

# ON THE ELEMENTARY $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ - ULTRAHYPERBOLIC SOLUTION OF THE KLEIN-GORDON OPERATOR ITERATED $k$ -TIMES

SUSANA ELENA TRIONE

Dept. of Math.- Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales - UBA  
Instituto Argentino de Matemática - CONICET

**Abstract** - Let  $x=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a point of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Consider a non-degenerate quadratic form in  $n$  variables of the form  $P=P(x)=x_1^2+\dots+x_p^2-x_{p+1}^2-\dots-x_{p+q}^2$ , where  $p+q=n$ . The distributions  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$  are defined by  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{(P \pm i\varepsilon |x|^2)\}^\lambda$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}'$  ([2], p. 274). We put, by definition,  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0) = C(\alpha, n)[m^{-2}(P \pm i0)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{4}} J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}(m^2(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}})]$  (cf. (III,1)); where  $\alpha$  is a complex parameter,  $m$  a real nonnegative number,  $n$  the dimension of the space and  $J_\nu(z)$  the well-known Bessel function of the first kind. First, we express  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$  as a infinite, linear combination of  $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  (cf. (II,1)) of different orders;  $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  (cf. (II,1)) is the causal (anticausal) elementary solutions of the homogeneous ultrahyperbolic operator, iterated  $k$ -times (cf. formula (II,2)). From the formula (II,10) we obtain the explicit definitory formula of the kernel  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$ , (cf. formula (III,1)). Also we prove that  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m=0) = R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  (cf. formula (VII,2)) and also we give a different non-formal proof (cf. formula (VII,9)). In this Note, the following propositions have been evaluated  $(\square + m^2)^k W_{2k}(P \pm i0, m) = \delta$  (cf. formula (V,2)), where  $(\square + m^2)^k$  is the ultrahyperbolic Klein-Gordon operator iterated  $k$ -times  $k=1, 2, \dots$  (cf. formula (IV,2'));  $W_{-2k}(P \pm i0, m) = (\square + m^2)^k \delta$  (cf. formula (IV,6));  $W_0(P \pm i0, m) = \delta$  (cf. formula (VI,1)) and the composition formula  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) * W_\beta(P \pm i0, m) = W_{\alpha+\beta}(P \pm i0, m)$  (cf. formula (VIII,20)). Finally, by following Marcel Riesz' symbolic method (cf. paragraph (IX)), we shall justify all the steps by appealing to the theory of distributions.

## I. Definitions.

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a point of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Consider a non-degenerate quadratic form in  $n$ -variables of the form

$$P = P(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2, \quad (\text{I}, 1)$$

where  $n = p + q$ . The distributions  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$  are defined by

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{P \pm i\varepsilon|x|^2\}^\lambda, \quad (\text{I}, 2)$$

The distributions  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$  are an important contribution of Gelfand (cf. [2], p.274).

The distributions  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$  are analytic in  $\lambda$  everywhere except at  $\lambda = -\frac{n}{2} - k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , where they have simple poles (cf. [2], p.275).

Furthermore, the Fourier transform of the distributions  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$  is (cf. [2], p.281)

$$\begin{aligned} [(P \pm i0)]^\wedge &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} (P \pm i0)^\lambda dx \\ &= \frac{e^{\mp i\frac{\pi}{2}q} 2^{2\lambda+n} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\lambda + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(-\lambda)} (Q \mp i0)^{-\lambda - \frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I}, 3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= Q(y) = y_1^2 + \dots + y_p^2 - y_{p+1}^2 - \dots - y_{p+q}^2, \\ \langle x, y \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the following theorem is valid (cf. [6], (I,3;17)):

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda \cdot (P \pm i0)^\mu = (P \pm i0)^{\lambda+\mu},$$

$\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{C}$ ;  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\lambda + \mu$  are different from  $-\frac{n}{2} - k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$

## II. The expression of $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$ as an infinite, linear combination of $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$ of different orders.

