbert bundle on the complex manifold M . A Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is said to have *continuous point evaluations* if all the evaluation maps

$$\text{ev}_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_m, s \mapsto s(m)$$

are continuous and the function $m \mapsto \|\text{ev}_m\|_{B(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{V}_m)}$ is locally bounded. Then

$$Q(m, n) := (\text{ev}_m)(\text{ev}_n)^* \in B(\mathbb{V}_n, \mathbb{V}_m),$$

defines a holomorphic section of the operator bundle

$$B(\mathbb{V}) := \coprod_{(m, n) \in M \times M^{\text{op}}} B(\mathbb{V}_n, \mathbb{V}_m) \rightarrow M \times M^{\text{op}},$$

where M^{op} is the complex manifold M endowed with the opposite complex structure. This section is the *reproducing kernel* of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1. We call a Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ with continuous point evaluations *G-invariant*, if \mathcal{H} is invariant under the action defined by (2.1) and the so obtained representation π of G on \mathcal{H} is unitary. In this case we say that (π, \mathcal{H}) is *realized* in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$.

Lemma 2.2. (1) For $j = 1, 2$, let Q_j be the reproducing kernels of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_j \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ with inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_j}$. If $Q_1 = Q_2$, then the subspaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 coincide and the inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ are the same.

(2) If M is connected and $Q_1(m, m) = Q_2(m, m)$ for all m in a subset D which is dense in a non-empty open subset of M , then $Q_1 = Q_2$.

Proof. We can represent holomorphic sections of the bundle $q : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ by holomorphic functions on the total space of the dual bundle \mathbb{V}^* which are linear on each fiber via the G -equivariant embedding

$$\Psi : \Gamma(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{V}^*), \quad \Psi(s)(\alpha_m) = \alpha_m(s(m)) \quad \text{for } s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}), \alpha_m \in \mathbb{V}_m^*,$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{V}^*)$ is the space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{V}^* , see [Ne13, Remark 3.2]. For a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ with reproducing kernel Q we obtain a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions $\Psi(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{V}^*)$ with reproducing kernel

$$K(\alpha_m, \beta_n) = \text{ev}_{\alpha_m} \text{ev}_{\beta_n}^* \in B(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for } \alpha_m \in \mathbb{V}_m^*, \beta_n \in \mathbb{V}_n^*.$$

Since

$$\text{ev}_{\alpha_m} \circ \Psi = \alpha_m \circ \text{ev}_m \quad \text{for } \alpha_m \in \mathbb{V}_m^*,$$

we obtain for $f := \Psi(s) \in \Psi(\mathcal{H})$, $s \in \mathcal{H}$, the relation

$$\text{ev}_{\alpha_m}(f) = \alpha_m(\text{ev}_m(\Psi^{-1}(f))),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} K(\alpha_m, \beta_n) &= \text{ev}_{\alpha_m} \text{ev}_{\beta_n}^* = (\alpha_m \circ \text{ev}_m \circ \Psi^{-1})(\beta_n \circ \text{ev}_n \circ \Psi^{-1})^* \\ &= \alpha_m \text{ev}_m \text{ev}_n^* \beta_n^* = \alpha_m Q(m, n) \beta_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\alpha_m = \langle \cdot, v_m \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_m}$ for $v_m \in \mathbb{V}_m$ and $\beta_n = \langle \cdot, w_n \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_n}$ for $w_n \in \mathbb{V}_n$, then

$$K(\alpha_m, \beta_n) = \langle Q(m, n) w_n, v_m \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_m}.$$

If M is connected, then the total space \mathbb{V}^* is also connected and if D is dense in an open subset of M , then $\coprod_{m \in D} \mathbb{V}_m^*$ is dense in an open subset of \mathbb{V}^* . The first assertion now follows from general facts about reproducing kernel spaces [Ne00, Lemma I.1.5] and the second by the discussion in [Ne00, Lemma A.III.8]. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If (π, \mathcal{H}) is realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$, then the kernel Q of \mathcal{H} satisfies*

$$Q(g.m, g.n) = (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m}) Q(m, n) (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_n})^{-1} \quad \text{for } m, n \in M, g \in G.$$

In particular the hermitian operators $Q(m, m)$ commute with $\rho_m(G_m)$ for every $m \in M$.

Proof. Since

$$(\pi(g)^{-1}s)(m) = \gamma_{g^{-1}}(s(g.m)) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathcal{H}, g \in G, m \in M$$

we have

$$\text{ev}_{g.m} = \gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m} \circ \text{ev}_m \circ \pi(g)^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q(g.m, g.n) &= \text{ev}_{g.m} \text{ev}_{g.n}^* = ((\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m}) \text{ev}_m \pi(g)^{-1})(\pi(g) \text{ev}_n^* (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_n})^{-1}) \\ &= (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m}) Q(m, n) (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_n})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. COMPLEX GEOMETRY AND MULTIPLICITY-FREE PROPERTY

In this section we prove the propagation of the multiplicity-freeness from the isotropy representations to the representation on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections of equivariant holomorphic vector bundles. This result is proved through the construction of an anti-unitary operator J on the representation space which implements a conjugation in the commutant of the representation, from which the multiplicity-free property of the representation follows. Then we introduce the concept of (S, σ^M) -weakly visible action to prove a second version of the Propagation Theorem where the conditions are imposed on a slice of the group action on a dense subset in an open subset of the base space.

3.1. Propagation of the multiplicity-free property from fibers to sections. The following lemma captures the key idea that is mostly used to show that a commutant is commutative. We refer to [FT99] for one of the first systematic applications of this idea.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) \mathcal{M} is commutative.
- (b) There is an anti-unitary J on \mathcal{H} such that $JAJ^{-1} = A^*$ for $A \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (c) There is an anti-unitary J on \mathcal{H} which commutes with the self-adjoint part \mathcal{M}_h of \mathcal{M} , i.e., $J \in (\mathcal{M}_h)^{\prime\mathbb{R}}$, where $(\cdot)^{\prime\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the real linear commutant.
- (d) There is an anti-unitary J which commutes with the positive invertible operators in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): We decompose \mathcal{H} into cyclic representations of \mathcal{M} . Hence $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i$ where $\mathcal{H}_i \cong L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$ for compact spaces X_i and regular probability measures μ_i on X_i and $\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{H}_i} = L^\infty(X_i, \mu_i)$ acts as multiplication operators on $\mathcal{H}_i = L^2(X_i, \mu_i)$, see [Fa16, Thm. 11.32]. We define $J(\bigoplus_{i \in I} f_i) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bar{f}_i$ and the assertion follows since $\mathcal{M}_h|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ are real valued functions on X_i .

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c): Since the map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, A \mapsto JAJ^{-1}$ is anti-linear, it coincides with the antilinear map $A \mapsto A^*$ if and only if it does on the subspace \mathcal{M}_h of hermitian elements.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): For $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$AB = J^{-1}(AB)^*J = J^{-1}B^*JJ^{-1}A^*J = BA,$$

so that \mathcal{M} is commutative.

(c) \Rightarrow (d): This is trivial.

(d) \Rightarrow (c): Assume that $A \in \mathcal{M}_h$ and choose $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $B = A + cid$ is positive and invertible. Since $B = J^{-1}BJ$ we obtain

$$A = B - cid = J^{-1}BJ - cid = J^{-1}(B - cid)J = J^{-1}AJ. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.2. (FIRST PROPAGATION THEOREM) *Let a group G act by automorphisms on the holomorphic Hilbert bundle $q : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$. Assume that there exists an anti-holomorphic bundle endomorphism $(\sigma^\mathbb{V}, \sigma^M)$ and a G -invariant subset $D \subset M$ with $\overline{D}^\circ \neq \emptyset$, such that for any $m \in D$ there is $g \in G$ such that $g.m = \sigma^M(m)$, and $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1}\sigma_m^\mathbb{V}$ commutes with the hermitian part $\rho_m(G_m)'_h$ of $\rho_m(G_m)'$. Then any unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of G realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is multiplicity-free.*

Note that the group G is not assumed to be a Banach–Lie group. Also note that the existence of an anti-unitary operator $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1}\sigma_m^\mathbb{V}$ commuting with $\rho_m(G_m)'_h$ implies by Lemma 3.1 that the representation $\rho_m : G_m \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{V}_m)$ is multiplicity-free.

Proof. First step. We define a conjugate linear map

$$(3.1) \quad J : \Gamma(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{V}), \quad s \mapsto (\sigma^\mathbb{V})^{-1} \circ s \circ \sigma^M.$$

We will prove that $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an anti-unitary operator for any unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$.

Consider the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} := J(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle Js_1, Js_2 \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} := \langle s_2, s_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for } s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{H},$$

so that $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is an anti-unitary operator. Denote by $\tilde{\text{ev}}_m : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_m$ the evaluations of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Since

$$\tilde{\text{ev}}_m(Js) = (\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1}(s(\sigma^M(m))) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathcal{H}, m \in M,$$

we get

$$\tilde{\text{ev}}_m = (\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} \circ \text{ev}_{\sigma^M(m)} \circ J^{-1},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(m, n) &= \tilde{\text{ev}}_m \tilde{\text{ev}}_n^* = ((\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} \text{ev}_{\sigma^M(m)} J^{-1})(J \text{ev}_{\sigma^M(n)}^* \sigma_n^{\mathbb{V}}) \\ &= (\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} Q_{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma^M(m), \sigma^M(n)) \sigma_n^{\mathbb{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

We fix $m \in D$. By assumption there is $g \in G$ such that $\sigma^M(m) = g.m$ and $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}$ commutes with the hermitian part $\rho_m(G_m^1)'_h$ of $\rho_m(G_m^1)'$. Since $Q(m, m) \in \rho_m(G_m^1)'_h$ by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(m, m) &= (\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} Q_{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma^M(m), \sigma^M(m)) \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}} \\ &= (\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} Q_{\mathcal{H}}(g.m, g.m) \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}} \\ &= (\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m}) Q_{\mathcal{H}}(m, m) (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}} = Q_{\mathcal{H}}(m, m), \end{aligned}$$

so that $Q_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = Q_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\text{diag}(D) \subseteq M \times M$. By Lemma 2.2, the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ coincides with \mathcal{H} and

$$(3.2) \quad \langle Js_1, Js_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle Js_1, Js_2 \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle s_2, s_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for } s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Second step. Assume that $A \in \pi(G)'$ is positive and invertible. We define a compatible inner product on \mathcal{H} by

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_A} := \langle As_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The space \mathcal{H} with the new inner product will be denoted by \mathcal{H}_A . For $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\langle \pi(g)s_1, \pi(g)s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_A} = \langle A\pi(g)s_1, \pi(g)s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \pi(g)As_1, \pi(g)s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle As_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_A}.$$

Therefore π also defines a unitary representation on \mathcal{H}_A and we can apply (3.2) to \mathcal{H}_A to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle As_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_A} = \langle Js_2, Js_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_A} = \langle AJs_2, Js_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle Js_2, AJs_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle Js_2, JJ^{-1}AJs_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle J^{-1}AJs_1, s_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A = J^{-1}AJ$, i.e., J commutes with A , and by Lemma 3.1 the von Neumann algebra $\pi(G)'$ is commutative. \square

3.2. Discretely decomposable representation on the fiber. The following lemma shows how the commuting condition in the First Propagation Theorem can be expressed in the classical context where the isotropy representation decomposes discretely.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be a commutative von Neumann algebra, so that $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i$ and the action of \mathcal{M}' on \mathcal{H}_i is irreducible for each $i \in I$. Then the following conditions on an anti-unitary J acting on \mathcal{H} are equivalent:*

- (a) J commutes with \mathcal{M}_h .
- (b) $J(\mathcal{H}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$ for $i \in I$.

