SOME WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR A ONE-SIDED VERSION OF g_{λ}^*

L. DE ROSA AND C. SEGOVIA

ABSTRACT.

In this paper, we study the boundedness of the one-sided operator $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$ between the weighted spaces $L^p(M^-w)$ and $L^p(w)$ for every weight w. If $\lambda=2/p$ whenever 1 , and in the case <math>p=1 for $\lambda>2$, we proved the weak-type of $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$. For every $\lambda>1$ and p=2, or $\lambda>2/p$ and 1 , the boundedness of this operator is obtained. For the case when <math>p>2 and $\lambda>1$, we obtained the boundedness of $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$ from $L^p((M^-)^{[p/2]+1}w)$ to $L^p(w)$, where $(M^-)^{[p/2]+1}$ denotes the operator M^- iterated [p/2]+1 times.

1. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

As usual, S denotes de class of all those C^{∞} -functions defined on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^m (D^n \varphi)(x)| < \infty,$$

for all non-negative integers m and n. We also consider the space C_0^{∞} of all C^{∞} -functions defined on \mathbb{R} with compact support.

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue measurable set, we denote its Lebesgue measure by |E|, and the characteristic function of E by $\chi_E(x)$.

Let f be a measurable function defined on \mathbb{R} , the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions M^-f and M^+f are given by

$$M^{-}f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^{x} |f(t)| dt$$
 and $M^{+}f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} |f(t)| dt$.

A weight w is a measurable and non-negative function defined on \mathbb{R} . If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable set, we denote its w-measure by $w(E) = \int_E w(t)dt$. Given $p \geq 1$, $L^p(w)$ is the space of all measurable functions f such that

$$||f||_{L^p(w)} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B25, 26A33.

If w = 1, we simply write L^p and $||f||_{L^p}$.

We shall say that a function $B:[0,\infty)\longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ is a Young function, if it is continuous, convex, increasing and satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} B(t)=\infty$. The Luxemburg norm of a function f is given by

$$||f||_B = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int B\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) \le 1 \right\},$$

and the average over an interval I is:

$$||f||_{B,I} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I B\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

The one-sided maximal operators associated to B are defined as

$$M_B^+(f)(x) = \sup_{h>0} ||f||_{B,[x,x+h]}$$
 and $M_B^-(f)(x) = \sup_{h>0} ||f||_{B,[x-h,x]}$.

Let φ belong to \mathcal{S} , suported on $(-\infty, 0]$ with $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. For every $\lambda > 1$, the one-sided operator $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$ was defined in [RoSe], as

$$g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x}\right)^\lambda |f * \varphi_t(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Throughout this paper the letter C will always mean a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence. If 1 then <math>p' denotes its conjugate exponent: p + p' = pp'.

2. Statement of the results

In [CW], S. Chanillo and R. Wheeden obtained the boundedness of the Area Integral between the spaces $L^p(Mw)$ and $L^p(w)$ when 1 . For <math>p = 2 and $\lambda > 1$, if the support of φ is compact, they showed in Lemma (1.1) that the operator $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^*$ maps $L^2(Mw)$ into $L^2(w)$. We shall give, in Theorem A, a one sided-version of this result without the restriction about support of φ . For $1 and <math>\lambda = 2/p$, in order to prove Theorem B, we partially borrow some arguments due to C. Fefferman (see [F]). As a consequence of Theorem A and Theorem B, for $1 and <math>\lambda > 2/p$, we shall obtain, in Theorem C, the boundedness of $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$ between $L^p(M^-w)$ and $L^p(w)$. For p > 2, known techniques (see [P]), allow us to prove Theorem D.

Next, we state the already mentionated Theorems A. B. C and D.

Theorem A. Let φ belong to S, supported on $(-\infty, 0]$, and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. Then, for every $\lambda > 1$,

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{2}w(x)dx\right)^{1/2} \leq C_{\lambda,\varphi}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{2}M^{-}w(x)dx\right)^{1/2},$$

with a constant $C_{\lambda,\varphi}$ not depending on f.

Theorem B. Let φ belong to S, supported on $(-\infty,0]$, and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. Let $\lambda > 2$ if p = 1, and $\lambda = \frac{2}{p}$ whenever $1 . Then, there exists a constant <math>C_{p,\lambda,w,\varphi}$ such that

$$w\left(\left\{x: g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(f)(x) > \mu\right\}\right) \le \frac{C_{p,\lambda,w,\varphi}}{\mu^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx,$$

holds for every function f, and $\mu > 0$.