We define the causal (anticausal) distributions  $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  as follows:

$$R_\alpha(P \pm i0) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} (P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}, \quad (\text{II}, 1)$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $P$  is defined by (I,1). The distributinal functions  $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  are causal (anticausal) analogues of the elliptic kernel of Marcel Riesz (cf. [5], pp.1-223) and have analogue properties, that we use to obtain causal (anticausal) solutions of the  $n$ -dimensional ultrahyperbolic operator, iterated  $k$ -times ( $k$  integer  $\geq 1$ ),  $p+q=n$ ,

$$\square^k = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right\}^k. \quad (\text{II}, 2)$$

We know (cf. [6], p.39), formula (II,3;3)) that

$$\{R_\alpha(P \pm i0)\}^\wedge = \frac{(Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad (\text{II}, 3)$$

where, as always, we write

$$Q = y_1^2 + \dots + y_p^2 - y_{p+1}^2 - \dots - y_{p+q}^2.$$

The following theorems are valid when  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\alpha + \beta$  are different from  $n + 2r$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots$ ,

$$1. \quad R_\alpha * R_\beta = R_{\alpha+\beta}; \quad (\text{II}, 4)$$

$$2. \quad [R_\alpha * R_\beta]^\wedge = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \{R_\alpha\}^\wedge \cdot \{R_\beta\}^\wedge; \quad (\text{II}, 5)$$

$$3. \quad \square^k [R_{2k}(P \pm i0)] = \delta; \quad (\text{II}, 6)$$

$$4. \quad R_{-2k}(P \pm i0) = \square^k \delta; \quad (\text{II}, 7)$$

$$5. \quad R_0(P \pm i0) = \delta; \quad (\text{II}, 8)$$

$$6. \quad \square^k \{Pf R_{2k}(P \pm i0)\} = \delta, \quad (\text{II}, 9)$$

for all values of  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ; here  $Pf$  is the finite part.

We begin by writing the following formal expression:

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} R_{\alpha+2\nu}(P \pm i0) . \quad (\text{II, 10})$$

Taking into account the defintory formula of  $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  (cf. formula (II,1)), we have

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}}}{H_n(\alpha+2\nu)} , \quad (\text{II, 11})$$

here

$$H_n(\alpha+2\nu) = \frac{2^{\alpha+2\nu} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2\nu}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right)} . \quad (\text{II, 12})$$

From [3], p.3, formula (1), we know that

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu + 1\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) , \quad (\text{II, 13})$$

Also, taking into account the formula (5), page 3 of [3], we have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2} - \nu\right) = \frac{-\pi}{\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right)\right]} . \quad (\text{II, 14})$$

By remembering the classical expression of  $J_\nu(z)$ , we have

$$J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \frac{m^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} (P \pm i0)^{-\frac{\alpha-n}{4}}}{2^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}} \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \frac{m^{2\nu} (P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}}}{2^{2\nu} \nu! \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu + 1\right)} . \quad (\text{II, 15})$$

From (II,14), we have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) = \frac{-\pi}{\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right)\right] \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2} - \nu\right)} . \quad (\text{II, 16})$$

From (II,12), we have,

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right) = \frac{2^{\alpha+2\nu} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu\right)}{H_n(\alpha+2\nu)} . \quad (\text{II, 17})$$

From (II,16) and (II,17), we have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) = \frac{(-\pi) H_n(\alpha+2\nu)}{\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right)\right] 2^{\alpha+2\nu} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu\right)} . \quad (\text{II, 18})$$

Taking into account (II,13), (II,15) and (II,18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] &= \frac{m^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} (P \pm i0)^{-\frac{\alpha-n}{4}}}{2^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}} \\ &\cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu} m^{2\nu} (P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}}}{2^{2\nu} \nu! \left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) (-\pi) H_n(\alpha+2\nu)} \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right)\right] 2^{\alpha+2\nu} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II, 19})$$

By other way, the following formula is valid (cf. [3], p.3, formula (2)):

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu\right) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 1\right) \dots \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu - 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) . \quad (\text{II, 20})$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu\right) &= \frac{(-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 1\right) \dots \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu - 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\nu!} \\ &= \frac{\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right) \dots \left[-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu - 1\right)\right]}{\nu!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II, 21})$$

Now we put, by definition,

$$(-1)^{\nu} \frac{1}{\nu!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \nu\right) = \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_{\nu} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) . \quad (\text{II, 22})$$

By putting (II,22) into (II,19), we get at

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] &= (-1) \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} m^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \cdot (P \pm i0)^{-\frac{\alpha-n}{4}} 2^{\alpha - \left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \\ &\cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)_{\nu} \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}}}{H_n(\alpha+2\nu)} m^{2\nu} \cdot \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}\right)\right] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II, 23})$$

with the hypothesis

$$\alpha - n + 2\nu = 4p + 1, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (\text{II, 24})$$

we can arrive at the following formula

$$J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = (-1) \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{\alpha - (\frac{\alpha-n}{2})} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) m^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}}}{H_n(\alpha + 2\nu)} . \quad (\text{II}, 25)$$