Proof. As $\mathcal{M}_h = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} \mathbb{R} \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ by Schur's Lemma, $J \in (\mathcal{M}_h)^{\mathbb{R}}$ is equivalent to $J(\mathcal{H}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$ for $i \in I$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *If, for $m \in M$, the isotropy representation on the fiber \mathbb{V}_m restricted to a subgroup $G_m^1 \subseteq G_m$ is multiplicity-free with irreducible decomposition $\mathbb{V}_m = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}$ and there exists a $g \in G$ such that $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}) = \mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}$ for all $i \in I$, then the anti-unitary operator $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}$ commutes with $\rho_m(G_m)'_h$.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.3 with $\mathcal{M} = \rho_m(G_m^1)'$, $J = (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}$ and conclude that the anti-unitary operator $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}$ commutes with $\rho_m(G_m^1)'_h$, and hence also with $\rho_m(G_m)'_h$. \square

Using Proposition 3.4, we obtain a special case of Theorem 3.2 which is an infinite dimensional version of [Kob13, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 3.5. *Let a group G act by automorphisms on the holomorphic Hilbert bundle $q: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$. Assume that there exists an anti-holomorphic bundle endomorphism $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ and a G -invariant subset D which is dense in a non-empty open subset of M such that for any $m \in D$:*

(F) *The isotropy representation on the fiber \mathbb{V}_m restricted to a subgroup $G_m^1 \subseteq G_m$ is multiplicity-free with irreducible decomposition $\mathbb{V}_m = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}$.*

(C) *There exists $g \in G$ such that $(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1} \sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}) = \mathbb{V}_m^{(i)}$ for all $i \in I$.*

Then any unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of G realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is multiplicity-free.

3.3. Weakly visible actions on complex manifolds.

Definition 3.6. If a group G acts by holomorphic maps on a connected complex manifold M we say that the action is (S, σ^M) -weakly visible if S is a non-empty subset of M and σ^M is an anti-holomorphic diffeomorphism of M satisfying

(WV1) $D = G.S$ is dense in an open subset of M .

(WV2) $\sigma^M|_S = \text{id}$.

(WV3) σ^M preserves every G -orbit in D .

Remark 3.7. Since S meets every G -orbit in D , there exists a subset $S_0 \subset S$ which meets every G -orbit in a single point. Then S_0 also satisfies (WV1-3).

Remark 3.8. Condition (WV3) in Definition 3.6 follows from conditions (WV1/2) if there is an automorphism σ^G of G such that

$$\sigma^G(g). \sigma^M(m) = \sigma^M(g.m) \quad \text{for } g \in G, m \in D.$$

Definition 3.9. A bundle endomorphism $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ is said to be *compatible with the G -action and the automorphism σ^G of G* if $\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}$ is an intertwining map between the action γ and the action $\gamma \circ \sigma^G$, i.e., on \mathbb{V} we have

$$(3.3) \quad \gamma_{\sigma^G(g)} = \sigma^{\mathbb{V}} \circ \gamma_g \circ (\sigma^{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} \quad \text{for } g \in G.$$

This implies in particular

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma^G(g). \sigma^M(m) = \sigma^M(g.m) \quad \text{for } g \in G, m \in M.$$

Proposition 3.10. *If the anti-holomorphic bundle endomorphism $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ is compatible with the G -action and with an automorphism σ^G of G , then the representation δ of G on $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ satisfies*

$$\delta_{\sigma^G(g)} = J^{-1} \delta_g J, \quad \text{for } g \in G,$$

where J is the anti-linear operator defined in (3.1).

Proof. This is immediate from (2.1), (3.1) and (3.3). \square

3.4. Conditions on a slice S . By using the concept of a weakly-visible action we give a second form of the propagation theorem where the conditions are imposed on the slice S instead on all of D .

Theorem 3.11. (SECOND PROPAGATION THEOREM). *Let $q : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ be a G -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle with an anti-holomorphic vector bundle endomorphism $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ which is compatible with the G -action and the automorphism σ^G of G . Assume also that*

(B) *The action on M is (S, σ^M) -weakly visible.*

(F) *For any $s \in S$ the anti-unitary operator $\sigma_s^{\mathbb{V}}$ commutes with $\rho_s(G_s)'_h$.*

Then any unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of G realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is multiplicity-free.

Proof. We set $D := G.S$ which is dense in an open subset of M since the action on the base space is (S, σ^M) -weakly visible. By Remark 3.7 we may assume that each G -orbit intersects S only once. Then for $m \in D$ we get $m = g.s$ for some $g \in G$ and a unique $s \in S$.

If we define $c_g : G_s \xrightarrow{\sim} G_m$, $\ell \mapsto g\ell g^{-1}$, then $\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_s} : \mathbb{V}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_m$ satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{V}_s & \xrightarrow{\gamma_g} & \mathbb{V}_m \\ \rho_s(\ell) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_m(c_g(\ell)) \\ \mathbb{V}_s & \xrightarrow{\gamma_g} & \mathbb{V}_m \end{array}$$

for $\ell \in G_s$. Hence, since $G_m = c_g(G_s)$ we get

$$(3.5) \quad ((\rho_m(G_m))'_h)^{\mathbb{R}} = (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_s})((\rho_s(G_s))'_h)^{\mathbb{R}}(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_s})^{-1}.$$

For $g' := \sigma^G(g)g^{-1} \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^M(m) &= \sigma^M(g.s) = \sigma^G(g).\sigma^M(s) && \text{by (3.4)} \\ &= \sigma^G(g).s && \text{by (WV2) in Def. 3.6} \\ &= \sigma^G(g)g^{-1}g.s = g'.m. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3) we obtain

$$(\gamma_{g'}|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1}\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}} = (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_s})(\gamma_{\sigma^G(g^{-1})}|_{\mathbb{V}_{\sigma^M(m)}})\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}} = (\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_s})\sigma_s^{\mathbb{V}}(\gamma_g|_{\mathbb{V}_s})^{-1}.$$

Since $\sigma_s^{\mathbb{V}}$ commutes with $\rho_s(G_s)'_h$ we conclude with (3.5) that $(\gamma_{g'}|_{\mathbb{V}_m})^{-1}\sigma_m^{\mathbb{V}}$ commutes with $\rho_m(G_m)'_h$. Hence all the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold and the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 3.12. Choosing $g = e$ for $m \in S$ in Proposition 3.4 we can replace condition (F) in Theorem 3.11 by the weaker condition:

(F') For $s \in S$, the isotropy representation on the fiber \mathbb{V}_s restricted to a subgroup $G_s^1 \subseteq G_s$ is multiplicity-free with irreducible decomposition $\mathbb{V}_s = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{V}_s^{(i)}$ and $\sigma_s^{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{V}_s^{(i)}) = \mathbb{V}_s^{(i)}$ for any $i \in I$.

We thus obtain a special case of Theorem 3.11.

4. ASSOCIATED BUNDLES

In this section we recall from [Be05] and [Ne13] how to define complex structures on associated Banach bundles. Based on these complex structures, we prove that certain vector bundle endomorphisms are anti-holomorphic.

Let G be a Banach-Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $H \subseteq G$ be a *split Lie subgroup*, i.e., the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H has a closed complement in \mathfrak{g} . Hence the homogeneous space

$M := G/H$ has a smooth manifold structure such that the projection $q_M : G \rightarrow G/H, g \mapsto gH$ is a submersion and defines a smooth H -principal bundle.

Let $q : \mathbb{V} = G \times_H V \rightarrow M$ be a homogeneous vector bundle defined by the norm continuous representation $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ on a Banach space V . We associate to each section $s : M \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ the function $\tilde{s} : G \rightarrow V$ specified by $s(gH) = [g, \tilde{s}(g)]$ for $g \in G$. Then a function $f : G \rightarrow V$ is of the form \tilde{s} for a section s of \mathbb{V} if and only if

$$(4.1) \quad f(gh) = \rho(h)^{-1}f(g) \quad \text{for } g \in G, h \in H.$$

We write $C^\infty(G, V)_\rho$ for the set of smooth functions $f : G \rightarrow V$ satisfying (4.1).

For every $g \in G$, we then have isomorphisms

$$\iota_g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_{gH} = [g, V], \quad v \mapsto [g, v]$$

and the group G acts in a canonical way on $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ by bundle automorphism $\gamma_g([g', v]) = [gg', v]$ and $g.g'H = gg'H$ for $g, g' \in G$ and $v \in V$.

4.1. Complex structures on associated bundles. We assume that the coset space $M := G/H$ carries the structure of a complex manifold such that G acts on M by biholomorphic maps. Let $m_0 := q_M(e) \in M$ be the canonical base point and $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ be the kernel of the complex linear extension of the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_{m_0}(G/H)$, so that \mathfrak{q} is a complex linear subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ invariant under Ad_H . We call \mathfrak{q} the *subalgebra defining the complex structure* on $M = G/H$ because specifying \mathfrak{q} means to identify $T_{m_0}(G/H) \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ with the complex Banach space $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{q}$, and thus specifying the complex structure on M . The subalgebra \mathfrak{q} satisfies $\mathfrak{q} + \bar{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{q} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Ad}_H(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$. See [Be05] for further information on complex structures on homogeneous Banach manifolds.