Theorem C. Let φ belong to S, supported on $(-\infty, 0]$, and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. Let $1 . If <math>\lambda > 2/p$, then there exists a constant $C_{p,\lambda,w,\varphi}$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{p} w(x) dx \le C_{p,\lambda,w,\varphi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} M^{-} w(x) dx,$$

for every function f.

Theorem D. Let φ belong to S, supported on $(-\infty, 0]$, and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and p > 2. Then, there exists a constant $C_{p,\lambda,w,\varphi}$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{p} w(x) dx \le C_{p,\lambda,w,\varphi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} (M^{-})^{[p/2]+1}(w)(x) dx.$$

3. Proof of the results

The following lemma and remark will be used in the proof of Theorem A.

Lemma 1. Let φ belong to C_0^{∞} , supported on the interval $[-2^s, 0]$, $s \geq 0$, and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. Then,

 r^{∞} / r^{∞} / r^{∞}

with a constant C_{λ} depending neither on f nor φ .

Proof. By Fubini's Theorem, we have that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{2}w(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x}\right)^{\lambda} |f*\varphi_{t}(y)|^{2} \frac{dydt}{t^{2}}w(x)dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f*\varphi_{t}(y)|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{y} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x}\right)^{\lambda} w(x)dx\right) \frac{dydt}{t}.$$

For each integer k, we consider the set

$$A_k = \left\{ (y, t) : 2^{k-1} < \frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{y} \left(\frac{t}{t + y - x} \right)^{\lambda} w(x) dx \le 2^k \right\}.$$

Then,

$$(2) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{2}w(x)dx \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f * \varphi_{t}(y)|^{2} \chi_{A_{k}}(y,t) \frac{dydt}{t}.$$

For every (y,t) belonging to A_k and $y \leq z \leq y + 2^s t$, we have

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{z} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^{\lambda} w(x) dx \ge \frac{1}{2^{(s+1)\lambda}} \frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{y} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x} \right)^{\lambda} w(x) dx > \frac{2^{k-1}}{2^{(s+1)\lambda}}.$$

On the other hand, since $\lambda > 1$, there exists a constant C_{λ} such that for every z,

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^{z} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^{\lambda} w(x) dx \le C_{\lambda} M^{-} w(z).$$

Therefore, if $(y,t) \in A_k$ and $y \le z \le y + 2^s t$ then z belongs to $E_k = \{z : M^-w(z) \ge \frac{C_\lambda}{2^{(s+1)\lambda}} 2^{k-1}\}$. Taking into account that support $(\varphi) \subset [-2^s, 0]$, we get

$$f * \varphi_t(y) = \int f(z)\chi_{E_k}(z)\varphi_t(y-z)dz = (f\chi_{E_k} * \varphi_t)(y).$$

Then, by Plancherel's and Fubini's Theorems, we have that (2) is majorized by

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f\chi_{E_k} * \varphi_t(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\widehat{f\chi_{E_k}}(y)|^2 \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\varphi}(ty)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} dy.$$

The inner integral is bounded by $C_{\varphi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(t)|^2 \frac{dt}{|t|}$. Thus, applying Plancherel's Theorem again, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{2}w(x)dx \leq C_{\varphi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(y)|^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k} \chi_{E_{k}}(y)dy.$$

Finally, we observe that by definition of E_k ,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{E_k}(y) \le C_{\lambda} 2^{s\lambda} M^- w(y),$$

for almost every u, ending the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark. We observe that if φ belongs to S and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$, then

$$(3) \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(s)|^2 \frac{ds}{|s|} \leq 4\pi^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s| |\varphi(s)| ds \right)^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(s)|^2 ds.$$

In fact, since $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$, we have,

$$|\widehat{\varphi}(s)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) (e^{-2\pi i s t} - 1) dt \right| \le 2\pi |s| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t| |\varphi(t)| dt.$$

In consequence,

$$\int_{|s| \le 1} |\widehat{\varphi}(s)|^2 \frac{ds}{|s|} \le 4\pi^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s| |\varphi(s)| ds \right)^2.$$

On the other hand, in virtue of Plancherel's Theorem

$$\int_{|s|\geq 1} |\widehat{\varphi}(s)|^2 \frac{ds}{|s|} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(s)|^2 ds \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(s)|^2 ds,$$

which shows that (3) holds.