From (II,10) and (II,25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n+2\nu}{2}}}{H_n(\alpha + 2\nu)} \\ &= \frac{\left[ m^{-1}(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]}{(-1) 2^{\frac{-n-\alpha}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II}, 26)$$

This last formula (II,26) shall be completely justify in the paragraph (IX)

### III. The explicit expression of $W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m)$ .

The explicit defintory formula of  $W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m)$  is just the same that the formula which we arrive at (II,25), that is

$$W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m) = \frac{\left[ m^{-1}(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{(-1) \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \Gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \cdot J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] . \quad (\text{III}, 1)$$

### IV. $W_{-2k}(P \pm i0, m) = (\square + m^2)^k \delta$ .

From the formal defintory formula of  $W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m)$  (cf. (II,10)), we have

$$W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} R_{\alpha+2\nu}(P \pm i0) . \quad (\text{IV}, 1)$$

We shall prove the following assertion

$$W_{-2k}(P \pm i0, m) = (\square + m^2)^k \delta, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \dots ; \quad (\text{IV}, 2)$$

here  $(\square + m^2)^k$  is the  $n$ -dimensional ultrahyperbolic Klein-Gordon operator iterated  $k$ -times defined by the formula

$$(\square + m^2)^2 = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} + m^2 \right), \quad (\text{IV}, 2')$$

$p + q = n$  and  $m$  a real nonnegative number.

In fact, we know that (cf. formula (II,7))

$$R_{-2k}(P \pm i0) = \square^k \delta, \quad (\text{IV}, 3)$$

here  $\square^k$  is defined by (II,2).

Putting

$$-2k = \alpha + 2\nu, \quad (\text{IV}, 4)$$

then

$$k = -\frac{(\alpha + 2\nu)}{2}. \quad (\text{IV}, 5)$$

Therefore, we have from (IV,1) and (IV,3),

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-2k}(P \pm i0, m) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \square^{k-\nu} \delta \\ &= (\square + m^2)^k \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV}, 6)$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots$$

**V.**  $(\square + m^2)^k W_{2k}(P \pm i0, m) = \delta, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$

From the formula (II,10) and (II,7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{2k}(P \pm i0, m) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-k}{\nu} (m^2)^\nu \square^{-k-\nu} \delta \\ &= (\square + m^2)^{-k} \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V}, 1)$$

By applying the operator  $(\square + m^2)^k$  to both members of (V,1), we get at

$$(\square + m^2)^k W_{2k}(P \pm i0, m) = (\square + m^2)^k \cdot (\square + m^2)^{-k} \delta = \delta. \quad (\text{V}, 2)$$

Therefore,  $W_{2k}(P \pm i0, m)$  is the unique elementary retarded  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ -ultrahyperbolic solution of the Klein-Gordon operator, iterated  $k$ -times.

Putting  $k = 1$ , the formula (V,2) says that  $W_2(P \pm i0, m)$  is the unique elementary retarded  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ -ultrahyperbolic solution of the Klein-Gordon operator.

**VI.**  $W_0(P \pm i0, m) = \delta$ .

Putting  $k = 0$  in (IV,6), we have

$$W_0(P \pm i0, m) = \delta. \quad (\text{VI}, 1)$$

**VII.**  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m = 0) = R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$ .

From the formal definitory formula of  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$  (cf. formula (II,10)), we have

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) = \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{0} m^{2.0} R_{\alpha+2.0}(P \pm i0) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} R_{\alpha+2\nu}(P \pm i0). \quad (\text{VII}, 1)$$

The second summand of the right-hand member of (VII,1) vanishes for  $m = 0$  and then, we have

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m = 0) = R_\alpha(P \pm i0). \quad (\text{VII}, 2)$$

We can give another proof of the formula  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m = 0) = R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$ .

We begin by remembering the definitory formula of  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$ , (cf. formula (III,1):

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) = \frac{[m^{-2}(P \pm i0)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{4}} J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]}{(-1)2^{-\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}, \quad (\text{VII}, 3)$$

here  $\alpha$  is a complex parameter,  $m$  a nonnegative real number and  $n$  the dimension of the space.