We want to define complex structures on vector bundles $\mathbb{V} = G \times_H V$ over Banach homogeneous spaces $M = G/H$ associated to a norm continuous representation $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of the isotropy group on a complex Banach space V . Suppose that $H \subseteq G$ is a split Lie subgroup and, as above, $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ is a closed complex $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$ -invariant subalgebra containing $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ and specifying the complex structure on G/H . If $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a norm continuous representation on the Banach space V , then a morphism $\beta : \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of complex Banach–Lie algebras is said to be an *extension* of $d\rho$ if

$$(4.2) \quad d\rho = \beta|_{\mathfrak{h}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\mathrm{Ad}(h)x) = \rho(h)\beta(x)\rho(h)^{-1} \quad \text{for } h \in H, x \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

We associate to each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the left invariant differential operator L_x on $C^\infty(G, V)$ given by

$$(L_x f)(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g \exp(tx))$$

and by complex linear extension we define the operators

$$(4.3) \quad L_{x+iy} := L_x + iL_y \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For any extension β of ρ , we write $C^\infty(G, V)_{\rho, \beta}$ for the subspace of those elements of $C^\infty(G, V)_\rho$ satisfying

$$(4.4) \quad L_w f = -\beta(w)f \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

We recall the following theorem from [Ne13, Thm. 2.6].

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be a complex Banach space and $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a norm continuous representation. Then, for any extension $\beta : \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of ρ , the associated bundle $\mathbb{V} = G \times_H V$ carries a unique structure of a holomorphic vector bundle over $M = G/H$, which is determined by the characterization of holomorphic sections $s : M \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ as those*

for which $\tilde{s} \in C^\infty(G, V)_{\rho, \beta}$. Any such holomorphic bundle structure is G -invariant in the sense that G acts on \mathbb{V} by holomorphic vector bundle automorphisms. Conversely, every G -invariant holomorphic vector bundle structure on \mathbb{V} is obtained from this construction.

4.2. The endomorphism bundle. Let $\mathbb{V} = G \times_H V \rightarrow M$ be a G -homogeneous Hilbert bundle as in Theorem 4.1, where the representation ρ is unitary. Then the complex manifold $M \times M^{\text{op}}$ is a complex homogeneous space $(G \times G)/(H \times H)$, where the complex structure is defined by the closed subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. On the Banach space $B(V)$ we consider the norm continuous representation

$$\tilde{\rho} : H \times H \rightarrow \text{GL}(B(V)) \quad \text{given by} \quad \tilde{\rho}(h_1, h_2)A = \rho(h_1)A\rho(h_2)^* = \rho(h_1)A\rho(h_2)^{-1}$$

and the corresponding extension $\tilde{\beta} : \mathfrak{q} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(B(V))$ by

$$\tilde{\beta}(x_1, x_2)A = \beta(x_1)A + A\beta(\overline{x_2})^*.$$

We write $\mathcal{L} := (G \times G) \times_{(H \times H)} B(V)$ for the corresponding holomorphic Banach bundle over $M \times M^{\text{op}}$. For every pair $(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G$ we have an isomorphism

$$\gamma_{(g_1, g_2)} : B(V) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{V}_{q_M(g_2)}, \mathbb{V}_{q_M(g_1)}), \quad \gamma_{(g_1, g_2)}(A)[g_2, v] = [g_1, Av].$$

This defines a map

$$\gamma : G \times G \times B(V) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{V}) = \coprod_{m, n \in M} B(\mathbb{V}_m, \mathbb{V}_n), \quad \gamma(g_1, g_2, A) = \gamma_{(g_1, g_2)}(A).$$

For $h_1, h_2 \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2, \tilde{\rho}(h_1, h_2)^{-1} A)[g_2, v] &= \gamma(g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2, \tilde{\rho}(h_1, h_2)^{-1} A)[g_2 h_2, \rho(h_2)^{-1} v] \\ &= [g_1 h_1, \rho(h_1)^{-1} A v] = [g_1, A v] = \gamma(g_1, g_2, A)[g_2, v] \end{aligned}$$

so that γ factors through a bijection $\bar{\gamma} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B(\mathbb{V})$. This provides a description of the bundle \mathcal{L} as the endomorphism bundle of the Hilbert bundle \mathbb{V} , see [BR07].

4.3. Holomorphic morphism of equivariant principal bundles. Let $q_i : \mathbb{V}_i = G_i \times_{H_i} V_i \rightarrow M_i = G_i/H_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ be homogeneous vector bundles defined by norm continuous unitary representations $\rho_i : H_i \rightarrow \text{U}(V_i)$ on Hilbert spaces V_i .

Let $\lambda : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a homomorphism of Banach–Lie groups satisfying $\lambda(H_1) \subseteq H_2$, so that there is an induced map $\lambda^M : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ defined by $gH_1 \mapsto \lambda(g)H_2$. Let $\psi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be an operator such that

$$(4.5) \quad \rho_2(\lambda(h)) \circ \psi = \psi \circ \rho_1(h) \quad \text{for } h \in H_1.$$

We say that (λ, ψ) is a morphism between the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 . These conditions imply that the map

$$\lambda^{\mathbb{V}} : \mathbb{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_2, \quad [g, v] \mapsto [\lambda(g), \psi(v)]$$

is well defined. It is a lift of the map λ^M and it is complex linear on each fiber. If we differentiate (4.5) we obtain

$$d\rho_2(d\lambda(w)) \circ \psi = \psi \circ d\rho_1(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{h}_1.$$

If the $M_i = G_i/H_i$ carry complex structures defined by subalgebras \mathfrak{q}_i , then λ^M is holomorphic if and only if the complex linear extension $d\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathfrak{g}_{1, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2, \mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $d\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{q}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$. Assume further that $q_i : \mathbb{V}_i \rightarrow M_i$ have complex bundle structures defined by extensions $\beta_i : \mathfrak{q}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_i)$ of $d\rho_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If the intertwining operator ψ also satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \beta_2(d\lambda(w)) \circ \psi = \psi \circ \beta_1(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{q}_1,$$

then we say that (λ, ψ) is a *morphism* between the representation (ρ_1, β_1) of (H, \mathfrak{q}) on V_1 and the representation (ρ_2, β_2) on V_2 .

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that (λ, ψ) is an intertwiner for the H -representations (ρ_1, V_1) and (ρ_2, V_2) . Then (λ, ψ) is a morphism between the representation (ρ_1, β_1) of V_1 and the representation (ρ_2, β_2) of V_2 if and only if $\lambda^M : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, $gH_1 \mapsto \lambda(g)H_2$ and $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_2$ are holomorphic.*

Proof. The condition $d\lambda_{\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{q}_1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$ holds if and only if the induced map $\lambda^M : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, $gH_1 \mapsto \lambda(g)H_2$ is holomorphic. Assume that this is the case. We represent λ^\vee in local trivializations

$$\alpha_i : U_i \times V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_i|_{U_i}, \quad (gH_i, v) \mapsto [g, F_i(g)v] \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

where $U_i \subseteq M_i$ are open subsets and

$$F_i : q_M^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_i)$$

satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_i(gh) &= \rho_i(h)^{-1}F_i(g) \quad \text{for } g \in q_M^{-1}(U_i), h \in H_i \\ L_w F_i &= -\beta_i(w)F_i \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{q}_i \end{aligned}$$

(see the proof of [Nel13, Thm 2.6]). Observe that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (gH_1, v) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & [g, F_1(g)v] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda^\vee \\ (\lambda(g)H_2, F_2(\lambda(g))^{-1}\psi F_1(g)v) & \xleftarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & [\lambda(g), \psi(F_1(g)v)] \end{array}$$

so that in these trivializations the map is given by

$$(gH_1, v) \mapsto (\lambda(g)H_2, F_2(\lambda(g))^{-1}\psi F_1(g)v) \quad \text{for } gH_1 \in U_1 \cap (\lambda^M)^{-1}(U_2), v \in V.$$

Since the map $F_2 : q_M^{-1}(U_2) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_2)$ satisfies (4.7) we have

$$(4.8) \quad L_w(F_2 \circ \lambda) = L_{d\lambda(w)}(F_2) \circ \lambda = -\beta_2(d\lambda(w))(F_2 \circ \lambda).$$

The map $gH_1 \mapsto \lambda(g)H_2$ is holomorphic and the map $F_2(\lambda(g))^{-1}\psi F_1(g) : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is complex linear. We have to prove that the map $gH_1 \mapsto F_2(\lambda(g))^{-1}\psi F_1(g) \in B(V_1, V_2)$ for $gH_1 \in U_1 \cap (\lambda^M)^{-1}(U_2)$ is holomorphic, i.e., that the map $g \mapsto F_2(\lambda(g))^{-1}\psi F_1(g)$ is annihilated by the differential operators L_w for $w \in \mathfrak{q}_1$, if and only if (4.6) holds. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L_w((F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\psi F_1)) &= L_w((F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1})(\psi F_1) + (F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}L_w(\psi F_1) \\ &= -(F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}L_w(F_2 \circ \lambda)(F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\psi F_1) + (F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}L_w(\psi F_1) \\ &= -(F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(-\beta_2(d\lambda(w)))(F_2 \circ \lambda)(F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\psi F_1) \\ &\quad + (F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\psi L_w F_1) \quad \text{by (4.8)} \\ &= -(F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(-\beta_2(d\lambda(w)))(\psi F_1) \\ &\quad + (F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}\psi(-\beta_1(w)F_1) \quad \text{by (4.7)} \\ &= (F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\beta_2(d\lambda(w))\psi - \psi(\beta_1(w)))F_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $L_w((F_2 \circ \lambda)^{-1}(\psi F_1)) = 0$ if and only if $\beta_2(d\lambda(w))\psi - \psi(\beta_1(w)) = 0$ for $w \in \mathfrak{q}_1$. \square

Remark 4.3. It is easy to check that the correspondence between morphisms of representations and holomorphic bundle morphisms is functorial. In particular one has for a smooth Banach G -module W the following linear bijection, which is a variant of Frobenius reciprocity:

$$\Gamma: \operatorname{Hom}_G(W, C_{\rho, \beta}^\infty(G, V)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\rho, \beta}(W, V), \quad \Phi \mapsto \operatorname{ev}_e \circ \Phi.$$

Here the intertwining operators are assumed to be continuous and the space $C_{\rho, \beta}^\infty(G, V)$ carries the locally convex topology of pointwise convergence. Then the inverse of Γ is simply given by $\Gamma^{-1}(\varphi)(w)(g) = \varphi(\pi(g^{-1})w)$.