Let η be a non-negative and C_0^{∞} – function with support contained in [-2, -1] and $\int \eta(x)dx = 1$. For every non-negative integer k, let $\eta_k(x) = 2^{-k}\eta(2^{-k}x)$. We define

$$\theta(x) = \int_{\frac{|x|}{2} \le |t| \le |x|} \eta(t) dt.$$

The function θ belongs to C_0^{∞} and its support is contained in $[-4, -1] \cup [1, 4]$. For every positive integer k, let

$$\theta_k(x) = \theta(2^{-k+1}x),$$

and for k = 0, let

$$\theta_0(x) = 1 - \int_{|y| < |x|} \eta(y) dy.$$

Then, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k(x) = 1$ for every x. Given a function φ belonging to \mathcal{S} , supported on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $\int \varphi(x) dx = 0$, we define

$$a_k = \int \sum_{h=0}^k \theta_h(y)\varphi(y)dy, \quad k \ge 0$$

and $a_{-1} = 0$. For every non-negative integer k, let ρ_k be given by

It is easy to check that support $(\rho_k) \subset [-2^{k+1}, -2^{k-1}]$ for $k \geq 1$, and support $(\rho_0) \subset [-2, 0]$. Besides, $\int \rho_k(x) dx = 0$ for every $k \geq 0$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} = \varphi$. We shall show that for every N > 2,

(5)
$$C_{\rho_k} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi_k}(s)|^2 \frac{ds}{|s|} \le C_{N,\varphi} 2^{-2k(N-2)},$$

holds. By definition of ρ_k ,

(6)
$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho_k(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \le \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\theta_k(x)\varphi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

$$+ |a_{k-1}| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\eta_{k-1}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + |a_k| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\eta_k(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $0 \le \theta_k(x) \le 1$, support $(\theta_k \varphi) \subset [-2^{k+1}, -2^{k-1}]$ for $k \ge 1$, and support $(\theta_0 \varphi) \subset [-2, 0]$, we have that

(7)
$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\theta_k(x)\varphi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \le \left(\int_{\text{supp}(\theta_k\varphi)} \frac{C_{N,\varphi}}{(1+|x|)^{2N}} dx \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le C_{N,\varphi} 2^{-k(N-1/2)}.$$

By definition of a_k , and taking into account that $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$, we get

$$|a_k| = \left| -\int \sum_{h=k+1}^{\infty} \theta_h(y)\varphi(y)dy \right| \le \int_{|y| \ge 2^k} |\varphi(y)|dy$$
$$\le C_{N,\varphi} \int_{|y| > 2^k} \frac{dy}{(1+|y|)^N} \le C_{N,\varphi} 2^{-k(N-1)}.$$

Thus,

(8)
$$|a_k| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\eta_k(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = \frac{|a_k|}{2^{k/2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\eta(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \le C_{N,\varphi} 2^{-k(N-1/2)}.$$

Then, by (6), (7) and (8),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\rho_k(x)|^2 dx \le C_{N,\varphi} 2^{-2k(N-1/2)}.$$

Simple calculations shows that

Now, using (3) we obtain (5).

Proof of Theorem A. We consider the sequence of functions $\{\rho_k, k \geq 0\}$ defined in (4). Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k = \varphi$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi_{supp(\rho_k)}(x) \leq 3$, we have that

$$f * \varphi_t(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f * (\varphi_k)_t(y),$$

for every y. Then,

(9)
$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{2}w(x)dx\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x}\right)^{\lambda} |f*(\rho_{k})_{t}(y)|^{2} \frac{dydt}{t^{2}}w(x)dx\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\rho_{k}}^{+}(f)(x)^{2}w(x)dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Keeping in mind that support $(\rho_k) \subset [-2^{k+1}, 0]$ and $\int \rho_k(x) dx = 0$, we can apply Lemma 1. Then, by the estimation (5) with $N > \lambda + 2$, we get that (9) is bounded by a constant times

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(k+1)\lambda/2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\rho_k}(t)|^2 \frac{dt}{|t|} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 M^- w(x) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C_{\lambda,\varphi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 M^- w(x) dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad \Box$$

In order to prove Theorem B, we shall need the following one-sided version of Fefferman-Stein type inequality and Lemma 11.