We know that the well-known Bessel function of the first kind is defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \left\{ \left[ m^2(P \pm i0) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\left[ m^2(P \pm i0) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + 2\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu + 1\right)} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{\left[ m^2(P \pm i0) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right\}^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\left[ m^2(P \pm i0) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right\}^{2\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu + 1\right)} \\
&= \frac{\left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{2^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + 1\right)} + \frac{\left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{2^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\left[ m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]}{2} \right\}^{2\nu} \frac{1}{\nu! \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu + 1\right)} . \quad (\text{VII, 4})
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (VII,4) into (VII,3) and taking into account the formula (I,3;17), p.23, of [6], we get at

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m) &= \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{2^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + 1\right) (-1) 2^{-\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad + \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{(-1) 2^{-\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu} \left[ \frac{m(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right]^{2\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + \nu + 1\right)} . \quad (\text{VII, 5})
\end{aligned}$$

The second summand of the right-hand member of (VII,5) vanishes for  $m = 0$  and then, we have,

$$W_{\alpha}(P \pm i0, m = 0) = \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2} + 1\right) (-1) 2^{-\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} . \quad (\text{VII, 6})$$

So, taking into account that (cf. [3], p.3, formula (1))

$$\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right) , \quad (\text{VII, 7})$$

and (cf. [3], p.3, formula (5))

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right) = -\frac{\pi}{\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right)\sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right)\right]} ; \quad (\text{VII}, 8)$$

we arrive (by remembering the condition (II,24)) to

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m = 0) = R_\alpha(P \pm i0) . \quad (\text{VII}, 9)$$

**VIII. The composition formula**  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) * W_\beta(P \pm i0, m) = W_{\alpha+\beta}(P \pm i0, m)$ .

Taking into account the formal definitory formula of  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$ , (cf. (II,10)), we have

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} R_{\alpha+2\nu}(P \pm i0) . \quad (\text{VIII}, 1)$$

and

$$W_\beta(P \pm i0, m) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\beta}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} R_{\beta+2\nu}(P \pm i0) . \quad (\text{VIII}, 2)$$

Also, we have,

$$\mathcal{F}[W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)] = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \mathcal{F}[R_{\alpha+2\nu}(P \pm i0)] . \quad (\text{VIII}, 3)$$

This last formula can be justified by the following lines.

Let  $f(z, \lambda)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{C}$ , be an entire function of the variables  $z, \lambda$ :

$$f(z, \lambda) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu(\lambda)^2 z^\nu . \quad (\text{VIII}, 4)$$

Let us consider the family of distributions of the form (cf. [2], p.285):

$$\begin{aligned} T(P \pm i0, \lambda) &= (P \pm i0)^\lambda f(P \pm i0, \lambda) \\ &= (P \pm i0)^\lambda \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu(\lambda) (P \pm i0)^\nu . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VIII}, 5)$$

Our purpose is to evaluate the Fourier transform of  $T(P \pm i0, \lambda)$  in the sense of Gelfand:

$$\{T(P \pm i0, \lambda)\}^\wedge = \left\{ (P \pm i0)^\lambda \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu(\lambda) \cdot (P \pm i0)^\nu \right\}^\wedge. \quad (\text{VIII}, 6)$$

We have to show that

$$\left\{ (P \pm i0)^\lambda \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu(\lambda) (P \pm i0)^\nu \right\}^\wedge = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu(\lambda) \{ (P \pm i0)^{\lambda+\nu} \}^\wedge. \quad (\text{VIII}, 7)$$

Let us suppose, provisionally that  $\text{Re } \lambda > -1$ ; then, the terms of the sequence ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ )

$$\{g_n\} = \left\{ (P \pm i0)^\lambda \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu(\lambda) (P \pm i0)^\nu \right\} \quad (\text{VIII}, 8)$$

are locally integrable functions. Since, by hypothesis,  $f(z, \lambda)$  is an entire function, we conclude that the sequence (VIII,8) converges uniformly in every compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Therefore, by [7], Theorem XVI, p.76, the sequence  $\{g_n\}$  is convergent in  $D'$ , and, by the continuity of the Fourier transform, we conclude that the equation (VIII,7) is valid when  $\text{Re } \lambda > -1$ .