4.4. Opposite complex structure on associated bundles. Given an associated vector bundle $q: \mathbb{V} = G \times_{H, \rho} V \rightarrow M = G/H$ endowed with a complex structure defined in Subsection 4.1 by a subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ and an extension β of $d\rho$, we can define a bundle $q: \mathbb{V}^\text{op} \rightarrow M^\text{op}$ with the same underlying real spaces and opposite complex structures on the total and base spaces.

Proposition 4.4. *If $\bar{\rho}: H \rightarrow \operatorname{U}(V^\text{op})$ is the complex conjugate representation of ρ then the map $\bar{\beta}: \bar{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^\text{op})$ given by $\bar{\beta}(w) := \overline{\beta(\bar{w})}$ for $w \in \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ is an extension of $d\bar{\rho}$. The bundle $q: \mathbb{V}^\text{op} = G \times_{H, \bar{\rho}} V^\text{op} \rightarrow M^\text{op} = G/H$ with the complex structure defined by the subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ and the extension $\bar{\beta}$ of $d\bar{\rho}$ has the same holomorphic sections as $q: \mathbb{V} = G \times_{H, \rho} V \rightarrow M = G/H$, so it carries the opposite complex structure on total and base space, respectively.*

Proof. The algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ defines the opposite complex structure on $M = G/H$. Since $\bar{\beta}$ is a complex linear Lie algebra homomorphism and since

$$d\bar{\rho}(w) = \overline{d\rho(w)} = \overline{\beta(w)} = \overline{\beta(\bar{w})} = \bar{\beta}(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{h}$$

we get $d\bar{\rho} = \bar{\beta}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Also,

$$\bar{\beta}(\operatorname{Ad}(h)w) = \overline{\beta(\overline{\operatorname{Ad}(h)w})} = \overline{\beta(\operatorname{Ad}(h)\bar{w})} = \bar{\rho}(h)\overline{\beta(\bar{w})}\bar{\rho}(h)^{-1} = \bar{\rho}(h)\bar{\beta}(w)\bar{\rho}(h)^{-1}$$

for $h \in H$ and $w \in \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$, so that $\bar{\beta}: \bar{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^\text{op})$ is an extension of $d\bar{\rho}$.

For a map $f: G \rightarrow V$ we write $\bar{f}: G \rightarrow V^\text{op}$ for the same map to V with the opposite complex structure. Then

$$\bar{f}(gh) = \bar{\rho}(h)^{-1}\bar{f}(g) \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty(G, V)_{\rho, \beta}, g \in G, h \in H,$$

and

$$L_w \bar{f} = \overline{L_{\bar{w}} f} = -\overline{\beta(\bar{w})f} = -\overline{\beta(w)f} = -\bar{\beta}(w)\bar{f} \quad \text{for } w \in \bar{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Therefore $C^\infty(G, V)_{\rho, \beta} = C^\infty(G, V^\text{op})_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\beta}}$, and the bundles $q: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow M$ and $q: \mathbb{V}^\text{op} \rightarrow M^\text{op}$ have the same holomorphic sections. As both bundles have the same sections and M^op and V^op carry the complex structure opposite to the one M and V , respectively, the bundle \mathbb{V}^op carries the complex structure opposite to \mathbb{V} . \square

4.5. Anti-holomorphic automorphisms of equivariant principal bundles. Assume that there is an automorphism σ of G which stabilizes H and such that the complex linear extension $d\sigma_\mathbb{C}: \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ of $d\sigma$ satisfies $d\sigma_\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{q}) = \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$, i.e., the induced map σ^M on $M = G/H$ defined by $gH \mapsto \sigma(g)H$ is anti-holomorphic. The condition $\bar{\rho} \circ \sigma \simeq \rho$, where $\bar{\rho}: H \rightarrow \operatorname{U}(V^\text{op})$ is the complex conjugate representation, holds if and only if there is an anti-unitary operator $\psi: V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(4.9) \quad \rho(\sigma(h)) \circ \psi = \psi \circ \rho(h) \quad \text{for } h \in H.$$

This condition implies that the endomorphism of \mathbb{V} given by

$$\sigma^{\mathbb{V}} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}, \quad [g, v] \mapsto [\sigma(g), \psi(v)]$$

is well-defined. It is a lift of the anti-holomorphic map $\sigma^M : M \rightarrow M$, $gH \mapsto \sigma(g)H$ and it is anti-unitary on each fiber.

If the anti-unitary map $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ also satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad \beta(\overline{d\sigma(w)}) \circ \psi = \psi \circ \beta(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{q},$$

then (σ, ψ) is a morphism between (ρ, β) and $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\beta})$. If we consider the endomorphism $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ of \mathbb{V} as a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{V} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}} & \mathbb{V}^{\text{op}} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ M & \xrightarrow{\sigma^M} & M^{\text{op}}, \end{array}$$

then Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 lead to:

Proposition 4.5. *If (σ, ψ) intertwines the representations (ρ, β) and $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\beta})$, then $\sigma^M : M \rightarrow M$, $gH \mapsto \sigma(g)H$ and $\sigma^{\mathbb{V}} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$, $[g, v] \mapsto [\sigma(g), \psi(v)]$ are anti-holomorphic.*

5. A PROPAGATION THEOREM FOR ASSOCIATED BUNDLES

Based on the constructions of the previous section we give a formulation of the propagation theorem for infinite-dimensional associated holomorphic vector bundles, which is best suited for specific examples.

Let K be a subgroup of the connected Banach–Lie group G . For $g \in G$, the isotropy group of $m = gH$ for the action of K on $M = G/H$ is $K_m = K \cap G_m = K \cap gHg^{-1}$. We define a homomorphism

$$c_{g^{-1}} : K_{gH} \rightarrow H, \quad k \mapsto g^{-1}kg$$

with range $K_{(g)} := c_{g^{-1}}(K_{gH}) = g^{-1}Kg \cap H \subseteq H$. If σ is an automorphism of G such that $\sigma(K) = K$ and $\sigma(H) = H$, for $g \in G^\sigma$ we get $\sigma(K_{(g)}) = K_{(g)}$.

Theorem 5.1. (THIRD PROPAGATION THEOREM). *Let $\mathbb{V} = G \times_{H, \rho} V \rightarrow M$ be a G -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle with a complex subalgebra \mathfrak{q} and an extension $\beta : \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of $d\rho$ defining a complex structure on it. Let $K \subseteq G$ be a subgroup and σ be an automorphism of the Banach–Lie group G stabilizing K and H such that (σ, ψ) is a morphism between the representations (ρ, β) and $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\beta})$. Suppose that there is a subset B of G^σ such that:*

(B) *The closure of the subset $KBH \subseteq G$ has interior points.*

(F) *For every $b \in B$ the anti-unitary operator ψ commutes with $\rho(K_{(b)})'_h$.*

Then any unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of K realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is multiplicity-free.

Proof. Since (σ, ψ) is a morphism between the representations (ρ, β) and $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\beta})$, by Proposition 4.5 the bundle endomorphisms $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ given by

$$\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}([g, v]) = [\sigma^G(g), \psi(v)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^M(gH) = \sigma(g)H \quad \text{for } g \in G, v \in V$$

is anti-holomorphic.

The anti-holomorphic vector bundle endomorphism $(\sigma^{\mathbb{V}}, \sigma^M)$ is compatible with the K -action and with the automorphism $\sigma^K := \sigma|_K$ of K since for $k \in K$, $g \in G$ and $v \in V$

$$\sigma^M(k.gH) = \sigma(kg).H = \sigma^K(k).\sigma(g).H = \sigma^K(k).\sigma^M(gH),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_{\sigma^K(k)} \circ \sigma^\mathbb{V})([g, v]) &= \gamma_{\sigma^K(k)}([\sigma(g), \psi(v)]) = [\sigma^K(k)\sigma(g), \psi(v)] \\ &= ([\sigma(kg), \psi(v)]) = \sigma^\mathbb{V}([kg, v]) = (\sigma^\mathbb{V} \circ \gamma_k)([g, v]). \end{aligned}$$

Since KBH is dense in an open subset of G , the K -invariant subset $D := KBH/H \subseteq G/H$ is dense in an open subset of $M = G/H$. We set

$$S := BH/H \subseteq D,$$

so that $K.S = D$. Then $\sigma^M|_S = \text{id}$ because $B \subseteq G^\sigma$. For $s \in S$ we have

$$\sigma^M(K.s) = \sigma^K(K).\sigma^M(s) = K.s.$$

Therefore the K -action on the base space is (S, σ^M) -weakly visible and condition (B) in Theorem 3.11 is satisfied.

Let $m = gH$ for $g \in G$. Via the bijection $\iota_g : V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{V}_{gH}$, $v \mapsto [g, v]$ and the group homomorphism $c_{g^{-1}} : K_{gH} \rightarrow K_{(g)}$ the isotropy representation $\rho_m : K_m \rightarrow \text{U}(\mathbb{V}_m)$ factors through the representation $\rho|_{K_{(g)}} : K_{(g)} \rightarrow \text{U}(V)$, namely

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{V}_m \\ \rho(c_{g^{-1}}(k)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_m(k) \\ V & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{V}_m, \end{array}$$

and thus

$$\iota_g \rho(K_{(g)}) \iota_g^{-1} = \rho_m(K_m).$$

Let $s \in S$ and $b \in B$ with $s = bH$. Observe that

$$\iota_{\sigma(g)} \circ \psi = \sigma_{gH}^\mathbb{V} \circ \iota_g \quad \text{for } g \in G,$$

and $\sigma(b) = b$, so that $\sigma_s^\mathbb{V} = \iota_b \psi \iota_b^{-1}$. Since ψ commutes with $\rho(K_{(b)})'_h$ we conclude that $\sigma_s^\mathbb{V} = \iota_b \psi \iota_b^{-1}$ commutes with $(\iota_b \rho(K_{(b)}) \iota_b^{-1})'_h = \rho_s(K_s)'_h$ so that condition (F) in Theorem 3.11 holds as well. \square

Remark 5.2. Note that

$$N := Z_{K \cap H}(B) = \{k \in K \cap H : kb = bk \text{ for } b \in B\} \subseteq b^{-1}Kb \cap H = K_{(b)} \quad \text{for } b \in B.$$

Since the commutant of $\rho(N)'_h$ is contained in the commutant of $\rho(K_{(b)})'_h$, we obtain a corollary of Theorem 5.1 by replacing condition (F) by:

(F') The anti-unitary operator ψ commutes with $\rho(N)'_h$ for $N = Z_{K \cap H}(B)$.