Lemma 10. There exists a positive constant C, such that

$$w(\{x: M^+(f)(x) > \mu\}) \le \frac{C}{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| M^- w(x) dx,$$

for every function f, and $\mu > 0$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [M] page 693, and shall not be given. \Box

Lemma 11. Let $I = (\alpha, \beta)$ a bounded interval, $1 < \lambda < 2$, and $k \ge 4$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{\lambda,k}$ such that for every $x < \alpha - 2|I|$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_x^{\alpha - 2|I|} \left(\frac{t}{t + y - x} \right)^{\lambda} \left(\frac{t}{t + \alpha - y} \right)^k \frac{dydt}{t^4} \le C_{\lambda, k} \frac{|I|^{\lambda - 2}}{(\alpha - x)^{\lambda}}.$$

Proof. Changing the variables (y,t) by $z=\frac{\alpha-y}{t}$ and $u=\frac{\alpha-x}{t}$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\alpha - x \ge \alpha - y \ge 2|I|} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{y - x}{t}} \right)^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\alpha - y}{t}} \right)^k \frac{dydt}{t^4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha - x)^2} \int_0^\infty \int_{u \ge z \ge \frac{2|I|u}{\alpha - x}} \frac{1}{(1 + u - z)^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{(1 + z)^k} u du dz.$$

We denote $A = \frac{2|I|}{\alpha - x}$. Applying Fubini's Theorem, it is enough to show that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+z)^k} \int_{z < u < \frac{1}{A}z} \frac{u}{(1+u-z)^{\lambda}} du dz \le C_{\lambda,k} A^{\lambda-2}.$$

Recalling that $1 < \lambda < 2$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+z)^k} \int_{z \le u \le \frac{1}{A}z, u-z > u/2} \frac{u}{(1+u-z)^{\lambda}} du dz$$

$$\le \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+z)^k} \int_0^{\frac{1}{A}z} \left(\frac{2}{u}\right)^{\lambda} u du dz$$

$$= C_{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+z)^k} \left(\frac{z}{A}\right)^{2-\lambda} dz = A^{\lambda-2}.$$

Since $k \geq 4, A < 1$ and $\lambda < 2$, it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+z)^{k}} \int_{z \le u \le \frac{1}{A}z, u-z \le u/2} \frac{u}{(1+u-z)^{\lambda}} du dz \le \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+z)^{k}} \int_{0}^{2z} u du dz$$
$$= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2}}{(1+z)^{k}} dz \le C_{k} A^{\lambda-2},$$

which ends the proof of this lemma. \Box

Proof of Theorem B. By an argument of density it is enough to consider f belonging to both $I^p(M^{-n})$ and I^p . It is well known that the set $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \in \mathbb{R}$

 $f \in L^p$, each I_j is a bounded interval, and it is well known (see [HSt], pp. 421-424), that

(12)
$$\frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} |f(x)|^p dx = \mu^p.$$

Given $I_j = (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$, we denote $I_j^- = (\alpha_j - 4|I_j|, \alpha_j)$. By (12), we have that

$$w(I_j^-) = \frac{1}{\mu^p} \int_{I_j} |f(x)|^p \frac{w(I_j^-)}{|I_j|} dx \le \frac{5}{\mu^p} \int_{I_j} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx.$$

Therefore, if we denote $\tilde{\Omega} = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} I_j \cup I_j^-$, applying Lemma 10 we obtain that

$$\begin{split} w(\tilde{\Omega}) &\leq w(\Omega) + \sum_{j \geq 1} w(I_j^-) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx + \frac{5}{\mu^p} \sum_{j \geq 1} \int_{I_j} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx. \end{split}$$

In consequence, it is enough to prove that

(13)
$$w\left(\left\{x \notin \tilde{\Omega}: g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(f)(x) > \mu\right\}\right) \le \frac{C}{\mu^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx.$$

We define $g(x) = f(x)\chi_{\Omega^c}(x) + \sum_{j\geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} f\right) \chi_{I_j}(x)$, and

$$b_j(x) = \left(f(x) - \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} f\right) \chi_{I_j}(x)$$

for every $j \geq 1$. Then, f = g + b where $b = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j$. By Chebyshev's inequality and applying Theorem A, we get

$$w\left(\left\{x \notin \tilde{\Omega}: g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x) > \mu\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^{c}} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(g)(x)^{2} w(x) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\mu^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|^{2} M^{-}(w\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^{c}})(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{C}{\mu^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|^{2-p} |g(x)|^{p} M^{-}(w\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^{c}})(x) dx.$$

We observe that $|g(x)| \leq \mu$ almost everywhere. Then, by the definition of g and Hölder's inequality, (14) is bounded by,

$$C \left[\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) | p M_{-1}(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) | p J_{1} \right] M_{-1}(x) dx$$