Then, we have, taking into account the formula (II,3) that

$$\mathcal{F}[W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)] = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\alpha} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+2\nu}{2}}, \quad (\text{VIII}, 9)$$

and also, we have

$$\mathcal{F}[W_\beta(P \pm i0, m)] = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\beta}{2}}{p} m^{2p} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\beta+2p}{2}} \quad (\text{VIII}, 10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}[W_{\alpha+\beta}(P \pm i0, m)] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}{r} m^{2r} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta+2r}{2}}. \quad (\text{VIII}, 11)$$

From (VIII,9) and (VIII,10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}[W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)] \cdot \mathcal{F}[W_\beta(P \pm i0, m)] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+2\nu}{2}} \cdot \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\beta}{2}}{p} m^{2p} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\beta+2p}{2}} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VIII, 12})$$

Taking into account the Theorem 2, page 23, of [6], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}[W_\alpha] \cdot \mathcal{F}[W_\beta] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \cdot \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\beta}{2}}{p} m^{2p} \cdot (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta+2\nu+2p}{2}} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VIII, 13})$$

Putting

$$2\nu + 2p = 2r , \quad (\text{VIII, 14})$$

so

$$\nu + p = r , \quad (\text{VIII, 15})$$

then

$$p = r - \nu , \quad (\text{VIII, 16})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}[W_\alpha] \cdot [W_\beta] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} m^{2\nu} \sum_{r=\nu}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\beta}{2}}{r-\nu} m^{2(r-\nu)} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta+2r}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\nu} \sum_{r=\nu}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\beta}{2}}{r-\nu} m^{2r} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta+2r}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}{s} m^{2s} (Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta+2s}{2}} \\ &= \mathcal{F}[W_{\alpha+\beta}] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VIII, 17})$$

Finally, we get at

$$\mathcal{F}[W_\alpha] \cdot \mathcal{F}[W_\beta] = \mathcal{F}[W_{\alpha+\beta}] . \quad (\text{VIII, 18})$$

**Note.**

Taking into account that  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda$  and [6], p.177, the convolution  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) * W_\beta(P \pm i0, m)$  is possible.

Therefore, the theorem of interchange between the convolution and the product is valid for integrals of Fourier so, we have

$$\mathcal{F}[W_\alpha * W_\beta] = \mathcal{F}[W_\alpha] \cdot \mathcal{F}[W_\beta] . \quad (\text{VIII}, 19)$$

Finally, from (VIII,18) and (VIII,19), and in virtue of the theorem of the identity, we arrive at

$$W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) * W_\beta(P \pm i0, m) = W_{\alpha+\beta}(P \pm i0, m) . \quad (\text{VIII}, 20)$$

**IX. Justification of the Marcel Riesz symbolic method.**

We have from (II,1) that

$$R_{2\alpha+2\nu}(P \pm i0) = \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha+2\nu-n}{2}}}{H(2\alpha+2\nu)} , \quad (\text{IX}, 1)$$

here

$$H(2\alpha+2\nu) = \frac{2^{2\alpha+2\nu} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha+2\nu}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right)} . \quad (\text{IX}, 2)$$

We introduce the differential operator  $(\square - \lambda)$ , where  $\square$  is the  $n$ -dimensional ultrahyperbolic kernel and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ .

We shall consider the distributions

$$\{\square - \lambda\delta\} = \sum_{\nu=0} \frac{(-\alpha)(-\alpha-1)\cdots(-\alpha-\nu-1)}{\nu!} (-1)^\nu \square^{-\alpha-\nu} \delta . \quad (\text{IX}, 3)$$

The formula (IX,3) is the formal development of the left-hand member by the binomial Newton formula.

Remembering the formula (II,7) we shall put, by definition,

$$\square^{(-\alpha-\nu)} \delta = R_{2(\alpha+\nu)}(P \pm i0) . \quad (\text{IX}, 4)$$

The general term  $a_\nu$  of the series (IX,3) is

$$a_\nu = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+\nu-1)}{\nu!} \frac{(P \pm i0)^\nu \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-2\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right) (P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}}}{2^{2\alpha+2\nu} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+\nu)} . \quad (\text{IX}, 5)$$

Equivalently, from (II,20),

$$a_\nu = \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}} \lambda^\nu (P \pm i0)^\nu \Gamma\left(\frac{n-2\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \nu! 2^{2\alpha} 2^{2\nu} \Gamma(\alpha)} . \quad (\text{IX}, 6)$$

Also, we know, from (II,14)

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right) = \frac{-\pi}{\left(\frac{2\alpha+2\nu-n}{2}\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)\right]} . \quad (\text{IX}, 7)$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2\alpha-2\nu}{2}\right) = \frac{-\pi}{\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)\right] \Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)} . \quad (\text{IX}, 8)$$

Putting (IX,8) into (IX,6), we have

$$a_\nu = \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}} [\lambda(P \pm i0)]^\nu (-\pi)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha) 2^{2\alpha} \nu! 2^{2\nu} \left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)\right] \Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)} . \quad (\text{IX}, 9)$$