Remark 5.3. In the previous formulation of the theorem, if the subset B is bigger, condition (B) becomes weaker and condition (F') stronger.

Proposition 5.4. *If $\bar{\rho} \circ \sigma \simeq \rho$ as representations of H , with the isomorphism given by an anti-unitary ψ , the restriction $\rho|_{K^1}$ to a subgroup $K^1 \subseteq H$ is multiplicity-free with irreducible decomposition $\rho|_{K^1} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \nu^{(i)}$ on $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^{(i)}$ and $\bar{\nu}^{(i)} \circ \sigma \simeq \nu^{(i)}$ as representations of K^1 , then ψ commutes with $\rho(K^1)'_h$.*

Proof. Since $\bar{\nu}^{(i)} \circ \sigma \simeq \nu^{(i)}$ as representations of K^1 , there are anti-unitary K^1 -intertwining operators $\psi_i : V^{(i)} \rightarrow V^{(i)}$ for $i \in I$. Then the unitary operator $\Psi := \psi^{-1} \circ \bigoplus_{i \in I} \psi_i$ commutes with the representation $\rho|_{K^1} \simeq \bigoplus_{j \in I} \nu^{(j)}$ which is multiplicity-free. Therefore $\Psi(V^{(j)}) = V^{(j)}$ for every $j \in I$, which implies that $\psi(V^{(j)}) = V^{(j)}$ for $j \in I$. By Lemma 3.3, this means that the anti-unitary operator ψ commutes with $\rho(K^1)'_h$. \square

Remark 5.5. With Proposition 5.4, we obtain a special case of Theorem 5.1 where the representations on the fibers are discretely decomposable by changing condition (F) to:

(F'') For every $b \in B$ the restriction of ρ to a subgroup $K_{(b)}^1 \subseteq K_{(b)}$ is multiplicity-free with irreducible decomposition $\rho|_{K_{(b)}^1} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \nu_b^{(i)}$ on $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_b^{(i)}$ and $\bar{\nu}_b^{(i)} \circ \sigma \simeq \nu_b^{(i)}$ as representations of $K_{(b)}^1$.

By Proposition 5.4 and Remark 5.2 we obtain the following reformulation of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.6. Let $\mathbb{V} = G \times_{H, \rho} V \rightarrow M$ be a G -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle with a complex subalgebra \mathfrak{q} and an extension $\beta : \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of $d\rho$ defining a complex structure on it. Let $K \subseteq G$ be a subgroup and σ be an automorphism of the Banach–Lie group G stabilizing K and H such that (σ, ψ) is a morphism between the representations (ρ, β) and $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\beta})$. Suppose also that there is a subset B of G^σ such that:

- (B) The subset KBH of G satisfies $\overline{KBH}^\circ \neq \emptyset$.
- (F) For $N = Z_{K \cap H}(B)$ the restriction $\rho|_N$ is multiplicity-free with irreducible decomposition $\rho|_N \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \nu^{(i)}$ on $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^{(i)}$ and $\bar{\nu}^{(i)} \circ \sigma \simeq \nu^{(i)}$ for $i \in I$ as representations of N .

Then any unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of K realized in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is multiplicity-free.

6. EXAMPLES OF WEAKLY VISIBLE ACTIONS AND PROPAGATION THEOREMS

In this final section we discuss various concrete situations in the context of operator algebras and Hilbert spaces which fit into the setting developed above. In particular we exhibit several kinds of weakly visible actions on infinite dimensional spaces and state some corresponding propagation theorems.

6.1. Propagation theorem for linear base spaces. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ be the Fock space on \mathcal{H} with reproducing kernel $K(x, y) = e^{\langle x, y \rangle}$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then the polynomial functions $p_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$p_m(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \prod_{j \in J} \langle z, e_j \rangle^{m_j} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(J)}$$

form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathbb{N}_0^{(J)}$ is the set of finitely supported tuples indexed by J and $m! = \prod_{j \in J} m_j!$. The Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ of homogeneous functions of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ has reproducing kernel $K_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{n!} \langle x, y \rangle^n$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\delta : H \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a norm-continuous representation of a Banach–Lie group H and let $G := \mathcal{H} \rtimes_\delta H$, so that $M := G/H \simeq \mathcal{H}$. Let $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(V)$ be another norm-continuous representation, so we can define an associated (trivial) vector bundle

$$q : \mathbb{V} = G \times_{\rho, H} V \rightarrow G/H \simeq \mathcal{H}.$$

Its space of holomorphic sections $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ can be identified with the space of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}, V)$, where a section of the bundle

$$s : (z, 1)(\{0\} \times H) \mapsto [(z, 1), f(z, 1)]$$

is defined by a holomorphic function $f' : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow V$, $f'(z) = f(z, 1)$ for $z \in \mathcal{H}$.

With the representation $\delta : H \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{H})$ we define a representation $\delta' : H \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$, $(\delta'_h g)(z) = g(\delta_{h^{-1}} z)$ for $h \in H$, $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$. We can realize the representation $\delta' \otimes \rho$ of H in the space of holomorphic sections of the bundle via the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes V &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}, V) \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{V}) \\ f \otimes v &\mapsto (z \mapsto f(z)v). \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\text{ev}_z : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes V \rightarrow V$, $f \otimes v \mapsto f(z)v$ and $(\text{ev}_z)^* : V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes V$, $(\text{ev}_z)^*(w) = e^{\langle \cdot, z \rangle} w$ so that the reproducing kernel is given by

$$Q(y, z) := (\text{ev}_y)(\text{ev}_z)^* = (\text{ev}_y)e^{\langle \cdot, z \rangle} \text{id}_V = e^{\langle y, z \rangle} \text{id}_V.$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let σ be an involution on H , and let $\sigma^{\mathcal{H}}$ be an anti-unitary operator on \mathcal{H} such that*

$$\delta_{\sigma(h)} = \sigma^{\mathcal{H}} \circ \delta_h \circ (\sigma^{\mathcal{H}})^{-1},$$

and ψ be an anti-unitary operator on V such that

$$\rho_{\sigma(h)} = \psi \circ \rho_h \circ \psi^{-1} \quad \text{for } h \in H.$$

Suppose further that there is a subset S in the fixed point set of $\sigma^{\mathcal{H}}$ such that:

- (B) *The closure of $\delta(H)S$ in \mathcal{H} has interior points.*
- (F') *The operator ψ commutes with the hermitian operators in the commutant $\rho(Z_H(S))'$, where $Z_H(S) := \{h \in H : (\forall s \in S) \delta_h(s) = s\}$ is the pointwise stabilizer of S in H .*

Then the representation $\delta' \otimes \rho$ of H on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes V$ is multiplicity-free.

Proof. For the subset $B = S \times \{1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \times \{1\} \subseteq G$, the relations $(b, 1)(0, h) = (b, h)$ and $(0, h)(b, 1) = (\delta_h b, h)$ for $h \in H$ and $b \in B$ lead to

$$Z_H(B) = \{h \in H : \delta_h(b) = b \text{ for } b \in B\}.$$

If B is in the fixed point set of $\sigma^{\mathcal{H}}$, HBH is dense in an open subset of G (which is equivalent to $\overline{HB}^\circ \neq \emptyset$ in \mathcal{H}), and ψ commutes with the hermitian operators in $\rho(Z_H(B))'$, then Theorem 5.1 with condition (F') in Remark 5.2 implies that the representation $\delta' \otimes \rho$ of H on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes V$ is multiplicity-free. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let σ be an involution on H , $\sigma^{\mathcal{H}}$ an anti-unitary operator on \mathcal{H} such that*

$$\delta_{\sigma(h)} \circ \sigma^{\mathcal{H}} = \sigma^{\mathcal{H}} \circ \delta_h.$$

Suppose further that $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{\sigma^{\mathcal{H}}}$ is such that the closure of $\delta(H)S$ in \mathcal{H} has interior points. Then the representation δ' of H on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is multiplicity-free.

6.2. Hilbert-Schmidt operators. In Section 5.6 of [Kob05] finite dimensional examples of visible actions on linear spaces are presented. We extend some of these results to the context of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. We denote by $B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ the Hilbert-Schmidt operators from \mathcal{H}_1 to \mathcal{H}_2 and by

$$\mathbf{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) := \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + B_2(\mathcal{H}))$$

the unitary Hilbert-Schmidt perturbations of the identity. The first result is about torus actions and the remaining results involve an approximate Cartan decomposition in this context.

The two sided action of the group $\mathbf{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbf{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$ on $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{H})$ or $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ is weakly visible. We take an orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} and define a conjugation on \mathcal{H} by $J(\sum_n \alpha_n e_n) = \sum_n \overline{\alpha_n} e_n$ and an automorphism of $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{H})$ by $\sigma(g) = JgJ$. If we define

S as the subset of positive diagonal operators in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{H})$, the Cartan decomposition and a finite-dimensional approximation argument imply that $D := (\mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})).S = \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})S\mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and also in $B_2(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore the $\mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$ -orbits are σ -invariant since $\sigma(\mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})) = \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$, and $\sigma(s) = s$ for $s \in S$. Therefore the action is (S, σ) -weakly visible.

Example 6.3. A particular case is the multiplication action of the abelian Banach–Lie group

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})} \cong \exp(i\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})) \subseteq \mathrm{U}_2(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{U}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})) \cap (\mathbf{1} + B_2(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})))$$

on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$. It is (S, σ) -weakly visible if we take $S = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})$ and σ is conjugation on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$. Here the finitely supported sequences $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are contained in $D := \exp(i\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})).\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})$, so that this subset is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$. The sequence $f(n) = \frac{i}{2^n}$ is contained in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ but not in D because $(-i, -i, -i, \dots)$ is not contained in $\exp(i\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}))$.

Assume $H := \exp(i\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}))$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ are endowed with the canonical involutions. Corollary 6.2 implies that the representation of H on $\mathcal{F}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}))$ is multiplicity-free. This is the fact that in the Taylor expansion of a function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}))$ each monomial appears exactly once. In the finite-dimensional context this is the most basic example of a multiplicity-free representation (see the introduction of [Kob05]).