It is easy to see that $M^-(w\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^c})(x) \leq CM^-(w)(z)$ for every $x, z \in I_j$. Thus,

(15)
$$w\left(\left\{x \notin \tilde{\Omega}: g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(g)(x) > \mu\right\}\right) \le \frac{C}{\mu^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p M^- w(x) dx.$$

We denote $I_j^* = (\alpha_j - 2|I_j|, \beta_j)$ for every $j \geq 1$. We can write

(16)
$$g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(b)(x) \le g^1(x) + g^2(x),$$

where

$$g^{1}(x) = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x} \right)^{\lambda} \left| \sum_{i:y \notin I_{i}^{*}} b_{i} * \varphi_{t}(y) \right|^{2} \frac{dydt}{t^{2}} \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$g^{2}(x) = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x} \right)^{\lambda} \left| \sum_{i:y \in I_{i}^{*}} b_{i} * \varphi_{t}(y) \right|^{2} \frac{dydt}{t^{2}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let us consider $g^1(x)$. Taking into account that $b_i * \varphi_t(y) = 0$ if $y > \beta_i$, and $\int |b_i(z)| dz \leq 2|I_i|\mu$, it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{i:y \notin I_i^*} b_i * \varphi_t(y) \right| \le \frac{2\mu}{t} \sum_{i:y \notin I_i^*, y < \beta_i} |I_i| \sup_{z \in I_i} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right) \right|.$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, and support $(\varphi) \subset (-\infty, 0]$, we have that $|\varphi(\frac{y-z}{t})| \leq \frac{C}{(1+\frac{w-y}{t})^2}$ for $y \notin I_i^*$ and $z, w \in I_i$. Then,

$$\left| \sum_{i:y \notin I_i^*} b_i * \varphi_t(y) \right| \le \frac{C\mu}{t} \sum_{i:y \notin I_i^*, y < \beta_i} \int_{I_i} \frac{dw}{\left(1 + \frac{w - y}{t}\right)^2} \le c\mu.$$

Therefore,

$$g^{1}(x)^{2} \leq C\mu \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+y-x}\right)^{\lambda} \left| \sum_{i:y \notin I_{i}^{*}} b_{i} * \varphi_{t}(y) \right| \frac{dydt}{t^{2}}$$
$$= C\mu F(x),$$

and, by Chebyshev's inequality we get

Since $\int b_i(z)dz = 0$, applying Mean Value Theorem for every $y \leq \alpha_i - 2|I_i|$, we obtain the estimation

$$|b_{i} * \varphi_{t}(y)| \leq \frac{1}{t} \int |b_{i}(z)| \left| \varphi\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{y-\alpha_{i}}{t}\right) \right| dz$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{t} \int_{I_{i}} |b_{i}(z)| \left| \frac{z-\alpha_{i}}{t} \right| \left(\frac{t}{t+\alpha_{i}-y}\right)^{4} dz$$

$$\leq C|I_{i}| \frac{t^{2}}{(t+\alpha_{i}-y)^{4}} \int_{I_{i}} |f(z)| dz.$$

Then, by definition of F(x), (17) is majorized by

$$(18) \frac{C}{\mu} \sum_{i \ge 1} \int_{I_i} |f(z)| dz \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^c} |I_i| \int_0^{\infty} \int_{x < y < \beta_i, y \notin I_i^*} \left(\frac{t}{t + y - x}\right)^{\lambda'} \frac{1}{(t + \alpha_i - y)^4} dy dt w(x) dx,$$

where $1 < \lambda' < \inf(\lambda, 2)$. Now, applying Lemma 11 with k = 4, we have that (18) is bounded by

$$\frac{C}{\mu} \sum_{i>1} \int_{I_i} |f(z)| dz \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_i - 4|I_i|} \frac{|I_i|^{\lambda' - 1}}{(\alpha_i - x)^{\lambda'}} w(x) \chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^c}(x) dx.$$

The inner integral is bounded by $CM^-(w\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^c})(\alpha_i)$. It is easy to verify that, by Hölder's inequality and (12),

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{I_i} |f| \le \frac{1}{\mu^p} \int_{I_i} |f|^p.$$

Thus, we obtain that

(19)
$$w\left(\left\{x \notin \tilde{\Omega} : g^{1}(x) > \mu\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\mu^{p}} \sum_{i} \int_{I_{i}} |f(z)|^{p} dz M^{-}(w\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^{c}})(\alpha_{i})$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\mu^{p}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(z)|^{p} M^{-}w(z) dz.$$