Also, taking into account (II,13), we arrive at

$$a_\nu = \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}} [\lambda(P \pm i0)]^\nu (-\pi)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha) 2^{2\alpha} \nu! 2^{2\nu} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2} + 1\right) \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)\right]} . \quad (\text{IX}, 10)$$

or, equivalently,

$$a_\nu = \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}} [\lambda(P \pm i0)]^\nu}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha) 2^{2\alpha} (\nu!)^2 \sin\left[\pi\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2}\right)\right] \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu+2\alpha-n}{2} + 1\right)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} 2^{2\nu}} . \quad (\text{IX}, 11)$$

If we demand the following condition:

$$2\alpha + 2\nu - n = 4r + 1 , \quad r = 0, 1, \dots ; \quad (\text{IX}, 12)$$

we can write

$$a_\nu \leq \frac{(P \pm i0)^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{2}} [\lambda(P \pm i0)]^\nu}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha) 2^{2\alpha} 2^\nu \nu! \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\nu-\frac{n}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}} . \quad (\text{IX, 13})$$

The factor

$$\frac{\Gamma(\nu + \alpha - \frac{n}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 1 ; \quad (\text{IX, 14})$$

then the series (IX,3) converges as  $e^{\lambda \frac{(P \pm i0)}{4}}$ .

We conclude that the series (IX,3) converges in every compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore, by [7], p.76, Theorem XVI, the series (IX,3) is convergent in  $D'$ .

Finally, putting  $-\lambda = m^2$  we have completely justify the result (II,10) and so all the other conclusions which we have here proved.

## X. Notes.

**1)** We observe that  $R_\alpha(P \pm i0)$  ((II,1)) has all their properties valid if and only if  $\alpha \neq n + 2\ell$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots$ , but, when  $\alpha = n + 2\ell$ , we can consider its finite part  $(Pf)$  (cf. [8]). Therefore, the following proposition is valid (cf. [6], pp. 42-44) “The distributional functions of  $Pf \ R_{2k}(P \pm i0)$  are elementary solutions, for all values of  $k$  of the operator  $\square^k$ :

$$\square^k \{Pf \ R_{2k}(P \pm i0)\} = \delta . ”$$

**2)** It is useful to state an equivalent definition of the distributions  $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$  (cf.(I,2)). In this definition appear the distributions

$$P_+^\lambda = \begin{cases} P^\lambda & \text{if } P \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } P < 0; \end{cases}$$

$$P_-^\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } P > 0, \\ (-P)^\lambda & \text{if } P \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We can prove, without difficulty, that the following formula is valid ([2], p.276, formulae (2) and (2'))

$$(P + i0)^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda ,$$

$$(P - i0)^\lambda = P_+^\lambda + e^{i\pi\lambda} P_-^\lambda .$$

From these formulas, we conclude immediately that

$$(P + i0)^\lambda = (P - i0)^\lambda = P^\lambda ,$$

when  $\lambda = k =$  positive integer.

Therefore, we can note that  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m) = W_\alpha(u, m)$  when  $\lambda = k =$  positive integer and  $u = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_\mu^2 - x_{\mu+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{\mu+\nu}^2$ ,  $\mu + \nu = n$ ,  $n$  is the dimension of the space,  $\alpha$  is a complex parameter and  $m$  a real nonnegative number. Finally, we observe that  $W_\alpha(u, m)$  has the similar properties of  $W_\alpha(P \pm i0, m)$  (cf. [9]).

## References.

- [1] S.E. Trione, "On the elementary retarded, ultrahyperbolic solution of the Klein-Gordon operator, iterated  $k$ -times". Studies in Applied Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, **79**, 121-141, 1988.
- [2] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, "Generalized Functions", Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [3] Bateman, Manuscript Project, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. I, McGraw - Hill, New York, 1953.
- [4] A. González Domínguez and S.E. Trione, "On the Laplace transform of retarded Lorentz-invariant functions", Advanced in Mathematics, Vol.30, Number 2, 51-62, November 1978.
- [5] M. Riesz, "L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy", Acta Mathematica, **81**, 1-223, 1949.
- [6] S.E. Trione, "Distributional Products", Cursos de Matemática, **3**, IAM - CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 1980.
- [7] L. Schwartz, "Theorie des distributions", Herman, Paris, 1966.
- [8] S.E. Trione, "Sobre una fórmula de L.Schwartz", Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina, Vol.26, 250-254, 1973.

Received in February 1998.



Instituto Argentino de Matemática  
Saavedra 15 - 3er. Piso  
1083 - Buenos Aires  
Argentina.