Remark 6.4. Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu)$ with a σ -finite measure space (X, μ) . Then the multiplication algebra $\mathcal{A} = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is maximal abelian in $B(L^2(X, \mu))$. If $\sigma(f) = \bar{f}$ is complex conjugation on $L^2(X, \mu)$ and $S = L^2(X, \mu; \mathbb{R})$ denotes the subspace of real-valued functions, then the action of the unitary group $\mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} on $L^2(X, \mu)$ is (S, σ) -visible. If μ is infinite, then the action of the subgroup $\exp(iL^2(X, \mu; \mathbb{R})) \subseteq \mathrm{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is (S, σ) -weakly visible.

Example 6.5. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be a closed subspace of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_2 and take an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I_1}$ of \mathcal{H}_1 and an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I_2}$ of \mathcal{H}_2 such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Consider the action δ of $H := \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$ on $M = B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ given by

$$\delta(u_1, u_2)(x) = u_2 x u_1^{-1}.$$

Consider the subset

$$S := \{A \in B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) : (\forall j \in I_1) A(e_j) \in \mathbb{R}e_j\}$$

and the conjugations of \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 given by $J_2(\sum_{j \in I_2} \alpha_j e_j) = \sum_{j \in I_2} \bar{\alpha}_j e_j$ and $J_1 = J_2|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ respectively. Define a conjugation on $B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ by $\sigma^M(x) = J_2 x J_1$. It is easy to verify that the action (6.5) is (S, σ^M) -weakly visible and compatible with the automorphism of $\mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$ given by $\sigma(u_1, u_2) = (J_1 u_1 J_1, J_2 u_2 J_2)$. Corollary 6.2 implies that the representation of H on $\mathcal{F}(B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$ is multiplicity-free.

Example 6.6. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be a closed subspace of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_2 and take an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I_1}$ of \mathcal{H}_1 and an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I_2}$ of \mathcal{H}_2 such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Consider the action δ of $\mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$ on $M := B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}) \simeq B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ given by

$$\delta(u_1, u_2)(x, \xi) = (u_2 x u_1^{-1}, u_1 \xi),$$

the subset

$$S' := S \oplus \mathcal{H}_1^{J_1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{H}_1^{J_1} := \{\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1 : J_1 \xi = \xi\}$$

with S as in Example 6.5, and the conjugation on $B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ given by $\sigma(x, \xi) = (J_2 x J_1, J_1 \xi)$. The action is (S', σ) -weakly visible since the action of the subgroup

$$\{(u, (u, \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_1^+})) \in \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_2) : (\forall j \in I_1) \ u(e_j) \in \mathbb{T}e_j\}$$

fixes S and rotates the vectors in a dense subset of \mathcal{H}_1 into $\mathcal{H}_1^{J_1}$. Corollary 6.2 implies that the action of H on

$$\mathcal{F}(B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \oplus \mathcal{H}_1) \simeq \mathcal{F}(B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \oplus \mathcal{H}_1)$$

is multiplicity-free.

Remark 6.7. Example 6.5 can be interpreted as the isotropy representation of the group $\text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$ on the tangent space at the base point of the restricted Grassmannian

$$G_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2) \cong \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H})/(\text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_2)).$$

Example 6.8. Assume the context and notation of Example 6.5, and for simplicity we set $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$. The action δ of $H := \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \times \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{K} := B_2(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$\delta(u_1, u_2)(x) = u_2 x u_1^{-1}$$

is a unitary representation $\delta : H \rightarrow \text{U}(\mathcal{K})$. As uniformly bounded isotropy representations, we can take for example the representations

$$\rho(u_1, u_2) := \rho_1(u_1) \oplus \rho_2(u_2), \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_j := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m_j} \Lambda^{n_{j,k}}.$$

Here, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the representation $\Lambda^n : \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{U}(\Lambda^n \mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\Lambda^n(u)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = uv_1 \wedge \dots \wedge uv_n.$$

Consider the group

$$N = Z_H(B) = \{(u, u) \in \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H})^2 : (\forall i \in I) \ u(e_i) \in \mathbb{T}e_i\},$$

where

$$B = \{x \in B_2(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) : (\forall j \in I) \ x(e_j) \in \mathbb{R}e_j\}.$$

Since

$$\Lambda^n(\text{diag}(t_j)_{j \in J})(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_n}) = t_{j_1} \dots t_{j_n} (e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_n}),$$

if the $n_{j,k}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, \dots, m_j$ are all distinct, $\rho|_N$ is multiplicity-free. We can take the canonical anti-unitary operators given by complex conjugation on

$$\Lambda^n(\ell^2(J)) \subseteq \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \ell^2(J) = \ell^2(J^n).$$

Theorem 6.1 implies that the representation $\delta' \otimes \rho$ of $H = \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \times \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$ is multiplicity-free.

Example 6.9. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let $\text{U}_1(\mathcal{H}) := \text{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cap (1 + B_1(\mathcal{H}))$ denote the group of unitary operators which are trace class perturbations of the identity. In the previous example we can take instead of $\text{U}_2(\mathcal{H}) \times \text{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$ the group $\text{U}_1(\mathcal{H}) \times \text{U}_1(\mathcal{H})$ to construct isotropy representations from the operator determinant. The isotropy representation is $\rho(u_1, u_2) = \rho_1(u_1) \oplus \rho_2(u_2)$, where

$$\rho_j = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m_j} (\det_{\text{U}_1(\mathcal{H})})^{n_{j,k}}.$$

If the $n_{j,k}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, \dots, m_j$ are all distinct, then $\rho|_N$ is multiplicity-free, where

$$N = Z_H(B) = \{(u, u) \in U_1(\mathcal{H}_1) \times U_1(\mathcal{H}_2) : (\forall j \in I) u(e_j) \in \mathbb{T}e_j\}.$$

6.3. Finite von Neumann algebras. Some basic examples of type II_1 factors have representations such that the Hilbert space where the factor \mathcal{M} acts can be endowed with an anti-unitary operator J such that

$$(6.1) \quad J\mathcal{M}J = \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad JxJ = x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}_h$$

for a maximal abelian $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{M} (a *masa* for short), see Examples 6.13 and 6.15. We are going to use Theorem 6.1 to construct examples of representations of the product $H := U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}}$ of the unitary group of a finite factor \mathcal{M} , where the base is the GNS construction of \mathcal{M} , the slice S consists of the hermitian operators in a masa, and the conjugations are constructed from the conjugation J .

We denote by $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ the GNS Hilbert space, which is the completion of \mathcal{M} , endowed with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \tau(xy^*)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, where τ is the trace of the algebra \mathcal{M} . Let the unitary representation $\delta : H \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ of $H = U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M})$ given by

$$\delta(u_1, u_2)(x) = u_1xu_2^{-1} \quad \text{for } u_1, u_2 \in U_{\mathcal{M}}, x \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{M}).$$

Let J be as in (6.1). If we define $\sigma(x) = JxJ$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, then σ extends to an anti-unitary involution $\sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ compatible with the involutions on $U_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $H = U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}}$ given by $\sigma(u) = JuJ$ for $u \in U_{\mathcal{M}}$, and $\sigma(h) = (J, J)h(J, J)$ for $h \in H = U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively. In fact, for $u_1, u_2 \in U_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(u_1), \sigma(u_2)).\sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})}(x) &= \sigma(u_1)\sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})}(x)\sigma(u_2^{-1}) = (Ju_1J)JxJ(Ju_2^{-1}J) \\ &= Ju_1xu_2^{-1}J = \sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})}(u_1xu_2^{-1}) = \sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})}((u_1, u_2).x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$ fixes $S := \mathcal{A}_h$ pointwise. To prove that the action of $U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ is $(S, \sigma^{L^2(\mathcal{M})})$ -weakly visible it remains to show that $D := U_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}_hU_{\mathcal{M}}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. This is a consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 6.10. *Let \mathcal{M} be type II_1 -factor with trace τ , let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a masa, and denote the cone of positive invertible elements in \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{A}^+ . Then $D := U_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}^+U_{\mathcal{M}}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$*

Proof. For a self-adjoint operator $x \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a unique Borel probability measure m_x on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda^n dm_x(\lambda) = \tau(x^n) \quad \text{for } n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

If, conversely, m is a compactly supported probability measure on \mathbb{R} , then there is a self-adjoint operator $x \in \mathcal{A}$ with spectral measure $m_x = m$, see [AK06, Prop. 5.2]. The norm closure of the unitary orbit $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{uxu^{-1} : u \in U_{\mathcal{M}}\}$ of a self-adjoint $x \in \mathcal{M}$ consists of the selfadjoint operators in \mathcal{M} with the same spectral measure as x ([AK06, Thm. 5.4]), so the spectral measure is a complete invariant of approximate unitary equivalence.

Then the polar decomposition implies that $D := U_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}^+U_{\mathcal{M}}$ is dense in the unit group \mathcal{M}^\times of \mathcal{M} . In [Ch70, Thm. 5] it is shown that a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} has dense unit group in the norm topology if and only if \mathcal{M} is of finite type. Therefore, if \mathcal{M} is a finite type factor, then D is norm dense in \mathcal{M} . Since on $\mathcal{M} \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{M})$, the Hilbert space norm is dominated by the uniform norm, $D := U_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{A}^+U_{\mathcal{M}}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ with its Hilbert space topology. \square

Remark 6.11. As the example after [AK06, Thm. 5.4] shows, there exist selfadjoint operators with the same spectral measure which are not unitarily equivalent.

Set $S := \mathcal{A}_h$, so that

$$N = Z_H(S \times \{1\}) = \{(t, t) \in U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}} : t \in U_{\mathcal{A}}\}.$$

If there is a unitary representation $\rho : U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow U(V)$ on a Hilbert space V , and there is an anti-unitary involution ψ on V such that

- $\rho_{\sigma(h)} \circ \psi = \psi \circ \rho_h$ for $h \in H$.
- ψ commutes with the hermitian operators in $\rho(\{(t, t) \in U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}} : t \in U_{\mathcal{A}}\})'$

then Theorem 6.1 implies that the representation $\delta' \otimes \rho$ of $H = U_{\mathcal{M}} \times U_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathcal{M})) \otimes V$ is multiplicity-free.

We now turn to examples of factors $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ for which there exists an anti-unitary operator J such that $J\mathcal{M}J = \mathcal{M}$ and $JxJ = x$ for selfadjoints x in some masa $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 6.12. There are two types of masas \mathcal{A} in a II_1 factor \mathcal{M} specified in terms of the algebra generated by the normalizer of the masa. The normalizer of the masa is defined by

$$N(\mathcal{A}) = \{u \in U_{\mathcal{M}} : u\mathcal{A}u^* = \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The masa \mathcal{A} is called *regular* or *Cartan* if $N(\mathcal{A})'' = \mathcal{M}$, and it is called *singular* if $N(\mathcal{A})'' = \mathcal{A}$, see the first paragraph of [SS08, 3.3].