Now, let us consider $g^2(x)$. By (12), there exists an integer k_0 such that $|I_j| \le ||f||_p^p \mu^{-p} \le 2^{k_0}$ for every $j \ge 1$. Let $A_k = \{j : 2^{k-1} < |I_j| \le 2^k\}, k \le k_0$. We can write

$$\bigcup_{j>1} I_i^* = \bigcup_{k < k_0} \bigcup_{j \in A_k} E_i^*$$

where $E_{j}^{*} = I_{j}^{*} \setminus \bigcup_{l>k} \bigcup_{s \in A_{l}} I_{s}^{*}$, for each $j \in A_{k}$. We observe that if $I_{i}^{*} \cap E_{j}^{*}$ is not empty then, $I_{i}^{*} \subset I_{j}^{'}$, where $I_{j}^{'}$ is the interval with the same center of I_{j} and its measure is equal to $20|I_{j}|$. For each $x \notin \tilde{\Omega}$, we have that

$$\int_{-2}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty$$

We observe that if $x \notin \tilde{\Omega}^c$, x < y and $y \in E_j^*$ then $x < \alpha_j - 4|I_j|$ and $t + y - x \ge (\alpha_j - x) - (\alpha_j - y) \ge \frac{\alpha_j - x}{2}$. Then,

$$(20) \quad g^{2}(x)^{2} \leq C \sum_{k \leq k_{0}} \sum_{j \in A_{k}, x < \alpha_{j}} \frac{1}{(\alpha_{j} - x)^{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x < y, y \in E_{j}^{*}} t^{\lambda - 2} \left| \sum_{i: y \in I_{i}^{*}} b_{i} * \varphi_{t}(y) \right|^{2} dy dt.$$

If we denote $D_j = \bigcup_{i: E_j^* \cap I_i^* \neq \emptyset} I_i$, and $b^j(x) = |b(x)| \chi_{D_j}(x)$ then, for every $y \in E_j^*$, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i:y \in I_i^*} b_i * \varphi_t(y) \right| \leq \sum_{i:y \in I_i^*} \int_{I_i} |b(z)| |\varphi_t(y-z)| dz$$

$$\leq \int_{\bigcup_{i:E_j^* \cap I_i^* \neq \emptyset} I_i} |b(z)| |\varphi_t(y-z)| dz$$

$$\leq \int_{D_j} |b(z)| |\varphi_t(y-z)| dz = (b^j * |\varphi|_t)(y).$$

In consequence, by (20), we have

$$(21) \ g^2(x)^2 \le C \sum_{k \le k_0} \sum_{j \in A_k, x < \alpha_j} \frac{1}{(\alpha_j - x)^{\lambda}} \int_0^\infty \int_{x < y, y \in E_j^*} t^{\lambda - 2} |(b^j * |\varphi|_t)(y)|^2 dy dt.$$

We claim that

(22)
$$\int_0^\infty \int_{E_i^*} t^{\lambda-2} |(b^j * |\varphi|_t)(y)|^2 dy dt \le C |E_j^*|^{\lambda-\frac{2}{p}} ||b^j||_p^2.$$

In fact, by Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-2} |(b^j * |\varphi|_t)(y)|^2 dt$$

$$= \int_y^\infty b^j(z) \int_y^\infty b^j(w) \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-4} |\varphi| \left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right) |\varphi| \left(\frac{y-w}{t}\right) dt dw dz.$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, and $\lambda < 3$,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-4} |\varphi| \left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right) |\varphi| \left(\frac{y-w}{t}\right) dt \le C \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-4} \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{z-y}{t}\right)^2} \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{w-y}{t}\right)^2} dt$$

Then, the left hand side of (22) is bounded by

$$C \int_{E_{j}^{*}} \int_{y}^{\infty} b^{j}(z) \int_{y}^{\infty} b^{j}(w) \frac{1}{(z+w-2y)^{3-\lambda}} dw dz dy$$

$$\leq C' \int_{E_{j}^{*}} \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{b^{j}(z)}{(z-y)^{\frac{3-\lambda}{2}}} dz \int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{b^{j}(w)}{(w-y)^{\frac{3-\lambda}{2}}} dw dy$$

$$\leq C' \int_{E_{j}^{*}} |I_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}^{+}(b^{j})(y)|^{2} dy,$$

where $I_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}^+$ denotes the one-sided fractional integral operator of order $\frac{\lambda-1}{2}$. In the case $1 and <math>\lambda = \frac{2}{p}$ since, as it is well known, $I_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}^+$ is a bounded operator from L^p to L^2 then it follows that (22) holds. For $2 < \lambda < 3$, the operator $I_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}^+$ maps L^1 into weak- $L^{\frac{2}{3-\lambda}}$. Then, by Kolmogorov's condition (see [GRu], page 485), we obtain (22).