Example 6.13. (von Neumann algebras of CAR type). Let $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the 2×2 complex matrices with diagonal masa D . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let M_k be a copy of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with a copy of D as diagonal masa D_k . The algebra $\mathcal{M}_n = \otimes_{k=1}^n M_k \simeq M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$ has a masa $\mathcal{A}_n = \otimes_{k=1}^n D_k$. We have embeddings $\mathcal{M}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$, $x \mapsto x \otimes \text{id}_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}$ which preserve the normalized traces. Therefore $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \otimes_{k=1}^n M_k$ is a $*$ -algebra with trace

$$\tau(\otimes_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(x_k),$$

where all but finitely many x_i are equal to the identity of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. The weak closure \mathcal{M} of the GNS representation of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \otimes_{k=1}^n M_k$ is a copy of the hyperfinite II_1 -factor and the weak closure \mathcal{A} of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \otimes_{k=1}^n D_k$ in this representation is a Cartan masa, see the first part of Subsection 3.4 in [SS08]. The canonical complex conjugation on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \otimes_{k=1}^n M_k$ yields a complex conjugation J on the GNS space such that $J\mathcal{M}J = \mathcal{M}$, $JxJ = x$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and $\tau(JxJ) = \overline{\tau(x)}$.

Remark 6.14. In [St80] it is shown that there is, up to conjugacy, a unique real von Neumann algebra \mathcal{R} in the hyperfinite factor \mathcal{M} of type II_1 , i.e. \mathcal{R} is a $*$ -algebra over the reals such that $\mathcal{R} + \sqrt{-1}\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{R} \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$. It follows that any two involutive antilinear automorphisms of this factor are conjugate under $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. This contrasts with the situation in $B(\mathcal{H})$, where there are two distinct conjugacy classes of such automorphisms, induced by conjugation with anti-unitary operators J satisfying $J^2 = \pm 1$.

Example 6.15. (Group-measure space construction). See Subsection 8.6 in [KR97] for detailed information on this construction. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a probability measure preserving action of a countable discrete group Γ . We consider the unitary *Koopman representation*

$$\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow U(L^2(X, \mu)), \quad (\alpha_s f)(x) = f(s^{-1} \cdot x) \quad \text{for } s \in \Gamma, f \in L^2(X, \mu), x \in X.$$

Let $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ be the left regular representation. We regard $L^\infty(X) \simeq L^\infty(X) \otimes 1 \subseteq B(L^2(X) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma))$. For $s \in \Gamma$ we consider the unitaries $u_s = \alpha_s \otimes \lambda_s \in \mathcal{U}(L^2(X) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma))$. The crossed product von Neumann algebra is defined as

$$L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma := \left\{ \sum_{s \in \Gamma} a_s u_s : a_s \in L^\infty(X) \right\}'' \subseteq B(L^2(X) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)).$$

The trace is given by the extension of

$$\tau \left(\sum_{s \in \Gamma} a_s u_s \right) = \int_X a_e d\mu.$$

The action is called *free* if $\mathcal{A} := L^\infty(X)$ is maximal abelian in $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, and in this case $L^\infty(X)$ is a Cartan subalgebra. The von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is a II_1 factor if and only if the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is ergodic, i.e., $L^\infty(X, \mu)^\Gamma = \mathbb{C}1$.

Let J be the complex conjugation on $L^2(X) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \simeq L^2(X \times \Gamma)$. Observe that $JaJ = \bar{a}$ for $a \in L^\infty(X)$ and $Ju_s J = u_s$ for $s \in \Gamma$. Therefore $J(L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma)J = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ and $JxJ = x$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_h$. Note that $\tau(JxJ) = \overline{\tau(x)}$ for $x \in L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$.

6.4. Symmetric spaces. In Subsections 5.3 and 5.4 of [Kob05] finite dimensional examples of visible actions on symmetric spaces are presented. The action of K on G/H for a vast class of symmetric spaces is studied in [Kob08]. We begin by presenting weakly visible actions on Graßmannians and symmetric domains modeled on Banach spaces. We first present a weak visibility result for the group of bounded invertible operators acting on a Hilbert space to illustrate the approximate Cartan decomposition which is involved in the subsequent arguments.

Example 6.16. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $(e_j)_{j \in I}$ and define a complex conjugation on \mathcal{H} by $J(\sum_j \alpha_j e_j) = \sum_j \bar{\alpha}_j e_j$ and an automorphism on $\text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\sigma(g) = JgJ$. If we define S as the set of positive diagonal operators in $\text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ then $D := (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})).S = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})S\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in $\text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$: For $g \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ we have the polar decomposition $g = up$, with $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and an invertible $p > 0$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ we can use the measurable functional calculus of p to find a positive invertible q with finite spectrum such that $\|q - p\| < \epsilon$. Since q has finite spectrum, there is a unitary v such that $s := vqv^{-1} \in S$. Hence

$$\|g - (uv^{-1})sv\| = \|up - uq\| < \epsilon.$$

Furthermore the $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ -orbits are preserved under σ since $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\sigma(s) = s$ for $s \in S$, so the action is (S, σ) -weakly visible.

Example 6.17. Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be complex Hilbert spaces. We consider the identical representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ on the complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$. Then the subgroup $Q := \{g \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{K}) : g\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1\}$ is a complex Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathcal{K})$ and the Graßmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_1}(\mathcal{K}) := \text{GL}(\mathcal{K})\mathcal{H}_1 \simeq \text{GL}(\mathcal{K})/Q$ carries the structure of a complex homogeneous space on which the unitary group $G = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ acts transitively and which is isomorphic to G/H for $H := \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})_{\mathcal{H}_1} \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Here we use that, for $\mathcal{E} := g\mathcal{H}_1$, the orthogonal space \mathcal{E}^\perp is the image of \mathcal{H}_2 under $(g^{-1})^*$. Hence there exists a unitary isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ mapping \mathcal{E} to \mathcal{H}_1 . Writing elements of $B(\mathcal{H})$ as (2×2) -matrices according to the decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, we have

$$\mathfrak{q} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : a \in B(\mathcal{H}_1), b \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1), d \in B(\mathcal{H}_2) \right\}.$$

and $\mathfrak{q} \simeq \mathfrak{p}^+ \rtimes \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-$ holds for

$$\mathfrak{p}^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} : c \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}^+ = \overline{\mathfrak{p}^-}.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{H}_1 := \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 := \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We fix an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{H} . We can define a conjugation J on \mathcal{H} by $J(\sum_{j \in I} \alpha_j e_j) = \sum_{j \in I} \overline{\alpha_j} e_j$ and define $J_{\mathcal{K}}(v, w) := (Jv, Jw)$ on \mathcal{K} . Let $\sigma(u) = J_{\mathcal{K}} u J_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the corresponding involution on $U(\mathcal{K})$ and $\sigma^M(u\mathcal{H}_1) = Ju\mathcal{H}_1 = \sigma(u)\mathcal{H}_1$ be the corresponding involution on the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_1}(\mathcal{K})$.

Consider the embedding

$$\tau : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ -A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the diagonal real subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : (\forall j \in I) A(e_j) \in \mathbb{R}e_j\},$$

and let $B := \exp(\tau(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}))$, where $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is the exponential map of $U(\mathcal{K})$. Observe that $B \subseteq G^{\sigma}$ and that

$$Z_H(B) = \{(u, u) \in U(\mathcal{H})^2 : (\forall j \in I) u(e_j) \in \mathbb{T}e_j\}.$$

To prove that the action of $K = H = U(\mathcal{H})^2$ on $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_1}(\mathcal{K})$ is (B, σ^M) -weakly visible it remains to show that

$$\overline{U(\mathcal{H})^2 \exp(\tau(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}})) U(\mathcal{H})^2}^{\circ} \neq \emptyset.$$

Observe that

$$HBH = U(\mathcal{H})^2 \exp(\tau(U(\mathcal{H})\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}U(\mathcal{H}))) U(\mathcal{H})^2.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, the argument used to prove the approximate Cartan decomposition of Example 6.16 leads to

$$\overline{U(\mathcal{H})(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap B_{\varepsilon/2}(\varepsilon \mathbf{1})) U(\mathcal{H})} = B_{\varepsilon/2}[\varepsilon \mathbf{1}],$$

where $B_{\varepsilon/2}(\varepsilon \mathbf{1})$ and $B_{\varepsilon/2}[\varepsilon \mathbf{1}]$ are open and closed metric balls in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough to ensure that $\tau(B_{\varepsilon/2}(\varepsilon \mathbf{1}))$ is contained in a neighborhood of 0 on which \exp is a local diffeomorphism, and the result follows.

Example 6.18. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_1 := \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$, and $\mathcal{H}_2 := \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We fix an orthonormal basis $(e_j)_{j \in I}$ in \mathcal{H} . We can define a conjugation J on \mathcal{H} by $J(\sum_{j \in I} \alpha_j e_j) = \sum_{j \in I} \overline{\alpha_j} e_j$ and define $J_{\mathcal{K}}(v, w) := (Jv, Jw)$ on \mathcal{K} .

We endow the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} with the indefinite hermitian form given by

$$h((v_1, v_2), (w_1, w_2)) = \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle - \langle v_2, w_2 \rangle.$$

We can write $\mathcal{D} := \{z \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \|z\| < 1\}$ as G/H , where G is the pseudo-unitary group

$$G = U(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) = \{g \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{K}) : h(g.v, g.v) = h(v, v) \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{K}\},$$

and $H = U(\mathcal{H}_1) \times U(\mathcal{H}_2) \cong U(\mathcal{H})^2$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices in G . In fact, G acts transitively on \mathcal{D} by fractional linear transformations $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.z = (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}$, where the (2×2) -block matrix is written according to the decomposition of \mathcal{K} . The stabilizer of $0 \in \mathcal{D}$ is the group H .