On the other hand, since $\int |b_i(y)|^p dy \leq (2\mu)^p |I_i|$, we have that

$$||b^{j}||_{p} \leq \left(\sum_{i: E_{j}^{*} \cap I_{i}^{*} \neq \emptyset} (2\mu)^{p} |I_{i}|\right)^{1/p} \leq 2\mu |I_{j}^{'}|^{1/p} = C\mu |I_{j}|^{1/p}.$$

Therefore, by (21) and (22) we get

$$g^{2}(x)^{2} \leq C'\mu^{2} \sum_{k \leq k_{0}} \sum_{j \in A_{k}, x < \alpha_{j}} \frac{|I_{j}|^{\lambda}}{(\alpha_{j} - x)^{\lambda}}.$$

In consequence,

$$(23) w\left(\left\{x \notin \tilde{\Omega} : g^{2}(x) > \mu\right\}\right) \leq C \sum_{j} |I_{j}|^{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{j}-4|I_{j}|} \frac{w(x)\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^{c}}(x)}{(\alpha_{j}-x)^{\lambda}} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\mu^{p}} \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} |f(z)|^{p} dz M^{-}(w\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}^{c}})(\alpha_{j})$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\mu^{p}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(z)|^{p} M^{-}w(z) dz.$$

From (15), (16), (19) and (23) we obtain that (13) holds for $\lambda = 2/p$ if $1 and <math>2 < \lambda < 3$ if p = 1. Taking into account that if $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ then, $g_{\lambda_2,\varphi}^+(f)(x) \leq g_{\lambda_1,\varphi}^+(f)(x)$, the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem C. The case p=2 and $\lambda>1$ was considered in Theorem A. Let $1 and <math>2/p < \lambda < 2$. We have that $\lambda=2/q$ with 1 < q < p. Then, by Theorem B, $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$ maps $L^q(M^-w)$ into weak- $L^q(w)$. Since $g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+$ from $L^2(M^-w)$ to $L^2(w)$ is bounded, then by interpolation, we get this corollary for $\lambda<2$. Now, by simple arguments we obtain the case $\lambda \geq 2$. \square

The following remark shall show that for $\lambda=2$ and p=1, a weak type inequality as in Theorem B, can not be valid.

Remark. Let $\varphi \neq 0$ belong to S, supported on the interval [-1,0] and $\int \varphi(x)dx = 0$. There exists $f \in L^1$ such that $g_{2,\varphi}^+(f)(x) = \infty$ for every x belonging to an unbounded set.

In fact, we consider $f(t) = \left(\frac{1}{|t|(\ln 1/|t|)^{3/2}} - c\right) \chi_{[-1/2,0]}(t)$, where c is the unique constant that satisfies $\int f(t)dt = 0$. For every x < -4, we have that

(24)
$$g_{2,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{2} \ge \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-2}^{0} |f * \varphi_{t}(y)|^{2} dy dt.$$

The support of $f * \varphi_t$ is contained in $(-\infty, 0]$ and the fractional integral $I_{1/2}(f) \notin L^2$ (see [Z], page 232). Then, applying Plancherel's Theorem, it follows that

$$A = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 |f * \varphi_t(y)|^2 dy dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\widehat{\varphi}(ty)|^2 |\widehat{f}(y)|^2 dy dt$$
$$\geq C_\varphi \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|\widehat{f}(y)|^2}{|y|} dy$$
$$= C_\varphi \int_{-\infty}^\infty |I_{1/2}(f)(y)|^2 dy = \infty.$$

Applying Mean Value Theorem, we obtain for every $y \leq -2$ the estimation

$$|f * \varphi_t(y)| \le \frac{1}{t} \int_{-1/2}^0 |f(z)| \left| \varphi\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \right| dz$$

$$\le \frac{1}{t} \int_{-1/2}^0 |f(z)| \frac{|z|}{t} C_{\varphi} \left(\frac{t}{t+|y|}\right)^2 dz$$

$$\le C \frac{1}{(t+|y|)^2}.$$

Using these inequalities we get

$$r^{\infty}$$
 r^{-2} r^{∞} r^{-2}

Since $|f * \varphi_t(y)| \leq \frac{1}{t} ||\varphi||_{\infty} ||f||_1$, we have that

$$A_2 = \int_1^{\infty} \int_{-2}^{0} |f * \varphi_t(y)|^2 dy dt \le C \int_1^{\infty} \int_{-2}^{0} \frac{1}{t^2} dy dt < \infty.$$

By (24) and the estimations obtained for A, A_1 , and A_2 it follows that $g_{2,\varphi}^+(f)(x) = \infty$ for every x < -4.

We shall give a proof of Theorem D, proceeding as in Theorem 1.10 in [P], page 150.

Proof of Theorem D. More generally, we shall prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^{+}(f)(x)^{p} w(x) dx \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} M_{B}^{-}(w^{2/p})(x)^{p/2} dx,$$

where B is a Young function that satisfies

(25)
$$\int_{c}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t^{p/2}}{B(t)}\right)^{(p/2)'-1} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

In the case $B(t) \approx t^{p/2} (1 + \ln^+ t)^{[p/2]}$, we get Theorem D. Let r = p/2. We have that

$$I = \|g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)}^2 = \|g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(f)^2 w^{1/r}\|_{L^r}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\lambda,\varphi}^+(f)(x)^2 w(x)^{1/r} g(x) dx,$$

for some $g \in L^{r'}$ with unit norm. We recall that

$$M^{-}(g_1g_2)(x) \leq M_B^{-}(g_1)(x)M_{\overline{B}}^{-}(g_2)(x),$$

where \overline{B} is the complementary function associated to B. Then, applying Theorem A, and Holder's inequality

$$I \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{2} M^{-}(w^{1/r}g)(x) dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{2} M_{B}^{-}(w^{1/r})(x) M_{\overline{B}}^{-}(g)(x) dx$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} M_{B}^{-}(w^{1/r})(x)^{p/2} dx \right)^{2/p} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{\overline{B}}^{-}(g)(x)^{r'} dx \right)^{1/r'}$$

where $v = M_B^-(w^{1/r})(x)^r$. By Theorem 2.6 in [RiRoT], if B satisfies (25), then

$$I \le C \|f\|_{L^p(v)}^2 \|g\|_{L^{r'}} \le C \|f\|_{L^p(v)}^2.$$

It is easy to check that $M_B^-(w^{1/r})(x)^r = M_{\tilde{B}}^-(w)(x)$, where $\tilde{B}(t) = B(t^{1/r})$. If $\tilde{B}(t) = t(1 + \ln^+ t)^{[r]}$ then, B satisfies (25), and by Proposition 2.15 in [RiRoT] there exist two constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 M_{\tilde{B}}^-(w)(x) \le (M^-)^{[r]+1} w(x) \le C_2 M_{\tilde{B}}^-(w)(x),$$

which completes the proof. \Box

REFERENCES

- [CW] S. Chanillo and R. Wheeden, Some weighted norm inequalities for the Area integral, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), 277-294.
- [F] C. L. Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators, Acta Math. **124** (1970), 9-36.
- [GRu] J. García Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North Holland, Amsterdam 1985.
- [HSt] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, and Berlin 1965.
- [M] F. J. Martín-Reyes, New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 691-698.
- [P] C. Pérez, Banach function spaces and the two-weight problem for maximal functions, Proceedings of the Conference on Function Spaces, Differential Operators and Non-linear Analysis, Paseky (1995), 141-158.
- [RiRoT] M. S. Riveros, L. de Rosa and A. de la Torre, Sufficient conditions for one-sided operators, to appear in J. Fourier Anal. Appl. (2000).
- [RoSe] L. de Rosa and C. Segovia, One-sided Littlwood-Paley theory, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 3 (1997), 933-957.
- [Z] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical Series*, Monografje Matematyczne, Warszawa Lwów 1935.

DPTO. DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, 1428 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA, AND INSTITUTO ARGENTINO DE MATEMÁTICA, CONICET, SAAVEDRA 15 3ER PISO, 1083 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: lderosa@dm.uba.ar

DPTO. DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES. 1428 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA, AND INSTITUTO ARGENTINO DE MATEMÁTICA, CONICET, SAAVEDRA 15 3ER PISO, 1083 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: segovia@iamba.edu.ar