A conjugation on $Z := B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is given by $\sigma^Z(x) = JxJ$. Let

$$\tau : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the diagonal real subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : (\forall j \in I) A(e_j) \in \mathbb{R}e_j\},$$

and let $B := \exp(\tau(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}))$, where $\exp(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^n}{n!}$ is the operator exponential. Observe that $B \subseteq G^{\sigma}$ and that

$$Z_H(B) = \{(u, u) \in U(\mathcal{H})^2 : (\forall j \in I) u(e_j) \in \mathbb{T}e_j\}.$$

To prove that the action of $K = H = U(\mathcal{H})^2$ on \mathcal{D} is (B, σ^M) -weakly visible it remains to show that

$$\overline{U(\mathcal{H})^2 \cdot \exp(\tau(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}))} \cdot U(\mathcal{H})^{2^0} \neq \emptyset.$$

This follows from an argument as in the last part of Example 6.17. The action is also compatible with the automorphism of $U(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ given by $\sigma(u) = J_{\mathcal{K}} u J_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Example 6.19. Let \mathcal{K} be a complex Hilbert space which is a direct sum $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$.

$$B_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{K}) : c \in B_2(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2), b \in B_2(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1) \right\}$$

is a complex Banach- $*$ -algebra. Its unit group is

$$\text{GL}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{GL}(\mathcal{K}) \cap B_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K}).$$

The restricted unitary group is

$$U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K}) = U(\mathcal{K}) \cap \text{GL}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K}).$$

The homogeneous space $\text{Gr}_{\text{res}} := U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K}) / (U(\mathcal{H}_1) \times U(\mathcal{H}_2))$ is the restricted Grassmannian. There is an isomorphism

$$U_2(\mathcal{K}) / (U_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times U_2(\mathcal{H}_2)) \simeq U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K})_0 / (U(\mathcal{H}_1) \times U(\mathcal{H}_2)),$$

where $U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K})_0$ is the connected component of $U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K})$ given by operator (2×2) -block matrices in $U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{K})$ with diagonal operators with Fredholm index equal to zero.

As in the previous example we assume that $\mathcal{H}_1 := \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 := \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let

$$\tau : B_2(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ -A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the diagonal real subalgebra of $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{A \in B_2(\mathcal{H}) : (\forall j \in I) A(e_j) \in \mathbb{R}e_j\},$$

and let $B := \exp(\tau(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}))$, where $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is the exponential map of $U_2(\mathcal{H})$. Observe that $B \subseteq G^{\sigma}$ and that

$$Z_H(B) = \{(u, u) \in U_2(\mathcal{H})^2 : (\forall j \in I) u(e_j) \in \mathbb{T}e_j\}.$$

To prove that the action of $K = H = U_2(\mathcal{H}_1) \times U_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$ on the restricted Grassmannian is (B, σ^M) -weakly-visible it remains to show that

$$\overline{U_2(\mathcal{H})^2 \cdot \exp(\tau(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}))} \cdot U_2(\mathcal{H})^{2^0} \neq \emptyset.$$

Observe that

$$HBH = U_2(\mathcal{H})^2 \exp(\tau(U_2(\mathcal{H}) \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} U_2(\mathcal{H}))) U_2(\mathcal{H})^2.$$

A finite dimensional approximation argument leads to

$$\overline{U_2(\mathcal{H}) \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} U_2(\mathcal{H})} = B_2(\mathcal{H}),$$

and the result follows.

Example 6.20. The restricted pseudo-unitary group is

$$G = \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

It acts transitively on

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in B_2(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1) : \|z\| < 1\}$$

by fractional linear transformations. The stabilizer of 0 in G is $H = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

We can adapt the previous example to this restricted group context as we did with both examples of positively curved symmetric spaces.

6.5. Approximate triunity. In [Kob04] three multiplicity-free results are shown to stem from a single geometry. The basic result is the following, which we state in a form suited to our infinite dimensional context.

Lemma 6.21. *If G is a topological group with subgroups K , B and H , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) KBH is dense in G .
- (2) HBK is dense in G .
- (3) $\mathrm{diag}(G)(B \times B)(K \times H)$ is dense in $G \times G$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is trivial. If (3) holds, then the image KBH of $\mathrm{diag}(G)(B \times B)(K \times H)$ under the continuous map $\tau: G \times G \rightarrow G, \tau(g, h) := g^{-1}h$ is dense in G , which is (1).

Now we assume that (1) and (2) hold. From $(hbk, e) = (h, h)(b, e)(k, h^{-1})$ we conclude that

$$\overline{HBK} \times \{e\} \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{diag}(G)(B \times B)(K \times H)}.$$

From a similar equation we conclude that

$$\{e\} \times \overline{KBH} \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{diag}(G)(B \times B)(K \times H)},$$

so that (1) and (2) imply (3). □

The next theorem was proved in [Kob04, Thm. 3.1]:

Theorem 6.22. *Let $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = p + q = n$, and consider the naturally embedded groups $K := \mathrm{U}(n_1) \times \mathrm{U}(n_2) \times \mathrm{U}(n_3)$ and $H := \mathrm{U}(p) \times \mathrm{U}(q)$ in $G := \mathrm{U}(n)$. We define an automorphism σ of G by $\sigma(g) = \bar{g}$ and let $B := G^\sigma = \mathrm{O}(n)$. Then $G = KBH$ is equivalent to $\min(p, q) \leq 2$ or $\min(n_1, n_2, n_3) \leq 1$.*

Note that $BH/H \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the real Grassmannian of p dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{R}^n and that $BK/K \simeq \mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_1+n_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the real flag manifold of pairs of subspaces (F_1, F_2) of dimension n_1 and $n_1 + n_2$ respectively such that $F_1 \subseteq F_2$. Using a finite dimensional approximation argument we can prove a version of Theorem 6.22 in the case of groups of operators which are Hilbert-Schmidt plus identity.

Theorem 6.23. *Let $I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3 = J_1 \cup J_2 = I$ be partitions of a countable infinite index set I , let $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ be an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and denote $\mathcal{H}_J := \mathrm{span}\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ for $J \subseteq I$. Consider the naturally embedded groups $K := \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_{I_1}) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_{I_2}) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_{I_3})$ and $H := \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_{J_1}) \times \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H}_{J_2})$ in $G := \mathrm{U}_2(\mathcal{H})$. We define an automorphism σ of G by $\sigma(u) = \bar{u} = JuJ$, where J is the canonical complex conjugation on $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(I)$, and let $B := G^\sigma \cong \mathrm{O}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then KBH is dense in G if and only if $\min(|J_1|, |J_2|) \leq 2$ or $\min(|I_1|, |I_2|, |I_3|) \leq 1$, where $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of a set I .*

Example 6.24. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we write $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ for its projective space, i.e., the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{H} . The standard action of $\exp(i\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}))$ on $\mathbb{P}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}))$ is weakly visible if we take $S = \mathbb{P}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}))$ and the canonical complex conjugation on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$. This follows from the density of KBH in G , with $G = U_2(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}))$, $H = U(1) \times U_2(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_{>1}, \mathbb{C}))$, $K = \exp(i\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})) \subseteq U_2(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}))$ and $B = G^\sigma$. Here $\sigma(u) = \bar{u} = JuJ$, where J is the canonical complex conjugation on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 6.21 can be used to prove multiplicity-free branching rules for representations realized on spaces of holomorphic sections of line bundles over flag manifolds as in Section VII of [Ne04] or [Ne12]. Lemma 6.21(3) can be used to prove the multiplicity-free property of tensor product representations by taking the diagonal action of a group G on a product of line bundles over spaces G/H and G/K as in Example 2.4 of [Kob04].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K.-H. Neeb acknowledges support by DFG-grant NE 413/9-1. M. Miglioli acknowledges support by a CONICET postdoctoral fellowship and a DAAD grant for short term visit, and is grateful for the excellent working conditions provided by the FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg. We thank the referees for remarks that lead to an improvement of the presentation.

REFERENCES

- [AK06] W. Arveson, R. Kadison, *Diagonals of self-adjoint operators*. in “Operator Theory, Operator Algebras, and Applications,” 247–263, Contemp. Math., 414, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Be05] D. Beltiță, *Integrability of analytic almost complex structures on Banach manifolds*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 28 (2005), no. 1, 59–73.
- [BPW16] D. Beltiță, S. Patnaik, G. Weiss, *Cartan subalgebras of operator ideals*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 65 (2016), no. 1, 1–37.
- [BR07] D. Beltiță, T. S. Ratiu, *Geometric representation theory for unitary groups of operator algebras*. Adv. Math. 208 (2007), no. 1, 299–317.
- [KR97] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose, “Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II. Advanced theory. Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.” Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [Ch70] H. Choda, *An extremal property of the polar decomposition in von Neumann algebras*. Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970), 341–344.
- [FT99] J. Faraut, J., and E. G. F. Thomas, *Invariant Hilbert Spaces of Holomorphic Functions*, J. Lie Theory 9 (1999), 383–402.
- [Fa16] D. Farenick, *Fundamentals of Functional Analysis*. Universitext. Springer, 2016.
- [Kob04] T. Kobayashi, *Geometry of multiplicity-free representations of $GL(n)$, visible actions on flag varieties, and triunity*. Acta Appl. Math. 81 (2004), no. 1-3, 129–146.
- [Kob05] T. Kobayashi, *Multiplicity-free representations and visible actions on complex manifolds*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 41 (2005), no. 3, 497–549.
- [Kob08] T. Kobayashi, *Multiplicity-free theorems of the restrictions of unitary highest weight modules with respect to reductive symmetric pairs*. Representation theory and automorphic forms, 45–109, Progr. Math., 255, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2008.
- [Kob13] T. Kobayashi, *Propagation of multiplicity-freeness property for holomorphic vector bundles*. in “Lie Groups: Structure, Actions, and Representations”, 113–140, Progr. Math. 306, Birkhäuser, New York, 2013.
- [Ne00] K.-H. Neeb, “Holomorphy and Convexity in Lie Theory,” de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics. 28. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.
- [Ne04] K.-H. Neeb, *Infinite-dimensional groups and their representations*. in “Lie Theory,” 213–328, Progr. Math., 228, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Ne12] K.-H. Neeb, *Semibounded representations of Hermitian Lie groups* Trav. Math., 21, 2–109; Fac. Sci. Technol. Commun. Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2012.

- [Ne13] K.-H. Neeb, *Holomorphic realization of unitary representations of Banach–Lie groups.* in “Lie Groups: Structure, Actions, and Representations,” 185–223, Progr. Math., 306, Birkhäuser, New York, 2013.
- [SS08] A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith, “Finite von Neumann algebras and masas.” London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 351, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [St80] E. Størmer, *Real structure in the hyperfinite factor*, Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), no. 1, 14–153.